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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Project Overview

A TCC Woodland Industrial Project is being proposed on an undeveloped parcel located at 345 N Pekin Road in Woodland, Washington, approximately 1,600 feet north of Caples Road and 800 feet south of Guild Road. The industrial project is proposed to be 925,180 square feet in size and is expected to consist of two buildings.

Figure 1 illustrates the site vicinity and the transportation network serving the project area.
Figure 1. Site Vicinity Map


### 1.2 Study Context

A traffic scoping letter was prepared and submitted to the City of Woodland and WSDOT for review. This scoping letter identified initial trip generation based on a preliminary project site plan, and trip distribution assumptions based on data from the CWCOG's regional travel demand model. This model was used for the longer-term analysis conducted as part of the Woodland Industrial Transportation Study prepared in 2021, and provided guidance on the directional orientation of project trips.

From this analysis and based on guidance from City and WSDOT staff, the following intersections were identified for evaluation:

- Dike Access Road at Schurman Way
- Dike Access Road at I-5 southbound ramps
- Dike Access Road at I-5 northbound ramps
- Guild Road at Schurman Way
- N Pekin Road at Guild Road/Scott Avenue
- N Pekin Road at Goerig Road
- Davidson Street at S Pekin Road
- SR 503 at l-5 southbound ramps
- SR 503 at I-5 northbound ramps
- SR 503 at CC Street
- Scott Avenue at l-5 southbound off-ramp
- N Pekin Road at Site Driveways

This Traffic Impact Analysis report has been prepared to provide project-related traffic information at these intersection for the City of Woodland and WSDOT in reviewing the development proposal. Operational analysis would be prepared for the PM peak hour with existing (2023), and forecasted year of opening (2025) conditions with and without project completion. The analysis would include evaluation of intersection level of service and queuing and would identify turn lane and/or traffic control requirements.

### 1.3 Report Content and Organization

This report is organized into seven chapters, the first of which is this Introduction. Chapter $\mathbf{2}$ provides a description of the project proposal including a preliminary site plan.

Chapter 3 documents existing conditions within the study area and the larger Woodland community. Information presented in this chapter includes a discussion of the existing land use in the vicinity of the project, an inventory of the existing multimodal transportation system including street and intersection characteristics, existing traffic volumes, and recent five year crash history.

Chapter 4 discusses project trip-making characteristics including trip generation and the distribution and assignment of trips.

Chapter 5 presents a summary of future background traffic conditions excluding the proposed project. The purpose of this information is to provide a basis of comparison with conditions that include project traffic so that specific, project-related impacts can be identified. Pending and proposed roadway improvements in the vicinity of the project are also included in this chapter.

Chapter 6 summarizes and compares the analysis of existing and future horizon year traffic operations at study area intersections for conditions with and without the proposed project. Project-related traffic impacts are identified and the need for potential impact mitigation is determined.

Chapter 7 presents a discussion of recommended transportation system improvements stemming from the impact analysis and including the need for a half-width improvement on Rose Way to the west of the project site. Traffic impact fee requirements are also discussed.

## 2 Project Description

### 2.1 Development Proposal

The TCC Woodland Industrial project will consist of two industrial buildings totaling approximately 925,180 square feet located at 345 N Pekin Road in Woodland, Washington. These buildings would be situated on approximately 67.49 acres of undeveloped land. Access to and from the project site is proposed to be via four driveways on $N$ Pekin Road and five driveways on the future extension of Rose Way.

On $N$ Pekin Road, the northern driveway would access both the auto and truck parking areas for Building B with auto parking on the east side of the building adjacent to $N$ Pekin Road and both auto and truck parking on the west side of the building adjacent to a stormwater management facility. The next two driveways to the south would provide access primarily to the auto parking area along the east side of Building B. The southern driveway would provide access for Building A which is oriented north/south on the western portion of the property.

Building A would also have access to the transportation system via Rose Way as that facility would provide a connection to the larger community transportation system. The northern driveway on Rose Way would provide access to auto parking spaces on the north side of Building A and to truck parking and loading docks on the east side of the building. The second, third and fourth driveways would provide access to truck parking and loading docks on the west side of Building A. The southerly driveway would provide access to auto parking on the south side of the building and would connect to truck parking on the east side of the building. This access road would also provide an east/west connection across the site to link with both Building B and N Pekin Road. It is anticipated that this connection would be developed in lieu of a proposed east/west street connection identified in the Woodland Industrial Site Study (dated April 2021) in the general vicinity of the project site. Given that the project would provide a complete connection between $N$ Pekin Road and Rose Way no other public facility would be necessary. The east/west public circulation function can be provided by Guild Road to the north and Caples Road to the south. Fire access and circulation could be accommodated on this proposed internal site road.

It is anticipated that the project will construct an urban half-width improvement along Rose Way providing full site access and circulation and would be in place by project opening year of 2025 including a connection between the project site and Guild Road to the north. Ultimately Rose Way will be extended further to the south with a connection to Caples Road as this area develops.

The site could be developed for industrial park or general light industrial uses depending on the needs of the ultimate tenant. For purposes of this Traffic Impact Analysis, analysis of both land use types have been considered with general light industrial representing the maximum potential site impact. The project is also proposed to have a total of 535 auto parking spaces and 182 trailer parking spaces with 191 truck docks.

Figure $\mathbf{2}$ presents the proposed site layout plan.

Figure 2. Project Site Plan


## 3 Existing Conditions Summary

### 3.1 Area Land Uses

The proposed TCC Woodland Industrial Project site is currently undeveloped. Adjacent properties include a mix of industrial and agricultural uses.

### 3.2 Roadway Inventory

### 3.2.1 N Pekin Road

N. Pekin Road is a north/south major collector road that runs from W. Scott Avenue on the north to Davidson Avenue on the south. Davidson Avenue connects N. Pekin Road to downtown Woodland and the I-5/SR 503 interchange (Exit 21). The road continues south as S. Pekin Road via an offset intersection at Davidson Avenue. N Pekin Road has one travel lane in each direction with shoulders and provides access to/from industrial areas located west of the railroad tracks. At its north end, N. Pekin Road is stop sign-controlled at its intersection with Scott Avenue. The speed limit is 35 mph .

### 3.2.2 Guild Road/W Scott Avenue

In the vicinity of the project, Guild Road is an east-west city-owned local access street that provides a single travel lane in each direction between west of the city limits and Schurman Way. From Schurman Way to N. Pekin Road, Guild Road is designated as a major collector, and provides a single travel lane in each direction. As noted in Table 2, collector streets provide for movement within a community, linking neighborhoods to higher order streets like arterials. Property access is generally a high priority for collectors with a lower priority for through traffic movement. Guild Road has intermittent sidewalks and bicycle lanes. Left turn channelization is provided at the intersection with Schurman Way, which is stopcontrolled for southbound Schurman Way traffic. A continuous left turn lane is provided for property access between Schurman Way and N. Pekin Road. The speed limit on Guild Road is 35 mph .

East of N. Pekin Road, Guild Road becomes W. Scott Avenue which continues in an east/west travel direction to its intersection with Pacific Avenue and the l-5 southbound off-ramp. Scott Avenue is designated as a major collector street and has a single travel lane in each direction. A narrow, curb-tight sidewalk is provided along the south side of the street between N Pekin Road and the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) mainline where a gated crossing is provided. The sidewalk on the south side of Scott Avenue continues to the intersection with Pacific Avenue, while sidewalks on the north side are intermittent. There are no bicycle lanes on Scott Avenue and no intersection lane channelization at either Down River Drive or Pacific Avenue. Scott Avenue is stop sign-controlled at Pacific Avenue. The speed limit on Scott Avenue is 35 mph .

### 3.2.3 Schurman Way

Schurman Way has been classified as a major collector for its entire length between Dike Access Road on the north and Guild Road on the south. Schurman Way has a single travel lane in each direction and serves the heart of one of Woodland's major industrial areas. Schurman Way has a continuous two-way left turn lane through much of its length with northbound left turn channelization at Heritage Street (an east/west local industrial access road), north and southbound left turn channelization at Port Way, and southbound left turn channelization at Guild Road. Schurman Way is stop sign-controlled at its
intersection with Guild Road and has a single lane roundabout at its intersection with Dike Access Road. There is an uncontrolled railroad crossing south of Heritage Street for a spur line that serves several industrial properties. There are intermittent sidewalks along Schurman Way adjacent to developed properties. The speed limit is 35 mph .

### 3.2.4 Dike Access Road

Dike Access Road is an east-west minor arterial that connects I-5 with the industrial and commercial areas of the north and western portions of the city. Dike Access Road has a fully-directional interchange with l-5 (Exit 22) that is served by two single lane roundabouts. Immediately west of the interchange, Dike Access Road has another roundabout at its intersection with Schurman Way. The north side of this intersection offers direct access to Wal-Mart and a fast food facility. Dike Access Road has a single travel lane in each direction and has sidewalks on both sides through the intersection with Robinson Road. Sidewalks continue on the north side adjacent to the Woodland High School. Two-way left turn channelization is provided from west of the Schurman Way roundabout to the west end of the high school frontage with left turn channelization at Robinson Road. No bicycle lanes are provided. The street is signed for 35 mph speeds.

### 3.2.5 Caples Road

Caples Road is an east/west minor collector from its intersection with N. Pekin Road westward to the Columbia River. The road has two travel lanes with a speed limit of 35 mph and provides access to a largely agricultural area. There are no shoulders, sidewalks or bicycle lanes along this facility and the road is stop-controlled at its intersection with N. Pekin Road.

### 3.2.6 Goerig Street/Goerig Road/Davidson Street

West of I-5, Goerig Street is a two-lane major collector that links the west side of Woodland with the City's downtown area and the I-5/SR 503 interchange (Exit 21). Moving west from I-5, Goerig Street has curb, gutter, and sidewalks on both sides of the street and a speed limit of 25 mph . Within the downtown area, Goerig Street is known as Davidson Street and has sidewalks and parking along both sides. Davidson Street has an at-grade crossing of the BNSF mainline just west of its intersection with $6^{\text {th }}$ Street. The crossing includes gates and flashing signal lights. Just west of the railroad crossing, Davidson Avenue splits into Goerig Road and $N$ Pekin Road. West of $N$ Pekin Road, Goerig Road becomes a local access street which is yield-controlled at its intersection with N Pekin Road. This portion of Goerig Road has two travel lanes with no shoulders and is signed for 35 mph speeds.

### 3.2.7 S. Pekin Road

S Pekin Road, also known as 5th Street within the City, connects downtown Woodland and Davidson Avenue to the unincorporated areas of Cowlitz County south of Woodland. The roadway is classified as a minor collector and has two travel lanes with a speed limit of 35 mph .

### 3.2.8 State Route 503/Lewis River Road

State Route 503 (SR 503) provides regional access from I-5 to areas in east Cowlitz and Clark Counties, as well as parts of Skamania County. The city classifies SR 503 as a Minor Arterial. It is not part of the national highway system, nor is it classified as a Highway of Statewide Significance (HSS). Within the city, SR 503 (also known as Lewis River Road) has four travel lanes at its interchange with I-5, with additional
left-turn lanes at the intersections with the on- and off-ramps and at CC Street immediately east of the interchange. East of CC Street, the roadway narrows to a three-lane cross-section, including a center, two-way, left-turn lane. Traffic signals are currently located at the I-5 southbound on-ramp, the I-5 northbound off-ramp, and the intersection with CC Street. The street is posted for 30 mph speeds through the interchange area.

### 3.2.9 CC Street

CC Street is a two-lane minor arterial street that intersects Lewis River Road immediately to the east of the I-5 northbound off-ramp/Atlantic Avenue intersection. The street provides for a bridge crossing of the North Fork of the Lewis River and connects Woodland to rural portions of northern Clark County on Pacific Highway. In Woodland, there is a curb-tight sidewalk along the east side of the street which ends just north of the Lewis River bridge. The street is posted for 25 mph speeds.

A summary of the intersection channelization and control type for each of the study intersections is provided in Figure 3.

### 3.3 Traffic Volume Data

Quality Counts, a traffic data collection firm, provided evening peak period turning movement counts. The counts were conducted on May 17, 2023 between the hours of 4:00 and 6:00 pm at the following locations:

- Dike Access Road at Schurman Way
- Dike Access Road at I-5 southbound ramps
- Dike Access Road at I-5 northbound ramps
- Guild Road at Schurman Way
- N Pekin Road at Guild Road/Scott Avenue
- N Pekin Road at Goerig Road
- Davidson Street at S Pekin Road
- SR 503 at I-5 southbound ramps
- SR 503 at I-5 northbound ramps
- SR 503 at CC Street
- Scott Avenue at I-5 southbound off-ramp
- N Pekin Road at Site Driveways

No adjustment was made to these traffic counts for lingering effects due to the Covid-19 pandemic.
Figure 4 shows the 2023 PM peak hour traffic volumes. The original turning movement count diagrams are provided in Appendix A.

### 3.4 Crash History

The Washington Department of Transportation provides crash data for study area roadways. The data was collected over the five-year period between January 1, 2018 and December 31, 2022 and reviewed for the study area intersections. The total crashes by severity are provided in Table 1. More detailed crash data is provided in Appendix B.
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Existing 2023 PM Peak Hour
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Table 1. Existing Crash Severity By Intersection

| Intersection | Fatal | Serious <br> Injury | Minor <br> Injury | Possible <br> Injury | Property <br> Damage Only | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dike Access Road at Schurman Way | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Dike Access Road at I-5 SB ramps | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| Dike Access Road at I-5 NB ramps | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Guild Road at Schurman Way | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| N Pekin Road at Guild Road/Scott <br> Avenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N Pekin Road at Goerig Road | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Davidson Street at S Pekin Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at I-5 SB <br> Ramps/Pacific Avenue | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 15 |
| SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at I-5 NB <br> Ramps/Atlantic Avenue | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 21 | 25 |
| SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at CC Street | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Scott Avenue at I-5 SB off-ramp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Total Crashes | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | 9 | 57 | $\mathbf{7 1}$ |

There were no fatalities and only one serious injury crash reported. This crash occurred the the intersection of N Pekin Road at Goerig Road on September 12, 2021 at 6:15 in the evening. The crash involved a pick-up or van which traveled over an embankment off the road. No guardrail was present and the weather was clear and dry during daylight hours. Contributing causes were identified as defective equipment and an unknown distraction. Overall, approximately 80 percent of all the reported crashes were classified as property damage only (with no apparent injury).

## 4 Project Traffic Characteristics

The two project-related characteristics having the most effect on area traffic conditions are peak hour trip generation and the directional distribution of traffic volumes on the surrounding roadway network.

### 4.1 Site-Generated Traffic Volumes

Vehicle trip generation was calculated using the trip generation rates contained in the $11^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Trip Generation Manual by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Given the unknown nature of the land uses expected for the site, two land use alternatives have been evaluated, either of which may best match the type of potential development that could occur. These include:

- Industrial Park (land use code 130)
- General Light Industrial (land use code 110)

Trip generation for site-related traffic can be characterized in terms of whether it is primary traffic or non-primary traffic as described below.

### 4.1.1 Primary Traffic

A project such as a major warehouse or industrial facility tends to attract a large amount of traffic from people making a trip specifically to this site. This traffic is known as "primary" trips and would be new to the existing roadway system.

### 4.1.2 Non-Primary Traffic

Some developments may also attract traffic from people already driving on area roadways. These trips are not new trips added to the local roadways (primary trips) but represent "non-primary" trips according to the following definitions:

Pass-by trips are trips made as an intermediate stop from an origin to a primary destination (i.e., stopping to shop on the way home from work) by vehicles passing directly by the project driveway. No pass-by trips are assumed for this development.

Diverted Trips are similar to pass-by trips, except diverted trips require a diversion from their original route onto another roadway to reach the site. These trips are not technically new trips but are new to the roadways in the immediate vicinity of a project.

To provide a conservative analysis it is assumed that all site trips will be primary trips. No pass-by trips are expected due to the nature of the destination as an employment and goods distribution hub and its location in the midst of Woodland's industrial westside. A minor number of diverted trips may occur but this is likely to be incidental. Therefore, the presence of diverted trip is not included in the trip analysis documented in this report.

Table 2 shows a summary of the trip generation characteristics for the two land use categories under consideration in this Traffic Impact Analysis report - Industrial Park and General Light Industrial. Industrial Park trip generation was assumed as part of the Traffic Scoping report originally prepared for this project. General Light Industrial is considered as a "worst case" alternative reflecting potential trips that could be associated with that use. More detailed trip generation information is included in
Appendix C.

Table 2. ITE Trip Generation Rates

|  |  | Industrial Park (130) |  | General Light Industrial (110) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | Variable | Trip Rate | Enter \% | Exit \% | Trip Rate | Enter \% | Exit \% |
| AM Peak Hour | 1,000 -sqft | $0.34^{1}$ | $81 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $0.74^{1}$ | $88 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| PM Peak Hour | 1,000 -sqft | $0.34^{1}$ | $22 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $0.65^{1}$ | $14 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Daily | 1,000 -sqft | $3.37^{1}$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $4.87^{1}$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

1. Average rate was used

The total trip generation expected from this project is calculated by applying the unit measure for each land use category to the appropriate trip generation rate. The trip generation for the two potential land uses in the proposed TCC Woodland Industrial project is shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Project Trip Generation

|  |  | Industrial Park |  |  | General Light Industrial |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | Size | Total Trips | Enter | Exit | Total Trips | Enter | Exit |
| AM Peak Hour | 925.18 | 315 | 258 | 60 | 685 | 603 | 82 |
| PM Peak Hour | 925.18 | 315 | 69 | 246 | 601 | 84 | 517 |
| Daily | 925.18 | 3,118 | 1,559 | 1,559 | 4,506 | 2,253 | 2,253 |

### 4.2 Site Traffic Distribution and Assignment

The trip distribution patterns identified in this figure were initially based on output from the Woodland travel demand model that was updated for the City's I-5/Exit 21 study and used as part of the Woodland Industrial Site Study, Transportation Analysis conducted in 2021. Traffic distribution from TAZ 404 was evaluated in the context of wider community land use patterns (i.e. residential and commercial destinations that would attract trips to/from the project site) and traffic orientation to the I-5 corridor (for commercial and employment trips to and from Woodland). This evaluation was used to determine appropriate trip distribution assumptions for the project site.

A graphic showing the trip distribution percentages and assigned PM peak hour trips attributable to the project assuming Industrial Park development is provided in Figure 5. Trip distribution assuming General Light Industrial land use is shown in Figure 6.The PM peak hour was selected for analysis as background traffic volumes on the existing street system are typically higher during that time period.
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Site-Generated Traffic Volumes
PM Peak Hour - Industrial Park
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Figure 6
Site-Generated Traffic Volumes
PM Peak Hour - General
Light Industrial

## 5 Future Traffic Conditions

### 5.1 Roadway Network Improvements

There are multiple network improvements planned within the study area. The following have been identified in the Woodland Six Year Transportation Improvement Program (2021 to 2026):

- West Scott Avenue from Schurman Way to Pacific Avenue - Full depth reclamation and sidewalks on West Scott Avenue and Guild Road from Schurman Way to Pacific Avenue. Project will also include pedestrian and water line crossing of railroad. Construction is noted as beginning in 2021 with completion in 2023.
- I-5 at Exit 21 (SR 503) - Exit 21 Interchange Project I-5 and SR-503 from Pacific Avenue to Atlantic Avenue - Develop designs to add capacity and enhance safety through Exit 21 on both sides of I-5. The design effort is anticipated to begin in 2023.
- Scott Avenue Transportation Study - Conduct Scott/Atlantic/Pacific Area Transportation Study to develop redesign of Scott, Pacific, and Atlantic Avenue areas, including I-5 exits and pedestrian facilities. Planning study was identified for 2021.
- W Scott/Pacific Avenue Slip Lane - Provide slip lane to accommodate southbound traffic heading to destinations on Pacific Avenue. Project is slated to occur in 2026.
- Davidson/Railroad/Goerig Intersection Improvements - Improve traffic flow at intersection of Davidson and Goerig, including RR crossing. A planning study was anticipated to begin in 2021.
- Goerig Street Overlay and ADA Ramps - Goerig Street from Buckeye Street to Davidson Street Grind and overlay Goerig Street and improve seven ADA ramps. Construction is noted for 2021.
- Lakeshore Drive - Lakeshore Drive from Goerig Street to city limits - Pavement and pedestrian improvements to include surface repairs and/or replacement, as well as a HMA path along portion of project area. Preliminary engineering was slated for 2021 with construction for 2022.
- CC Bridge Upgrade/Replacement - Replace or upgrade the existing CC Street Bridge between Cowlitz and Counties.

None of these improvements are expected to be complete prior to the proposed project and/or will not impact the operational analysis of the study intersections.

### 5.2 Future Traffic Volumes

Traffic volume forecasts were prepared for PM peak hour conditions for the 2025 opening year. The future traffic volume forecast includes non-specific background traffic growth, pipeline development traffic and estimated traffic generated by the proposed TCC Woodland Industrial project.

Future year non-project related traffic volumes were estimated based on a general, non-specific forecast of background traffic growth, coupled with traffic attributable to specific projects in the vicinity which have been approved but not yet constructed ("pipeline" projects). Non-specific background growth was estimated using a 2.0 percent annual (non-compounded) growth rate. This rate is slightly higher than the longer-term forecasts used in the Exit 21 study but only represents a couple of years growth.

The City of Woodland has identified five pipeline projects for consideration in developing future background traffic volumes at study area intersections. These included:

- Guild Road Industrial - this project would be located on Guild Road between Robinson Road and Schurman Way. It includes 37,500 square feet of manufacturing and warehouse facilities and is expected to generate 28 PM peak hour trips.
- Woodland Library - this project would be located on the southeast corner of the intersection of Goerig Street and Buckeye Street/Lakeshore Drive, just to the west of the I-5 southbound ramps at Exit 21. It includes a 10,000 square foot building that will include both the library and the Woodland Tourist Information Center (which will be relocated from its existing building). A total of 71 new trips were estimated for the PM peak hour.
- Woodland Creek Subdivision - this project would consist of 150 single-family housing units on the west side of Lewis River Road across from McCracken Road. This project is expected to generate a total of 149 PM peak hour trips.
- Port of Woodland Industrial Park - this project would be located on the south side of Guild Road to the west of Schurman Way. It would include 126,000 square feet of general light industrial uses and would generate an estimated 122 PM peak hour trips.
- Quail Meadows Subdivision - this project would consist of 31 single-family housing units on the west side of Lewis River Road across from Spruce Avenue and Salmon Street. This project is expected to generate a total of 31 PM peak hour trips, none of which were identified as reaching the I-5 interchange. Accordingly, traffic from this project has not been included in the development of background traffic for study area intersections.

The projected 2025 PM peak hour traffic volumes without the TCC Woodland Industrial project are shown in Figure 7. The projected 2025 PM peak hour traffic volumes with the industrial park land use are shown in Figure 8, while Figure 9 illustrates expected 2025 PM peak hour trips with the general light industrial land use. The traffic volume calculations for the study intersections are included in Appendix C.
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Figure 7
Projected 2025 PM Peak Hour
Traffic Volumes Without Project


TCC Woodland Industrial Development
Woodland, Washington
Traffic Impact Analysis

Figure 8
Projected 2025 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes With Project Industrial Park
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Figure 9
Projected 2025 PM Peak Hour
Traffic Volumes With Project -
General Light Industrial
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## 6 Traffic Operations Analysis

Traffic analyses were conducted to identify any deficiencies within the study area for the PM peak hour in the 2023 base year and the 2025 project opening year.

### 6.1 Level of Service

The acknowledged source for determining overall capacity for arterial segments and independent intersections is the current edition of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) published by the Transportation Research Board (TRB).

Intersection analysis was performed using the Synchro software package. This software implements the methods of the $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition HCM. Capacity analysis results are described in terms of Level of Service (LOS). LOS is a qualitative term describing operating conditions a driver will experience while traveling on a street or highway during a specific time interval. LOS ranges from $A$ (very little delay) to $F$ (long delays and congestion).

The City of Woodland has no adopted level of service standards, while WSDOT considers LOS D at signalized intersections and LOS E at unsignalized intersections to be the minimum acceptable performance standards. These have been assumed for this analysis.

### 6.1.1 Intersection Level of Service Criteria

For intersections under minor street stop-control, the LOS of the most difficult movement (typically the minor street left-turn) represents the intersection Level of Service for purposes of assessing potential impacts. For traffic signals, the intersection average delay is used to assess potential impacts. The following table shows the Level of Service criteria for stop-controlled intersections and signalized intersections.

Table 4. Level of Service Criteria for Intersections

| Level of <br> Service | Signalized Intersection Average <br> Control Delay (seconds/vehicle) | Stop-Controlled or Roundabout <br> Intersection Average Control Delay <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

### 6.2 Intersection Analysis Including Industrial Park

The analysis was conducted for the following scenarios:

- Existing 2023 traffic volumes
- Projected 2025 background traffic volumes without the TCC Woodland Industrial project
- Projected 2025 traffic volumes with the TCC Woodland Industrial project assuming Industrial Park development

The operational analysis results of the study intersections for the PM peak hour are provided in Table 5. The LOS analysis worksheets are included in Appendix D.

Table 5. PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service

| Intersection |  | Control Type | LOS <br> Standard | Base Year 2023 |  | Projected 2025 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Without Project |  |  |  | With Industrial Park |  |
|  |  | LOS <br> (delay) |  | v/C <br> Ratio | LOS (delay) | V/C <br> Ratio | LOS (delay) | V/C <br> Ratio |
| 1 | Dike Access Road at Schurman Way |  | $\mathrm{RBT}^{1}$ | E | A (7.5) | $0.53{ }^{3}$ | A (8.0) | $0.61{ }^{3}$ | A (8.9) | $0.73{ }^{3}$ |
| 2 | Dike Access Road at I-5 SB ramps |  | RBT ${ }^{1}$ | E | A (7.9) | $0.81{ }^{3}$ | A (9.1) | $0.86{ }^{3}$ | B (14.7) | $0.96{ }^{3}$ |
| 3 | Dike Access Road at I-5 NB ramps | $\mathrm{RBT}^{1}$ | E | A (8.7) | 0.593 | A (9.0) | $0.62{ }^{3}$ | B (10.2) | $0.70^{3}$ |
| 4 | Guild Road at Schurman Way | TWSC² | D | B (11.5) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.19^{3}$ | B (14.2) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.26{ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}(18.7)^{3}$ | $0.34{ }^{3}$ |
| 5 | N Pekin Road at Guild Road/Scott Avenue | TWSC² | D | B (11.6) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.17^{3}$ | B (12.7) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.21{ }^{3}$ | B (14.8) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.33{ }^{3}$ |
| 6 | N Pekin Road at Goerig Road | Yield | D | B (10.7) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.03{ }^{3}$ | B (11.0) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.05^{3}$ | B (12.7) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.06{ }^{3}$ |
| 7 | Davidson Street at S Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | $\mathrm{C}(15.7)^{3}$ | $0.12^{3}$ | $C(16.8)^{3}$ | $0.17{ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}(21.0)^{3}$ | $0.42^{3}$ |
| 8 | SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at I-5 SB Ramps/Pacific Avenue | Signal | D | C (25.6) | --4 | C (25.7) | --4 | C (25.8) | --4 |
| 9 | SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at I-5 NB Ramps/Atlantic Avenue | Signal | D | D (43.3) | 0.63 | D (44.7) | 0.67 | D (46.6) | 0.69 |
| 10 | SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at CC Street | Signal | D | C (31.6) | 0.57 | C (32.5) | 0.62 | C (32.5) | 0.63 |
| 11 | Scott Avenue at I-5 SB off-ramp | TWSC² | D | B (11.3) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.17{ }^{3}$ | B (12.2) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.27^{3}$ | B (12.9) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.33{ }^{3}$ |
| 12 | North Site Driveway at N Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | -- | -- | -- | -- | B (10.1) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.03{ }^{3}$ |
| 13 | Middle North Site Driveway at N Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | -- | -- | -- | -- | $B(9.6){ }^{3}$ | $0.01^{3}$ |
| 14 | Middle South Site Driveway at N Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | -- | -- | -- | -- | B (9.6) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.01^{3}$ |
| 15 | South Site Driveway at N Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | -- | -- | -- | -- | B (10.0) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.15{ }^{3}$ |
| 16 | Guild Road at Rose Way | TWSC ${ }^{2}$ | D | -- | -- | -- | -- | A $(9.3)^{3}$ | $0.11^{3}$ |

1. RBT means roundabout
2. Two-Way Stop-Control
3. Worst level of average delay or worst $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio
4. Intersection analyzed using $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition HCM software which does not calculate overall v/c ratios.

Table 6 summarizes traffic queuing results for each study area intersection. With project results assume trip generation for an Industrial Park. Analysis worksheets are included in Appendix E.

Table 6. PM Peak Hour Intersection Traffic Queues


1. RBT means roundabout
2. Two-Way Stop-Control

### 6.2.1 Dike Access Road at Schurman Way

This is a four-legged intersection which currently operates as a single lane roundabout. The north leg serves the Wal-Mart and other smaller commercial developments while the east, west and south legs are public streets that provide a critical connection between the Schurman Way industrial area and the $\mathrm{I}-5$ interchange at Dike Access Road. The intersection operates in close coordination with the l-5 southbound ramp intersection located about 350 feet to the east.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS A with 7.5 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS A with 8.0 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS A with 8.9 seconds of average delay. The westbound traffic queue is estimated at about 80 to 85 feet or equivalent to about three cars for both 2025 scenarios. The northbound traffic queue is expected to grow from about 105 feet in 2023 to 140 feet in 2025 without the project. With the addition of the industrial park, the northbound queue is expected to be about 220 feet long. All of these queues could be accommodated within the available storage.

### 6.2.2 Dike Access Road at I-5 SB Ramps

This is a four-legged intersection which currently operates as a single lane roundabout. The north leg serves as the southbound off-ramp from Interstate 5 while the south leg provides southbound access to the freeway. The east and west legs serve to connect the east and west sides of the city of Woodland and provide access to the freeway.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS A with 7.9 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS A with 9.1 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS A with 14.7 seconds of average delay. Eastbound traffic queues periodically exceed available storage between the southbound ramps and the intersection of Dike Access Road with Schurman Way for all scenarios. This will affect the operation of the Dike Access Road/Schurman Way intersection.

### 6.2.3 Dike Access Road at I-5 NB Ramps

This is a four-legged intersection which currently operates as a single lane roundabout. The north leg provides northbound access to Interstate 5 while the south leg serves as the southbound off-ramp from the freeway. The east and west legs serve to connect the east and west sides of the city of Woodland and provide access to the freeway.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS A with 8.7 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS A with 9.0 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS B with 10.2 seconds of average delay. Anticipated traffic queues for all scenarios are expected to be accommodated within available storage.

### 6.2.4 Guild Road at Schurman Way

This is a tee intersection with stop control on the side street ( N Pekin Road). Both the southbound and eastbound approach legs of the intersection provides a single through travel lane and a left turn lane to accommodate all possible movements. In the 2023 PM peak hour, the worst movement at the
intersection (southbound left) operates at LOS B with 11.5 seconds of average delay per vehicle. In the 2025 PM peak hour with the project, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B with 14.2 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to drop to LOS C with 15.2 seconds of average delay. Anticipated traffic queues for all scenarios are expected to be accommodated within available storage.

### 6.2.5 N Pekin Road at Guild Road/Scott Avenue

This is a tee intersection with stop control on the side street ( N Pekin Road). Each approach leg of the intersection provides a single travel lane to accommodate all possible movements.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS B with 11.6 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS B with 12.7 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS C with 19.8 seconds of average delay. Anticipated traffic queues for all scenarios are expected to be accommodated within available storage.

### 6.2.6 N Pekin Road at Goerig Road

This is a tee intersection with yield control on the side street (Goerig Road). Approaching the intersection, N Pekin Road makes a gradual and free movement either from southbound-to-eastbound or westbound-to-northbound with Goerig Road entering midway through the curve. Each approach leg of the intersection provides a single travel lane to accommodate all possible movements.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS B with 10.7 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS B with 11.0 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS B with 12.7 seconds of average delay. Anticipated traffic queues for all scenarios are expected to be accommodated within available storage.

### 6.2.7 Davidson Street at S Pekin Road ( $5^{\text {th }}$ Street)

This is a four-legged intersection which currently operates with stop sign control on the side street (S Pekin Road/5 $5^{\text {th }}$ Street). Each approach leg provides a single travel lane that accommodates a full range of turn movements. There is a crosswalk on all legs of the intersection.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS C with 15.7 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS C with 16.8 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS C with 21.0 seconds of average delay. Anticipated traffic queues for all scenarios are expected to be accommodated within available storage.

### 6.2.8 SR 503(Lewis River Road) at Pacific Avenue/ I-5 Southbound On-Ramp

This is a four-legged intersection which currently operates under traffic signal control. The north, east and west legs provide for two directions of travel while the south leg serves as the southbound on-ramp to I-5. The westbound leg provides a separate travel lane for left turns, through movements and right turns. There is no pedestrian crossing on this leg. The eastbound leg provides for left turns, through movements and through/right movements. A crosswalk is provided on this leg. The southbound leg provides separate lanes for left and through movements and includes a crosswalk. Right turns split off before the intersection in their own lane.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS C with 25.6 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS C with 25.7 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS C with 25.8 seconds of average delay. Traffic queuing on two of the most critical legs is expected to exceed available storage under all scenarios. The southbound through movement on Pacific Avenue is expected to spillback between 580 and 780 feet, depending on scenario, causing queues to block several upstream intersections. The westbound left is also expected to slightly spill back out of available storage for all three scenarios including existing, 2025 without project and 2025 with industrial park.

### 6.2.9 SR 503(Lewis River Road) at Atlantic Avenue/l-5 Northbound Off-Ramp

This is a four-legged intersection which currently operates under traffic signal control. The north, east and west legs provide for two directions of travel while the south leg serves as the northbound off-ramp from l-5. The westbound leg provides separate through and through/right turn lanes. There is no pedestrian crossing on this leg. The eastbound leg provides a separate travel lane for left turns, through movements and through/right turns and includes a pedestrian crosswalk. The southbound leg includes separate left and right turns, as well as a crosswalk. The northbound leg provides two travel lanes, one for right turns only and the other a shared through/left turn lane.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS D with 43.3 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS D with 44.7 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS D with 46.6 seconds of average delay. PM peak hour traffic queues are not expected to adversely impact the l-5 northbound off-ramp; however, some impacts are expected in the eastbound left turn lane when one or two cars could spillback outside of the existing turn lane. It should be noted that an engineering study has recently begun which will confirm the desired improvements at this location which will help to mitigate any adverse impacts in the vicinity of the I-5/SR 503 (Exit 21) interchange.

### 6.2.10 SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at CC Street

This is a signal-controlled tee intersection that is closely coordinated with the signal at the intersection of Lewis River Road with the l-5 northbound off-ramp/Atlantic Avenue. The westbound leg provides separate lanes for left turns, through movements and through/right movements. The eastbound leg has two through lanes carried forward from the I0-5 northbound off-ramp intersection. The northbound leg provides separate lanes for right and left turn movements.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS C with 31.6 seconds of average delay. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS C with 32.5 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS C with 32.5 seconds of average delay. Traffic queues that exceed available storage are expected for all scenarios with westbound left turns and northbound right turns. While there is substantial storage for northbound lefts, the length of the right turn lane is insufficient to avoid some queue spillback into the left turn lane on occasion. The engineering study currently underway for Exit 21 will also address impact mitigation at this intersection.

### 6.2.11 Scott Avenue at I-5 SB off-ramp

This is a Tee intersection which currently operates under traffic signal control for the side street movement (Scott Avenue). The north leg operates as the southbound off-ramp from I-5 for the Exit 21 split diamond interchange, while the south leg is Pacific Avenue, a two-way frontage road on the west side of I-5 which connects the off-ramp to SR-503 and I-5 southbound access. Northbound traffic must turn left onto Scott Avenue to avoid wrong-way travel on the freeway. Eastbound Scott Avenue traffic must turn right onto Pacific Avenue. A single travel lane is provided on all approach legs.

In the 2023 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS B with 11.3 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2025 horizon without the TCC Woodland Industrial project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS B with 12.2 seconds of average delay. With the addition of industrial park traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS B with 12.9 seconds of average delay. Anticipated traffic queues for all scenarios are expected to be accommodated within available storage.

### 6.2.12 N Pekin Road at North Site Access Driveway

This is a future tee intersection with stop control on the side street ( N Pekin Road). Each approach leg provides a single travel lane that accommodates a full range of turn movements. In the 2025 PM peak hour with the industrial park project, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B with 11.3 seconds of average delay. Traffic queues of one or two vehicles are expected during the PM peak hour.

### 6.2.13 N Pekin Road at Middle North Site Access Driveway

This is a future tee intersection with stop control on the side street ( N Pekin Road). Each approach leg provides a single travel lane that accommodates a full range of turn movements. In the 2025 PM peak hour with the industrial park project, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B with 10.0 seconds of average delay. Traffic queues of one or two vehicles are expected during the PM peak hour.

### 6.2.14 N Pekin Road at Middle South Site Access Driveway

This is a future tee intersection with stop control on the side street ( N Pekin Road). Each approach leg provides a single travel lane that accommodates a full range of turn movements. In the 2025 PM peak hour with the industrial park project, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B with 10.0 seconds of average delay. Traffic queues of one or two vehicles are expected during the PM peak hour.

### 6.2.15 N Pekin Road at South Site Access Driveway

This is a future tee intersection with stop control on the side street (N Pekin Road). Each approach leg provides a single travel lane that accommodates a full range of turn movements. In the 2025 PM peak hour with the industrial park project, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B with 11.2 seconds of average delay. Traffic queues of two or three vehicles are expected during the PM peak hour.

### 6.2.16 Guild Road at Rose Way

This is a future tee intersection with stop control on the side street (Rose Way). Each approach leg would provide a single travel lane that accommodates a full range of turn movements. In the 2025 PM peak hour with the industrial park project, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS A with 9.3 seconds of average delay. Traffic queues of about two vehicles are expected during the PM peak hour.

### 6.3 Comparison of Intersection Operations with General Light Industrial

The operational analysis results of the study intersections for the PM peak hour are based on the turning movement traffic projections illustrated in Figure 8. Analysis results are provided in Table 7. The LOS analysis worksheets are included in Appendix D.

Table 7. 2025 PM Peak Hour Comparison of Intersection Levels of Service

| Intersection |  | Control Type | LOS <br> Standard | Projected 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Without Project |  | With Industrial Park |  | With General Light Industrial |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { (delay) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { V/C } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { (delay) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { V/C } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { (delay) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { V/C } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | Dike Access Road at Schurman Way |  | RBT ${ }^{1}$ | E | A (8.0) | $0.61{ }^{3}$ | A (8.9) | $0.73{ }^{3}$ | B (11.3) | $0.66^{3}$ |
| 2 | Dike Access Road at I-5 SB ramps |  | RBT ${ }^{1}$ | E | A (9.1) | $0.86{ }^{3}$ | B (14.7) | $0.96{ }^{3}$ | D (46.8) | $1.06^{3}$ |
| 3 | Dike Access Road at l-5 NB ramps | RBT ${ }^{1}$ | E | A (9.0) | $0.62^{3}$ | B (10.2) | $0.70^{3}$ | B (12.3) | $0.79^{3}$ |
| 4 | Guild Road at Schurman Way | TWSC² | D | $B(4.2)^{3}$ | $0.26^{3}$ | C (15.2) ${ }^{3}$ | 0.293 | D (27.3) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.46^{3}$ |
| 5 | N Pekin Road at Guild Road/Scott Avenue | TWSC² | D | B (2.7) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.21^{3}$ | B (14.8) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.56{ }^{3}$ | C (18.1) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.48^{3}$ |
| 6 | N Pekin Road at Goerig Road | Yield | D | B (11.0) ${ }^{3}$ | 0.053 | B (12.7) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.06{ }^{3}$ | B (14.8) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.07{ }^{3}$ |
| 7 | Davidson Street at S Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | C (16.8) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.17^{3}$ | C (21.0) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.42^{3}$ | D (27.4) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.53^{3}$ |
| 8 | SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at I-5 SB Ramps/Pacific Avenue | Signal | D | C (25.7) | --4 | C (25.8) | --4 | C (23.3) | --4 |
| 9 | SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at I-5 NB Ramps/Atlantic Avenue | Signal | D | D (44.7) | 0.67 | D (46.6) | 0.69 | D (47.3) | 0.70 |
| 10 | SR 503 (Lewis River Road) at CC Street | Signal | D | C (32.5) | 0.62 | C (32.5) | 0.63 | C (32.1) | 0.65 |
| 11 | Scott Avenue at I-5 SB off-ramp | TWSC² | D | B (12.2) ${ }^{3}$ | 0.27 | B (12.9) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.33{ }^{3}$ | B (13.7) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.39^{3}$ |
| 12 | North Site Driveway at N Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | -- | -- | B (10.1) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.03^{3}$ | B (10.7) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.11^{3}$ |
| 13 | Middle North Site Driveway at N Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | -- | -- | B (9.6) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.01^{3}$ | B (9.6) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.01^{3}$ |
| 14 | Middle South Site Driveway at N Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | -- | -- | B (9.6) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.01^{3}$ | B (9.6) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.01^{3}$ |
| 15 | South Site Driveway at N Pekin Road | TWSC² | D | -- | -- | B (10.0) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.15{ }^{3}$ | B (10.7) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.28^{3}$ |
| 16 | Guild Road at Rose Way | TWSC² | D | -- | -- | A (9.3) ${ }^{3}$ | $0.11^{3}$ | A (9.9) ${ }^{3}$ | 0.23 |

1. RBT means roundabout
2. Two-Way Stop-Control
3. Worst level of average delay or worst $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio
4. Intersection analyzed using $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition HCM software which does not calculate overall $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios.

Table 8 summarizes traffic queuing results with General Light Industrial in comparison to results from Table 7 for Industrial Park for each study area intersection. Details are in Appendix E.

Table 8. 2025 PM Peak Hour Comparison of Industrial Park and General Light Industrial Queues


1. RBT means roundabout
2. Two-Way Stop-Control

As shown in Tables 7 and 8 there are few substantive differences between intersection operational performance and expected traffic queueing between the industrial park development and the potential general light industrial development. Key differences include the following:

- The expected 2025 PM peak hour level of service at the intersection of N Pekin Road with Guild Road/Scott Avenue is expected to operate at LOS B without the project or with the proposed industrial park development. Operations are expected to drop to LOS C with the general light industrial land use. These changes are primarily related to the increase in westbound traffic from the I-5 southbound off-ramp at Scott Avenue which is traveling to the project site and the increase in northbound traffic exiting the project site.
- Northbound traffic on Schurman Way approaching the roundabout at Dike Access Road is expected to spill back beyond the first street intersection with the general light industrial land use. This is not expected to occur with the industrial park.
- Eastbound through traffic on Dike Access Road is expected to spill back from the I-5 southbound ramp to (and through) the intersection with Schurman Way with all scenarios. However, the impact of the general light industrial land use is substantially higher than with the light industrial land use equating to nearly a 2,000 foot queue.
- Southbound through traffic on Pacific Avenue approaching the intersection with SR 503 at the I5 southbound on-ramp is expected to spill back beyond available storage for all scenarios. The impacts of this spill back would be slightly longer (about 300 feet) with the industrial park land use in comparison with the no project scenario. However, there would be about 550 more feet of traffic queue with the general light industrial land use.


### 6.4 Safety Analysis

As noted in Chapter 3, there were a total of 71 crashes at study area intersections over the five year analysis period (2018 to 2022). There were no fatalities and only one serious injury crash was reported at the intersection of $N$ Pekin Road at Goerig Road. Approximately 80 percent of all the reported crashes were classified as property damage only (with no apparent injury).

### 6.4.1 Existing Intersection Safety Analysis

During the traffic study scoping process, WSDOT requested that predictive intersection safety analysis be conducted at the two l-5 interchanges serving the project area. That analysis is presented in this section.

An analysis of the existing safety performance conditions was performed using the Highway Safety Manual (HSM) Freeway Model as presented by the Interchange Safety Analysis Tool enhanced (ISATe) spreadsheet. The ISATe spreadsheet incorporates the Highway Safety Manuals Part C predictive methods and is used to evaluate the safety performance of freeway facilities. It is based on research that quantified the relationship between various design elements or design components and average crash frequency.

The predicted crashes for a facility similar to the study interchange termini can also be calculated using the ISATe tool. The ISATe tool can calculate the "expected" crashes for the study interchange termini using existing geometry and crash history. The expected crash data (which represents the facility undergoing evaluation) can be compared to the "predicted" crash data of similar facilities to determine
if there are any existing safety concerns that appear to be out of the ordinary. If the expected crash totals exceed that of a similar facility, then the study segment would not be considered to be performing as safely as predicted.

It should be noted that the ISATe modeling does not support analysis of roundabout traffic control. For the Exit 22 interchange termini, which both operate under single-lane roundabout control, traffic signal control was used in the modeling. The ISATe results have not been adjusted since the comparison of results from the ISATe model still provide a meaningful delta. However, in comparison to the actual crash experience at those locations, the ISATe results should be considered high as single-lane roundabout control is a proven safety countermeasure that would significantly reduce the probability of crashes.

As shown in Table 9, expected crashes are greater than predicted crashes for similar facilities at the Exit 21 interchange, indicating that the crash experience there is higher than what would be expected given the geometric and traffic volume data. For Exit 22 the expected crashes are lower than, which makes sense given that the interchange actually operates under single-lane roundabout control, which is safer than the traffic signal control being assumed in the ISATe model. The ISATe inputs and outputs are provided in Appendix B.

Table 9. Existing 2023 ISATe Analysis Results for Study Locations (Annual Crashes)

| Interchange Termini | Predicted Crashes <br> (Similar Facilities) | Expected Crashes <br> (Study Facilities) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Exit 22 SB Ramps | 3.25 | 2.29 |
| Exit 22 NB Ramps | 2.60 | 1.28 |
| Exit 21 SB Off-Ramp - Scott Avenue | 0.44 | 0.34 |
| Exit 21 SB Ramps | 2.32 | 2.63 |
| Exit 21 NB Ramps | 2.85 | 4.19 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 3}$ |

### 6.4.2 Predicted 2025 Intersection Safety Analysis

The primary value of the ISATe model is being able to evaluate the predicted safety performance of freeway facilities into the future. For this study each of the interchange termini have been evaluated for the projected 2025 volume horizon without the project, with the project as an industrial park, and with the project as general light industrial. It should be noted that for this analysis only the daily volumes have been changed, while all geometric inputs match the existing conditions. The predicted crash results are provided in Table 10.

Table 10. Predicted 2025 ISATe Analysis Results for Study Locations (Annual Crashes)

| Interchange Termini | Without Project | With Industrial <br> Park | With General <br> Light Industrial |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exit 22 SB Ramps | 3.46 | 3.75 | 4.07 |
| Exit 22 NB Ramps | 2.77 | 3.07 | 3.40 |
| Exit 21 SB Off-Ramp - Scott Avenue | 0.52 | 0.62 | 0.66 |
| Exit 21 SB Ramps | 2.58 | 2.94 | 3.34 |
| Exit 21 NB Ramps | 3.09 | 3.18 | 3.22 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6 9}$ |

Based on the influence of increased daily volumes generated by the growth in background and pipeline traffic study locations are predicted to increase annual crashes by about two vehicles (from 10.43 in 2023 to 12.42 in 2025). With the addition of project traffic from the proposed industrial park land use, the project is predicted to result in approximately one additional crash per year across all of the study interchange termini. Were the project to develop as a general light industrial site, it would be predicted to result in an additional one crash per year. It should be noted that this additional crash frequency is predicted to fall primary in the property damage only category and the serious/fatal crash types are predicted to increase by 0.1 for both project intensities.

### 6.4.3 Sight Distance Analysis

Based on posted travel speed of 35 mph , the intersections of N Pekin Road with the project driveways should have an entering sight distance requirement of 390 feet for left turns (to the right) and 335 feet for right turns (to the left) for the typical vehicle. Street level review indicates that there is approximately 500 feet of sight distance to the north to the intersection with Guild Road which is sufficient to meet the required 335 feet of sight distance for right turns. There is about 800 feet of sight distance to the south which is sufficient to meet the 390 -foot requirement for left turns.

### 6.5 Street and Intersection Improvements

Based on the analysis presented in Chapter 5, no adverse intersection-related traffic impacts are anticipated with development of the project site either for an Industrial Park or for general light industrial uses. Selected traffic queues in the vicinity of the two l-5 interchanges are expected to be longer with the addition of project traffic but these would not cause average delay to drop below acceptable standards nor would the anticipated traffic queues adversely impact traffic exiting l-5 in any direction. Accordingly, no intersection impact mitigation is proposed or recommended.

Several improvements to the local existing and future street system in the vicinity of the project an anticipated. These would include:

- Construction of half-width improvements along the project frontage on $N$ Pekin Road in conjunction with the four new driveways that would serve the site. These improvements would be constructed to full urban standard to include street, curb/gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, and illumination and would serve the driveways proposed for development on the east side of the project site.
- Construction of half-width improvements along the future alignment of Rose Way south of the Port's pending project that would involve construction of a similar improvement south from Guild Road to the TCC Woodland Industrial site. The eastern half of the new roadway would be constructed to full urban standard to include street, curb/gutter, sidewalk, landscaping, and illumination and would serve the driveways proposed for development on the west side of the project site. The western half of the new roadway would be leveled and surfaced with gravel to facilitate truck turning movements into and out of the project site. It is anticipated that this improvement between the project site and Guild Road would be in place by opening day in 2025.

In addition to providing the street improvements listed above, the project will also pay the required Traffic Impact Fees as identified based on the proposed land use. These fees will be calculated on consultation with the City of Woodland.
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## 7 Summary and Mitigation

The TCC Woodland Industrial project will consist of two industrial buildings totaling approximately 925,180 square feet located at 345 N Pekin Road in Woodland, Washington. These buildings would be situated on approximately 67.49 acres of undeveloped land. Access to and from the project site is proposed to be via four driveways on $N$ Pekin Road that would serve both auto and truck uses connecting to appropriate parking facilities and truck loading docks. Driveways along N Pekin Road would primarily serve Building $B$, the smaller of the two buildings proposed for construction on the site. The southern driveway would also provide access for Building A which is oriented north/south in the western portion of the property.

Building A would also have access to the transportation system via five driveways on Rose Way as that facility would be connected to the larger community transportation system by the anticipated project opening year of 2025. It is anticipated that the project will construct an urban half-width improvement along Rose Way providing full site access and circulation. Ultimately Rose Way will be extended further to the north and south with connections to Guild Road on the north and Caples Road on the south. The project is proposed to have a total of 546 auto parking spaces and 182 trailer parking spaces with 191 truck docks.

The site could be developed for industrial park or general light industrial uses depending on the needs of the ultimate tenant. For purposes of this Traffic Impact Analysis, analysis of both land use types have been considered with general light industrial representing the maximum potential site impact.

At full occupancy and operation, the project is estimated to generate approximately 315 net new trip ends during the PM peak hour with the industrial park or 601 net new PM peak hour trip ends with the general light industrial land use. Based on the analysis described in this report, all the study area intersections are projected to operate at or better than the established intersection level of service standards. Accordingly, no impact mitigation is recommended.

The project will include frontage improvements along the existing portion of $N$ Pekin Road adjacent to the TCC property and a half width improvement along an extension of Rose Way south from the roadway segment that is being developed by the Port of Woodland between Guild Road and the TCC site. Additionally, it is expected that the proposed TCC Woodland Industrial project will pay the identified City of Woodland traffic impact fees.
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## Appendix B

 Crash Data

| $\begin{gathered} \text { JURISICT } \\ \text { ION } \end{gathered}$ | countr | CITY | PRIMARY TRAFFICWAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BLOCK } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | intersecting TRAFFICWAY | milepast | A ${ }_{\text {date }}$ | TIME | $\underset{\substack{\text { TYOE }}}{\text { MOSt Severe inury }}$ |  |  | VEHICLE 1 TYPE | VEHICLE 2 TYPE | JUNCTION RELATIONSHIP | WEATHER | Roadwar SURFACE CONDITION | lighting CONDITION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dike Access at Schurman Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Street | Cowlitz | Woodland | DIKE ACCESS RD | 0 | SCHURMAN WAY |  | 02/09/2020 | 15:12 | No Apparent Injury |  | 20 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel T Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | Entering Roundabout | Clear | Dry | Daylight |
| City Street | Cowitz | Woodland | DIKE ACCESS RD |  | SCHURMAN WAY |  | 10/10/2020 | 14:42 P | Possible Injury | 20 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | Exiting Roundabout | Overcast | we | Daylig |
| City Street | Cowitz | Woodland | DIKE ACCESS RD | 9500 | SCHURMAN WAY |  | 04/17/2021 | 11:28 | No Apparent Injury |  |  | Passenger Car |  | Circulating Roundabout | Clear | Ory | Daylig |
| City Street | Cowlitz | Woodland | DIKE ACCESS RD |  | SCHURMAN WAY |  | 04/17/2021 | 11:13 | No Apparent Injury |  | 10 | Passenger Car |  | Circulating Roundabout | clear | Dry | Daylight |
| City Street | Cowitz | Woodland | schurman WAY | 0 | DIKE ACCESS RD |  | 10/09/2018 | 15:50 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | Entering Roundabout | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| Schurman Way at Guild Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Street | Cowitz | Woodland | GUILD RD | 1201 | SCHURMAN WAY |  | 10/27/2021 | 16:05 | No Apparent Injury |  |  | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10, | At Intersection and Related | Raining | Wet | Daylight |
| City Street ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cowitz | Woodland | schurman WAY | 1718 | GUILD RD |  | 12/04/2022 | 00:00 N | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 1010 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb |  | At Intersection and Related | Overcast | Dry | Dark-street Lights on |
| Pekin Rd at Goerig Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Street | Cowilz | Woodland | GOERIG RD | 800 | N PEKIN RD |  | 11/22/2021 | 14:55 | No Apparent Injury |  |  | Pickup,Panel T Truck or Vanette under 10,00 Ib |  | At Intersection and Not Related | overcast | Wet | yligh |
| City Street | Cowlitz | Woodland | N PEKIN RD | 1 | DAVIISon AVE |  | 099/12/2021 | 18:15 | Suspected Serious Injur] | 10 |  | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb |  | At Intersection and Related | clear | Dry | Daylight |
| W Scott Ave at I-5 SB Off Ramp/Pacific Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Street | Cowitz | Woodland | W SCOTT AVE | 500 |  |  | 05/13/2022 | 11:13 | No Apparent Injury |  |  | Truck \& Trailer |  | Intersection Related but Not at Intersectio | Overcast | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cowitz | Woodland | 005FF02108 |  |  | 0.72 | 07/02/2021 | 18:09 N | No Apparent Injury |  | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Pickup,Panel T Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | AAt Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout $C$ | Cowlitz | Woodland | $005 \mathrm{RR102199}$ |  |  | 0.21 | 08/13/2020 | 05:06 | No Apparent Injury |  |  | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | At Intersection and Related | clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Dark-street Lights on |
| State Rout ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cowlitz | Woodland | OOSR102199 |  |  | 0.21 | 09/12/2022 | 15:52 | No Apparent Injury | 00 |  | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Clear | Dry | Daylight |
| SR 503 at E CC St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.31 | 12/08/2022 | 09:25 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 20 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | At Intersection and Related | Raining | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.32 | 05/17/2021 | 17:15 | No Apparent Injury | 00 |  | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Overcast | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.32 | 09/05/2019 | 16:23 | No Apparent Injury |  | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | AAt Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.32 | 05/17/2021 | 17:15 | No Apparent Injury |  | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Overcast | Dry | Daylight |
| City Street | Cowitz | Woodland | ECC ST | 198 |  |  | 06/23/2022 | 18:40 N | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 2010 | Passenger Car | Passenger Car | At Driveway | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| SR 503 at l-5 NB Off Ramp/Atlantic St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Rout | Cowiliz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 11/09/2020 | 06:33 N | No Apparent Injury |  |  | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 | AAt Intersection and Related | clear | Wet | Dark-Street Lights on |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 03/02/2019 | 12:45 | No Apparent Injury |  | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,0001 | AAt Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout C | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 01/06/2020 | 07:50 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Truck Tractor \& Semi-Trailer | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 | At Intersection and Related | Raining | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 04/20/2018 | 14:49 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 07/28/2019 | 20:35 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 100 | Passenger Car |  | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Dusk |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 08/19/2019 | 18:35 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 l | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | At Intersection and Related | clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout $C$ | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 06/27/2022 | 17:26 N | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 300 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Not Related | Other | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 05/27/2021 | 19:05 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 03/03/2020 | 07:52 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Passenger Car | School Bus | At Intersection and Related | Clear | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 12/14/2020 | 06:10 N | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel T Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Truck Tractor \& Semi-Trailer | At Intersection and Related | Fog or Smog or Smok | Wet | Dark-Street Lights on |
| State Rout ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 09/08/2021 | 11:30 | Suspected Minor Injury | 10 | 200 | Pickup,Panel T Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 | AAt Intersection and Related | clear | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout $C$ | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 09/14/2018 | 12:45 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel T Tuck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 | AAt Intersection and Related | Overcast | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 11/30/2021 | 06:45 P | Possible Injury | 10 | 200 | School Bus | Pickup,Panel ITuck or Vanette under 10,000 1 | AAt Intersection and Related | Overcast | Dry | Dawn |
| State Rout $C$ | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 12/14/2018 | 15:15 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,0001 | AAt Intersection and Related | Overcast | Wet | Dusk |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 10/29/2021 | 06:46 | Possible Injury | 10 | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,0001 | AAt Intersection and Related | Raining | Wet | Dark-Street Lights on |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 01/22/2019 | 07:47 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,00011 | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly cloudy | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 03/02/2019 | 12:45 ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 07/28/2019 | 20:35 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 100 | Passenger Car |  | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Dusk |
| State Rout $C$ | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 08/19/2019 | 18:35 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | AAt Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 12/14/2020 | 06:10 N | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel T Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 \| | Truck Tractor \& Semi-Trailer | At Intersection and Related | Fog or Smog or Smok | Wet | Dark-Street Lights on |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 11/30/2021 | 06:45 | Possible Injury | 10 | 200 | School Bus | Pickup,Panel Iruck or Vanette under 10,000 | AAt Intersection and Related | overcast | Dry | Dawn |
| State Rout ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.33 | 01/22/2019 | 07:47 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 | AAt Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.34 | 11/30/2018 | 17:04 ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 19 | School Bus | Intersection Related but Not at Intersection | Overcast | Wet | Dark-Street Lights on |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  | 54.38 | 12/31/2022 | 22:54 | No Apparent Injury | 00 |  | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb |  | Intersection Related but Not at Intersection | Fog or Smog or Smoka | Wet | Dark-Street Lights on |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005F02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 12/26/2018 | 77:3 | No Apparent Injury |  |  | Passenger Car | \|Truck (Flatadad,Van,etc) | At Intersection and Related | Raining | Wet | Dark-Street Lights on |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


$\begin{array}{lll}\begin{array}{l}\text { WsDot - Transporation Data, GIS and Modeling office } \\ \text { Crash Data and Reporting rananch }- \text { KM }\end{array} & 05 / 10 / 2023\end{array}$

## OFICCR REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

01/01/2018-12/31/2022 See 2nd tab below for road info \& interchange drawings



| JURISICT ION | countr | citr | PRIMARY TRAFFICWAY | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \text { BLOCK } \\ \text { NUMBRR } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | intersecting TRAFFICWAY | MILEPOST | B date | TIME | $\underset{\text { TYPE }}{\text { MOST SEVER INURY }}$ |  |  | VEHICLE 1 TYPE | VEHICLE 2 TYPE | JUNCTION RELATIONSHIP | WEATHE | ROADWAY SUREACE CONDITION | LIGHTING CONDITION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 05F-02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 02/21/2018 | 08:3 | Possible Injury | 10 | 200 | Pickup,Panel I Truck or Vanette under 10,000 1 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | lıe | ght |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005FD02108 |  |  | 0.02 | 09/15/2020 | 17:15 | No Apparent lnjury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 11 | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Fog or Smog or Smoke |  | Dusk |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | $0051 \times 02108$ |  |  | 0.00 | 07/24/2019 | 13:23 | Possible Injury | 20 | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051×02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 08/17/2018 | 18:45 | Suspected Minor Injury | 10 | 200 | Not Stated | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | At Intersection and Related | clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | $0051 \times 02108$ |  |  | 0.00 | 09/13/2020 | 14:23 | Possible Injury | 10 | 200 | Motorcycle | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | At Intersection and Related | Fog or Smog or Smoke |  | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051X02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 01/19/2021 | 17:58 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 II | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Dark-Street Ligh |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 0051x02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 02/12/2020 | 16:28 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051x02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 07/04/2022 | 22:37 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Clear | Dry | Dar-street Lights on |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051×02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 02/25/2019 | $21: 41$ | Suspected Minor Injury | 10 | 110 | Passenger Car |  | At Intersection and Related | clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Dark-street Lights on |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 0051X02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 10/25/2021 | 17:45 | No Apparent Injury |  | 200 | Pickup, Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 It | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | At Intersection and Related | Raining | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051×02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 03/18/2018 | 09:29 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel ITuck or Vanette under 10,000 19P | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowiltz | Woodland | 0051X02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 07/25/2018 | 08:24 | Possible Injury | 20 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 11 | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly cloudy | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 05/09/2019 | 01:46 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 100 | Truck Tractor \& Semi-Trailer |  | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Dark-Street Lights on |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051x02108 |  |  | 0.00 | 02/25/2018 | 11:15 | No Apparent Injury |  |  | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Raining | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051×02108 |  |  | 0.03 | 11/09/2022 | 16:06 | No Apparent Injury |  | 2100 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 11P | Passenger Car | Intersection Related but Not at Intersection | Clear or Partly Cloudy | ry | Daylight |
| Dike Access Rd at l-5 SB Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 0051x02272 |  |  | 0.03 | 05/18/2021 | 16:42 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Passenger Car | Truck Tractor \& Semi-Trailer | Entering Roundabout | Clear | Dry | Dayi |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051X02272 |  |  | 0.03 | 06/05/2022 | 206:00 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 100 | Passenger Car |  | Circulating Roundabout | Overca | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051x02272 |  |  | 0.04 | 05/18/2022 | 16:50 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 II | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | Entering Roundabout | Clear | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051X02272 |  |  | 0.04 | 12/21/2018 | 12:55 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 11 | Passenger Car | Entering Roundabout | ${ }^{\text {clear or Partly Cloudy }}$ | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051x02272 |  |  | 0.04 | 01/12/2018 | 05:56 | Possible Injury | 10 | 200 | Pickup, Panel ITuck or Vanette under 10,000 1 l P | Passenger Car | Entering Roundabout | Overcast | Wet | Dark-street Lights on |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 0051X02272 |  |  | 0.04 | 04/08/2019 | 11:26 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Truck - Double Trailer Combinations | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000\| | Entering Roundabout | Raining | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 0051X02272 |  |  | 0.05 | 05/16/2019 | 08:01 | No Apparent Injury |  | 100 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ |  | Circulating Roundabout | Raining | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | 005R102312 |  |  | 0.36 | 07/12/2020 | 17:15 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,0001 | Roundabout Related but not at Roundabou | Clear | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowitz | Woodland | $005 R 102312$ |  |  | 0.37 | 12/09/2021 | 15:27 | No Apparent Injury |  | 200 | Pickup,Panel ITruck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ P | Passenger Car | Entering Roundabout | Raining | Wet | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005R102312 |  |  | 0.38 | 04/05/2022 | 208:45 | No Apparent Injury |  | $10^{10} 0$ | Pickup, Panel ITuck or Vanette under 10,000 lb |  | Exiting Roundabout | Clear | Dry | Daylight |
| Dike Access Rd at l-5 NB Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| state Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0055x02272 |  |  | 0.18 | 01/28/2020 | 16:10 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 200 | Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 | Entering Roundabout | Overcast | Dry | Daylight |
| State Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | 0051×02272 |  |  | 0.18 | 01/20/2020 | 14:15 | Suspected Minor Injury | 10 |  | Passenger Car |  | At Intersection and Related | Overcast | Dry | Dayight |
| state Rout | Cowlitz | Woodland | $005 \mathrm{P}_{102243}$ |  |  | 0.32 | 06/08/201 | 18:12 | No Apparent Injury | 00 | 2100 | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb | Passenger Car | Entering Roundabout | Raining | Wet | Daylight |







## Appendix C

Traffic Volume Calculations




Appendix D
Operations Analysis Worksheets

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

B Site: 1 [Dike Access Road at Schurman Way (Site Folder:
General)]
Existing 2023
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\nabla$ Site: 2 [Dike Access Road at I-5 SB Ramps (Site Folder:

General)]

## Existing 2023

PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service |  | CK OF UE Dist ] ft | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| East: WB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L2 | 90 | 7.0 | 97 | 7.0 | 0.314 | 9.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 37.3 |
| 6 T1 | 320 | 4.0 | 344 | 4.0 | 0.314 | 3.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| Approach | 410 | 4.7 | 441 | 4.7 | 0.314 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| North: I-5 SB Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 135 | 6.0 | 145 | 6.0 | 0.267 | 12.1 | LOS B | 1.4 | 36.3 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 35.0 |
| 4 T1 | 5 | 20.0 | 5 | 20.0 | 0.267 | 6.7 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.3 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 34.8 |
| 14 R2 | 120 | 3.0 | 129 | 3.0 | 0.267 | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.3 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 34.0 |
| Approach | 260 | 4.9 | 280 | 4.9 | 0.267 | 9.2 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.3 | 0.53 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 34.5 |
| West: EB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 610 | 3.0 | 656 | 3.0 | 0.808 | 8.8 | LOS A | 12.0 | 308.0 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 1.01 | 35.1 |
| 12 R 2 | 280 | 3.0 | 301 | 3.0 | 0.808 | 8.9 | LOS A | 12.0 | 308.0 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 1.01 | 34.0 |
| Approach | 890 | 3.0 | 957 | 3.0 | 0.808 | 8.9 | LOS A | 12.0 | 308.0 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 1.01 | 34.7 |
| All Vehicles | 1560 | 3.8 | 1677 | 3.8 | 0.808 | 7.9 | LOS A | 12.0 | 308.0 | 0.57 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 35.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

(y) Site: 3 [OId Pacific Highway at I-5 NB Ramps (Site Folder:

General)]
Existing 2023
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IN } \\ & \text { VOL } \\ & \text { [ Total } \\ & \text { veh/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { Vs } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CK OF } \\ \text { UE } \\ \text { Dist ] } \\ \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | Prop. Que | Effective <br> Stop <br> Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: I-5 NB Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 220 | 5.0 | 244 | 5.0 | 0.469 | 17.3 | LOS B | 3.5 | 91.0 | 0.81 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 32.2 |
| 8 T1 | 5 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.469 | 10.9 | LOS B | 3.5 | 91.0 | 0.81 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 32.2 |
| 18 R2 | 115 | 3.0 | 128 | 3.0 | 0.469 | 11.2 | LOS B | 3.5 | 91.0 | 0.81 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 31.3 |
| Approach | 340 | 4.3 | 378 | 4.3 | 0.469 | 15.1 | LOS B | 3.5 | 91.0 | 0.81 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 31.9 |
| East: WB Old Pacific Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 T1 | 185 | 4.0 | 206 | 4.0 | 0.317 | 7.1 | LOS A | 2.0 | 50.6 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.69 | 35.7 |
| 16 R2 | 75 | 3.0 | 83 | 3.0 | 0.317 | 7.2 | LOSA | 2.0 | 50.6 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.69 | 34.7 |
| Approach | 260 | 3.7 | 289 | 3.7 | 0.317 | 7.1 | LOS A | 2.0 | 50.6 | 0.69 | 0.72 | 0.69 | 35.4 |
| West: EB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 310 | 3.0 | 344 | 3.0 | 0.587 | 9.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 36.8 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 440 | 4.0 | 489 | 4.0 | 0.587 | 3.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 36.7 |
| Approach | 750 | 3.6 | 833 | 3.6 | 0.587 | 6.3 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 36.7 |
| All Vehicles | 1350 | 3.8 | 1500 | 3.8 | 0.587 | 8.7 | LOS A | 3.5 | 91.0 | 0.34 | 0.68 | 0.37 | 35.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $-\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 70 | 100 | 25 | 165 | 70 | 15 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 70 | 100 | 25 | 165 | 70 | 15 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 3 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 90 | 128 | 32 | 212 | 90 | 19 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 430 | 154 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 154 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 276 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.34 | - | 6.43 | 6.32 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.416 | -3.527 | 3.408 |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1232 | - | 580 | 866 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 872 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 768 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1232 | - | 563 | 866 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 619 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 872 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 746 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 1.1 | 11.6 |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 652 | - | -1232 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.167 | - | -0.026 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11.6 | - | - | 8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | - | r |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 170 | 5 | 5 | 65 | 10 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 170 | 5 | 5 | 65 | 10 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 50 |
| Mvmt Flow | 243 | 7 | 7 | 93 | 14 | 7 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 354 | 247 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 247 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 107 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.7 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.75 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1327 | - | 648 | 688 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 799 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 922 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1327 | - | 644 | 688 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 644 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 799 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 916 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.6 | 10.7 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NELn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 658 | - | -1327 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.033 | - | -0.005 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.7 | - | - | 7.7 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |




8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig St/Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个t |  | \% | 4 | F |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 45 | 310 | 210 | 330 | 380 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 185 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 45 | 310 | 210 | 330 | 380 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 185 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 150 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1913 |  |  | 492 |  |  | 1514 |  |  | 1436 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 43.5 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 34.4 |  |  | 32.6 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm |  |  |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 18.0 |  | 9.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |  |  |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 68.0 |  | 42.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |  |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 12.9\% | 43.9\% |  | 27.1\% | 58.1\% | 58.1\% |  |  |  | 29.0\% | 29.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 16.0 | 64.0 |  | 38.0 | 86.0 | 86.0 |  |  |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max |  | None | C-Max | C-Max |  |  |  | Min | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 155
Actuated Cycle Length: 155
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:WBTL and 6:EBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection

## Natural Cycle: 65

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig StLLewis River Rd (SR 503)


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 性 |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 45 | 310 | 210 | 330 | 380 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 185 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 45 | 310 | 210 | 330 | 380 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 185 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1885 | 1870 | 1811 | 1856 | 1826 |  |  |  | 1856 | 1856 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 46 | 320 | 216 | 340 | 392 | 0 |  |  |  | 314 | 191 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  |  |  | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 715 | 1320 | 871 | 686 | 1321 |  |  |  |  | 339 | 355 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 2067 | 1364 | 1725 | 1856 | 1547 |  |  |  | 1767 | 1856 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 46 | 276 | 260 | 340 | 392 | 0 |  |  |  | 314 | 191 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1781 | 1791 | 1640 | 1725 | 1856 | 1547 |  |  |  | 1767 | 1856 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.4 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 27.1 | 14.4 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.4 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 27.1 | 14.4 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.83 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 715 | 1144 | 1047 | 686 | 1321 |  |  |  |  | 339 | 355 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.30 |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 0.54 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 864 | 1144 | 1047 | 949 | 1321 |  |  |  |  | 467 | 491 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 9.3 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 61.6 | 56.5 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), slveh | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 20.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.6 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 14.1 | 6.9 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 9.3 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 7.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 81.9 | 57.7 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  | F | E |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 582 |  |  | 732 | A |  |  |  |  | 505 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 12.3 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 72.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 7.0 | 114.3 |  | 33.7 | 18.3 | 103.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{R}$ ), s | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 16.0 | 86.0 |  | 41.0 | 38.0 | 64.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 3.4 | 2.0 |  | 29.1 | 12.9 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.1 | 1.7 |  | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 25.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

9：I－5 NB Off－Ramp／Atlantic Ave \＆Lewis River Rd（SR 503）

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 |  |  | 个 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 185 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 115 | 350 | 55 | 535 | 35 | 0 | 135 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 185 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 115 | 350 | 55 | 535 | 35 | 0 | 135 |
| Ideal Flow（vphil） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 75 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 492 |  |  | 137 |  |  | 823 |  |  | 446 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 11.2 |  |  | 3.1 |  |  | 18.7 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  | Split | NA | Prot | Prot |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  |  | 28 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  |  | 28 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 26.0 | 36.0 |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 16．8\％ | 23．2\％ |  |  |  |  | 31．0\％ | 31．0\％ | 31．0\％ | 9．7\％ |  | 9．7\％ |
| Maximum Green（s） | 22.0 | 32.0 |  |  |  |  | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  |  |  |  | None | None | None | None |  | None |
| Walk Time（s） |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） |  | 14.0 |  |  |  |  | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type：Other
Cycle Length： 155
Actuated Cycle Length： 155
Offset： $3(2 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：WBT and 6：EBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 115
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Splits and Phases：9：I－5 NB Off－Ramp／Atlantic Ave \＆Lewis River Rd（SR 503）




|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | $\emptyset 1$ | Ø3 | $\emptyset 4$ | $\emptyset 6$ |
| Lane Configurations | 个t |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 个4 | \％ | 「 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 720 | 290 | 90 | 395 | 200 | 110 |  |  |  |  |
| Future Volume（vph） | 720 | 290 | 90 | 395 | 200 | 110 |  |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Length（ft） |  | 0 | 150 |  | 0 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length（ft） |  |  | 25 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 137 |  |  | 1875 | 856 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 3.1 |  |  | 42.6 | 19.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA |  | Prot | NA | Prot | Perm |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 346 |  | 5 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 346 |  | 5 | 2 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  | 9.0 | 27.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 32.0 | 25.0 |
| Total Split（s） |  |  | 21.0 | 31.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 48.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  | 13．5\％ | 20．0\％ | 22．6\％ | 22．6\％ | 17\％ | 10\％ | 31\％ | 23\％ |
| Maximum Green（s） |  |  | 17.0 | 27.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 22.0 | 11.0 | 44.0 | 32.0 |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  | Lead | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode |  |  | None | C－Min | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min |
| Walk Time（s） |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） |  |  |  | 16.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |  | 21.0 | 14.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type：Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 3 （2\％），Referenced to phase 2：WBT and 6：EBT，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Splits and Phases：10：CC St \＆Lewis River Rd（SR 503）



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 95 | 0 | 300 | 30 | 0 | 115 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 95 | 0 | 300 | 30 | 0 | 115 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, | - | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 16 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 8 |
| Mvmt Flow | 100 | 0 | 316 | 32 | 0 | 121 |


| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 332 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.28 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | -3.372 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | 696 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | 696 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | SB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 11.3 |
| HCM LOS |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NELn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 696 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.174 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11.3 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - |

## Intersection: 4: Guild Rd \& Schurman Way

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 4 | 71 | 45 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 0 | 33 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 26 | 3 | 59 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1097 |  | 1932 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  | 200 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: N Pekin Rd \& Guild Rd/W Scott Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 4 | 60 | 84 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 6 | 38 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 3 | 31 | 69 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1097 | 2518 | 4798 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: Goerig Rd \& N Pekin Rd/Davidson Ave

| Movement | WB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 18 | 51 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 797 | 627 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 7: S Pekin Rd/5th St \& Davidson Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 32 | 88 | 91 | 74 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 17 | 38 | 24 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 59 | 65 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 797 | 1220 | 446 | 343 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig St/Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 73 | 174 | 324 | 224 | 315 | 103 | 125 | 653 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 88 | 145 | 125 | 131 | 11 | 119 | 366 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 55 | 182 | 265 | 219 | 255 | 56 | 140 | 581 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1848 |  | 420 | 420 |  | 1372 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 | 150 |  | 200 |  |  | 45 | 26 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 2 |  | 83 | 78 |

Intersection: 9: I-5 NB Off-Ramp/Atlantic Ave \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | LT | R | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 224 | 313 | 310 | 46 | 59 | 811 | 812 | 87 | 124 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 159 | 150 | 176 | 10 | 13 | 739 | 759 | 32 | 50 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 242 | 257 | 266 | 29 | 43 | 956 | 920 | 74 | 94 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 420 | 420 | 52 | 52 | 769 | 769 |  | 370 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 41 | 72 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 |

Intersection: 10: CC St \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | L | T | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 19 | 66 | 162 | 174 | 658 | 355 | 175 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 51 | 108 | 163 | 351 | 176 | 78 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 19 | 71 | 185 | 203 | 626 | 306 | 185 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 52 | 52 |  |  | 1840 | 809 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 27 | 21 | 17 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 6 | 54 | 59 | 18 | 0 |

Intersection: 11: W Scott Ave \& Pacific St/I-5 SB Off Ramp

| Movement | NB | SB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 110 | 12 | 89 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 31 | 1 | 44 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 76 | 8 | 73 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 186 | 1110 | 2518 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 467

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

B Site: 1 [Dike Access Road at Schurman Way (Site Folder: General)]
Projected 2025 without Project
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## B Site: 2 [Dike Access Road at I-5 SB Ramps (Site Folder: General)]

Projected 2025 without Project
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CK OF } \\ \text { UE } \\ \text { Dist ] } \\ \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| East: WB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L2 | 95 | 7.0 | 102 | 7.0 | 0.329 | 9.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 37.3 |
| 6 T1 | 335 | 4.0 | 360 | 4.0 | 0.329 | 3.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| Approach | 430 | 4.7 | 462 | 4.7 | 0.329 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| North: l-5 SB Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 140 | 6.0 | 151 | 6.0 | 0.281 | 12.3 | LOS B | 1.5 | 38.8 | 0.55 | 0.72 | 0.55 | 34.9 |
| $4 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 5 | 20.0 | 5 | 20.0 | 0.281 | 6.9 | LOS A | 1.5 | 38.8 | 0.55 | 0.72 | 0.55 | 34.7 |
| 14 R2 | 125 | 3.0 | 134 | 3.0 | 0.281 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.5 | 38.8 | 0.55 | 0.72 | 0.55 | 34.0 |
| Approach | 270 | 4.9 | 290 | 4.9 | 0.281 | 9.4 | LOS A | 1.5 | 38.8 | 0.55 | 0.72 | 0.55 | 34.5 |
| West: EB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 645 | 3.0 | 694 | 3.0 | 0.861 | 10.8 | LOS D | 15.8 | 404.2 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 1.21 | 34.1 |
| 12 R 2 | 295 | 3.0 | 317 | 3.0 | 0.861 | 10.9 | LOS D | 15.8 | 404.2 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 1.21 | 33.1 |
| Approach | 940 | 3.0 | 1011 | 3.0 | 0.861 | 10.9 | LOS B | 15.8 | 404.2 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 1.21 | 33.8 |
| All Vehicles | 1640 | 3.7 | 1763 | 3.7 | 0.861 | 9.1 | LOS A | 15.8 | 404.2 | 0.63 | 0.76 | 0.79 | 34.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## © Site: 3 [Old Pacific Highway at I-5 NB Ramps (Site Folder:

General)]
Projected 2025 without Project
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IN } \\ & \text { VOL } \\ & \text { [ Total } \\ & \text { veh/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { Vs } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CK OF } \\ & \text { UE } \\ & \text { Dist ] } \\ & \text { ft } \end{aligned}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: I-5 NB Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 225 | 5.0 | 250 | 5.0 | 0.501 | 18.7 | LOS B | 4.1 | 105.6 | 0.84 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 31.6 |
| 8 T1 | 5 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.501 | 12.4 | LOS B | 4.1 | 105.6 | 0.84 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 31.6 |
| 18 R2 | 120 | 3.0 | 133 | 3.0 | 0.501 | 12.7 | LOS B | 4.1 | 105.6 | 0.84 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 30.7 |
| Approach | 350 | 4.2 | 389 | 4.2 | 0.501 | 16.6 | LOS B | 4.1 | 105.6 | 0.84 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 31.3 |
| East: WB Old Pacific Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 \quad$ T1 | 195 | 4.0 | 217 | 4.0 | 0.342 | 7.4 | LOS A | 2.2 | 55.9 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.71 | 35.6 |
| 16 R 2 | 80 | 3.0 | 89 | 3.0 | 0.342 | 7.4 | LOS A | 2.2 | 55.9 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.71 | 34.6 |
| Approach | 275 | 3.7 | 306 | 3.7 | 0.342 | 7.4 | LOS A | 2.2 | 55.9 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.71 | 35.3 |
| West: EB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 325 | 3.0 | 361 | 3.0 | 0.618 | 9.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 36.8 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 465 | 4.0 | 517 | 4.0 | 0.618 | 3.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 36.7 |
| Approach | 790 | 3.6 | 878 | 3.6 | 0.618 | 6.3 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 36.8 |
| All Vehicles | 1415 | 3.8 | 1572 | 3.8 | 0.618 | 9.0 | LOS A | 4.1 | 105.6 | 0.35 | 0.69 | 0.40 | 35.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 145 | 115 | 25 | 190 | 75 | 20 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 145 | 115 | 25 | 190 | 75 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 3 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 186 | 147 | 32 | 244 | 96 | 26 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 568 | 260 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 260 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 308 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.34 | - | 6.43 | 6.32 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.416 | - | 3.527 | 3.408 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1113 | - | 483 | 755 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 781 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 743 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1113 | - | 467 | 755 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 554 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 781 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 718 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 1 |  | 12.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 587 | - | - | 1113 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.207 | - | - | 0.029 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 12.7 | - | - | 8.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.8 | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | A | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 185 | 5 | 5 | 70 | 15 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 185 | 5 | 5 | 70 | 15 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 50 |
| Mvmt Flow | 264 | 7 | 7 | 100 | 21 | 7 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 271 | 0 | 382 | 268 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 268 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 114 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.7 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.75 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1304 | - | 624 | 668 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 782 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 916 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1304 | - | 620 | 668 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 620 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 782 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 911 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.5 | 11 |
| HCM LOS |  | B |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NELn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 631 | - | -1304 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.045 | - | -0.005 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11 | - | - | 7.8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |




|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | - | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 55 | 360 | 220 | 360 | 425 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 195 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 55 | 360 | 220 | 360 | 425 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 195 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 150 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1913 |  |  | 492 |  |  | 1514 |  |  | 1436 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 43.5 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 34.4 |  |  | 32.6 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm |  |  |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 18.0 |  | 9.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |  |  |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 68.0 |  | 42.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |  |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 12.9\% | 43.9\% |  | 27.1\% | 58.1\% | 58.1\% |  |  |  | 29.0\% | 29.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 16.0 | 64.0 |  | 38.0 | 86.0 | 86.0 |  |  |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max |  | None | C-Max | C-Max |  |  |  | Min | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 155
Actuated Cycle Length: 155
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:WBTL and 6:EBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection

## Natural Cycle: 65

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig StLLewis River Rd (SR 503)


|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 55 | 360 | 220 | 360 | 425 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 195 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 55 | 360 | 220 | 360 | 425 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 195 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1885 | 1870 | 1811 | 1856 | 1826 |  |  |  | 1856 | 1856 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 57 | 371 | 227 | 371 | 438 | 0 |  |  |  | 330 | 201 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  |  |  | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 675 | 1326 | 799 | 653 | 1297 |  |  |  |  | 354 | 372 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 2149 | 1295 | 1725 | 1856 | 1547 |  |  |  | 1767 | 1856 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 57 | 308 | 290 | 371 | 438 | 0 |  |  |  | 330 | 201 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1781 | 1791 | 1652 | 1725 | 1856 | 1547 |  |  |  | 1767 | 1856 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.8 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 28.5 | 15.1 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.8 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 28.5 | 15.1 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.78 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 675 | 1105 | 1019 | 653 | 1297 |  |  |  |  | 354 | 372 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.57 | 0.34 |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 0.54 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 817 | 1105 | 1019 | 895 | 1297 |  |  |  |  | 467 | 491 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.4 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 60.9 | 55.5 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 21.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.7 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 14.9 | 7.2 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 10.4 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 8.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 82.6 | 56.8 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  | F | E |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 655 |  |  | 809 | A |  |  |  |  | 531 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 14.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 72.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 7.6 | 112.3 |  | 35.1 | 20.3 | 99.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), s | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 16.0 | 86.0 |  | 41.0 | 38.0 | 64.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 3.8 | 2.0 |  | 30.5 | 14.7 | 14.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.1 | 1.9 |  | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 25.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

9：I－5 NB Off－Ramp／Atlantic Ave \＆Lewis River Rd（SR 503）

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | 个4 |  |  | 性 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ |  | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 195 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 535 | 120 | 370 | 55 | 580 | 35 | 0 | 140 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 195 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 535 | 120 | 370 | 55 | 580 | 35 | 0 | 140 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 75 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 492 |  |  | 137 |  |  | 823 |  |  | 446 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 11.2 |  |  | 3.1 |  |  | 18.7 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  | Split | NA | Prot | Prot |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  |  | 28 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  |  | 28 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 26.0 | 36.0 |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 16．8\％ | 23．2\％ |  |  |  |  | 31．0\％ | 31．0\％ | 31．0\％ | 9．7\％ |  | 9．7\％ |
| Maximum Green（s） | 22.0 | 32.0 |  |  |  |  | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  |  |  |  | None | None | None | None |  | None |
| Walk Time（s） |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） |  | 14.0 |  |  |  |  | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type：Other
Cycle Length： 155
Actuated Cycle Length： 155
Offset： $3(2 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：WBT and 6：EBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 115
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Splits and Phases：9：I－5 NB Off－Ramp／Atlantic Ave \＆Lewis River Rd（SR 503）


| Lane Group | $ø 2$ | $\emptyset 5$ | $\emptyset 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LanerConfigurations |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |  |
| Storage Length (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |  |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 | 9.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 21.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 20\% | 14\% | 23\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 27.0 | 17.0 | 31.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 16.0 |  | 20.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 |  | 0 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |



|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | $p$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | $\emptyset 1$ | $\emptyset 3$ | $\varnothing 4$ | $\emptyset 6$ |
| Lane Configurations | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 革 | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 795 | 310 | 95 | 440 | 210 | 115 |  |  |  |  |
| Future Volume（vph） | 795 | 310 | 95 | 440 | 210 | 115 |  |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Length（ft） |  | 0 | 150 |  | 0 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length（ft） |  |  | 25 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） | 30 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Distance（ft） | 137 |  |  | 1875 | 856 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Travel Time（s） | 3.1 |  |  | 42.6 | 19.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA |  | Prot | NA | Prot | Perm |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 346 |  | 5 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 346 |  | 5 | 2 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  | 9.0 | 27.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 32.0 | 25.0 |
| Total Split（s） |  |  | 21.0 | 31.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 48.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  | 13．5\％ | 20．0\％ | 22．6\％ | 22．6\％ | 17\％ | 10\％ | 31\％ | 23\％ |
| Maximum Green（s） |  |  | 17.0 | 27.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 22.0 | 11.0 | 44.0 | 32.0 |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lead／Lag |  |  | Lead | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode |  |  | None | C－Min | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min |
| Walk Time（s） |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） |  |  |  | 16.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |  | 21.0 | 14.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 155
Actuated Cycle Length： 155
Offset： 3 （2\％），Referenced to phase 2：WBT and 6：EBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 115
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated

Splits and Phases：10：CC St \＆Lewis River Rd（SR 503）



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | ri |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 110 | 0 | 310 | 35 | 0 | 175 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 110 | 0 | 310 | 35 | 0 | 175 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 16 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 8 |
| Mvmt Flow | 116 | 0 | 326 | 37 | 0 | 184 |


| Major/Minor |  | Major2 | Minor2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 345 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.28 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | -3.372 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | 684 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | 684 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | SB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 12.2 |
| HCM LOS | $B$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NELn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 684 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.269 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.2 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 1.1 | - | - |

Intersection: 4: Guild Rd \& Schurman Way

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 63 | 18 | 83 | 57 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 | 1 | 36 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 13 | 65 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1097 |  | 1932 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: N Pekin Rd \& Guild Rd/W Scott Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 9 | 87 | 81 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 10 | 39 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 47 | 68 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1097 | 2518 | 4798 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: Goerig Rd \& N Pekin Rd/Davidson Ave

| Movement | WB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 18 | 48 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 797 | 627 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 7: S Pekin Rd/5th St \& Davidson Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 83 | 76 | 67 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 14 | 41 | 29 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 19 | 52 | 65 | 58 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 797 | 1220 | 446 | 343 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig St/Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 125 | 174 | 378 | 224 | 302 | 148 | 125 | 622 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 29 | 104 | 176 | 133 | 125 | 19 | 119 | 379 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 77 | 203 | 325 | 226 | 248 | 82 | 141 | 591 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1848 |  | 420 | 420 |  | 1372 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 | 150 |  | 200 |  |  | 46 | 23 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 2 |  | 90 | 72 |

Intersection: 9: I-5 NB Off-Ramp/Atlantic Ave \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | LT | R | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 224 | 343 | 347 | 60 | 66 | 817 | 818 | 96 | 146 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 160 | 171 | 196 | 18 | 16 | 774 | 785 | 32 | 56 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 253 | 299 | 299 | 49 | 47 | 878 | 825 | 74 | 109 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 420 | 420 | 52 | 52 | 769 | 769 | 370 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 52 | 78 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 23 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 |

Intersection: 10: CC St \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | L | T | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 | 69 | 162 | 174 | 1151 | 390 | 175 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 52 | 121 | 165 | 563 | 203 | 83 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 18 | 70 | 196 | 206 | 1191 | 349 | 188 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 52 | 52 |  |  | 1840 | 809 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 25 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 136 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 43 | 22 | 21 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 7 | 95 | 71 | 24 | 0 |

Intersection: 11: W Scott Ave \& Pacific St/l-5 SB Off Ramp

| Movement | NB | SB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 98 | 20 | 96 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 32 | 1 | 50 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 74 | 11 | 80 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 186 | 1110 | 2518 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Network Summary

## Network wide Queuing Penalty: 585

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

B Site: 1 [Dike Access Road at Schurman Way (Site Folder: General)]
Projected 2025 with Project - Industrial Park
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\nabla$ Site: 2 [Dike Access Road at I-5 SB Ramps (Site Folder: <br> General)]

Projected 2025 with Project - Industrial Park
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { VS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | 95\% <br> [ Veh. veh | OF JE Dist ] ft | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| East: WB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L2 | 95 | 7.0 | 102 | 7.0 | 0.336 | 9.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| 6 T1 | 345 | 4.0 | 371 | 4.0 | 0.336 | 3.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| Approach | 440 | 4.6 | 473 | 4.6 | 0.336 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| North: l-5 SB Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 140 | 6.0 | 151 | 6.0 | 0.283 | 12.3 | LOS B | 1.5 | 39.2 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.56 | 34.9 |
| 4 T1 | 5 | 20.0 | 5 | 20.0 | 0.283 | 7.0 | LOS A | 1.5 | 39.2 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.56 | 34.7 |
| 14 R2 | 125 | 3.0 | 134 | 3.0 | 0.283 | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.5 | 39.2 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.56 | 33.9 |
| Approach | 270 | 4.9 | 290 | 4.9 | 0.283 | 9.4 | LOS A | 1.5 | 39.2 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.56 | 34.4 |
| West: EB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 750 | 3.0 | 806 | 3.0 | 0.957 | 20.0 | LOS E | 30.9 | 790.2 | 1.00 | 1.17 | 1.74 | 30.0 |
| 12 R2 | 295 | 3.0 | 317 | 3.0 | 0.957 | 20.1 | LOS E | 30.9 | 790.2 | 1.00 | 1.17 | 1.74 | 29.2 |
| Approach | 1045 | 3.0 | 1124 | 3.0 | 0.957 | 20.0 | LOS C | 30.9 | 790.2 | 1.00 | 1.17 | 1.74 | 29.8 |
| All Vehicles | 1755 | 3.7 | 1887 | 3.7 | 0.957 | 14.7 | LOS B | 30.9 | 790.2 | 0.68 | 0.93 | 1.12 | 32.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## © Site: 3 [Old Pacific Highway at I-5 NB Ramps (Site Folder:

General)]
Projected 2025 with Project - Industrial Park
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { VS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Q [ Veh. veh | K OF JE Dist ] ft | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: I-5 NB Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 225 | 5.0 | 250 | 5.0 | 0.564 | 23.4 | LOS C | 5.4 | 140.1 | 0.91 | 1.12 | 1.33 | 29.6 |
| 8 T1 | 5 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.564 | 17.0 | LOS B | 5.4 | 140.1 | 0.91 | 1.12 | 1.33 | 29.7 |
| 18 R2 | 120 | 3.0 | 133 | 3.0 | 0.564 | 17.4 | LOS B | 5.4 | 140.1 | 0.91 | 1.12 | 1.33 | 28.9 |
| Approach | 350 | 4.2 | 389 | 4.2 | 0.564 | 21.3 | LOS C | 5.4 | 140.1 | 0.91 | 1.12 | 1.33 | 29.4 |
| East: WB Old Pacific Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 \quad$ T1 | 200 | 4.0 | 222 | 4.0 | 0.375 | 8.2 | LOS A | 2.5 | 63.3 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.77 | 35.4 |
| 16 R 2 | 80 | 3.0 | 89 | 3.0 | 0.375 | 8.2 | LOSA | 2.5 | 63.3 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.77 | 34.3 |
| Approach | 280 | 3.7 | 311 | 3.7 | 0.375 | 8.2 | LOS A | 2.5 | 63.3 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.77 | 35.1 |
| West: EB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 400 | 3.0 | 444 | 3.0 | 0.704 | 9.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 36.7 |
| 2 T1 | 500 | 4.0 | 556 | 4.0 | 0.704 | 3.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 36.6 |
| Approach | 900 | 3.6 | 1000 | 3.6 | 0.704 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 36.6 |
| All Vehicles | 1530 | 3.7 | 1700 | 3.7 | 0.704 | 10.2 | LOS B | 5.4 | 140.1 | 0.35 | 0.72 | 0.44 | 34.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 4 | 0 | 17.3 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | C |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1105 | - | - | - | 397 | 760 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.165 | - | - | -0.343 | 0.034 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.9 | - | - | - | 18.7 | 9.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | C | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 0.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 170 | 115 | 35 | 210 | 110 | 30 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 170 | 115 | 35 | 210 | 110 | 30 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 3 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 218 | 147 | 45 | 269 | 141 | 38 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 365 | 0 | 651 | 292 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 292 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 359 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.34 | - | 6.43 | 6.32 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.416 | - | 3.527 | 3.408 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1082 | - | 432 | 724 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 756 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 704 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1082 | - | 411 | 724 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 510 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 756 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 670 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 1.2 | 14.8 |
| HCM LOS |  | B |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 544 | - | -1082 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.33 | - | -0.041 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 14.8 | - | - | 8.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 1.4 | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 290 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 15 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 290 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 15 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 50 |
| Mvmt Flow | 414 | 7 | 7 | 143 | 21 | 7 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 575 | 418 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 418 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 157 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.7 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.75 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1149 | - | 483 | 544 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 669 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 876 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1149 | - | 480 | 544 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 480 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 669 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 870 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NE |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.4 |  | 12.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NELn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 495 | - | - | 1149 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.058 | - | - | 0.006 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 12.7 | - | - | 8.2 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | - |




|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 性 |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 「 |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 55 | 390 | 280 | 360 | 450 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 220 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 55 | 390 | 280 | 360 | 450 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 220 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 150 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1913 |  |  | 492 |  |  | 1514 |  |  | 1436 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 52.2 |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 34.4 |  |  | 28.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm |  |  |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 18.0 |  | 9.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |  |  |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 68.0 |  | 42.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |  |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 12.9\% | 43.9\% |  | 27.1\% | 58.1\% | 58.1\% |  |  |  | 29.0\% | 29.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 16.0 | 64.0 |  | 38.0 | 86.0 | 86.0 |  |  |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max |  | None | C-Max | C-Max |  |  |  | Min | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 155
Actuated Cycle Length: 155
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL and 6:EBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 65
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig St/Lewis River Rd (SR 503)


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | ¢ 4 |  |  | 中t |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ |  | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 195 | 520 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 120 | 395 | 55 | 580 | 35 | 0 | 140 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 195 | 520 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 120 | 395 | 55 | 580 | 35 | 0 | 140 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 75 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 492 |  |  | 137 |  |  | 823 |  |  | 446 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 13.4 |  |  | 3.1 |  |  | 18.7 |  |  | 8.7 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  | Split | NA | Prot | Prot |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  |  | 28 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  |  | 28 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 26.0 | 36.0 |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 16.8\% | 23.2\% |  |  |  |  | 31.0\% | 31.0\% | 31.0\% | 9.7\% |  | 9.7\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.0 | 32.0 |  |  |  |  | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Min |  |  |  |  | None | None | None | None |  | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 14.0 |  |  |  |  | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 155
Actuated Cycle Length: 155
Offset: 3 (2\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBT and 6:EBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 125
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 9: I-5 NB Off-Ramp/Atlantic Ave \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Projected 2025 With Project - Industrial Park 9: I-5 NB Off-Ramp/Atlantic Ave \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |  |  | 性 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 195 | 520 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 120 | 395 | 55 | 580 | 35 | 0 | 140 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 195 | 520 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 120 | 395 | 55 | 580 | 35 | 0 | 140 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 |  | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 |  |  | 3383 |  |  | 1736 | 1568 | 1805 |  | 1553 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 3539 |  |  | 3383 |  |  | 1736 | 1568 | 1805 |  | 1553 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 201 | 536 | 0 | 0 | 562 | 124 | 407 | 57 | 598 | 36 | 0 | 144 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 201 | 536 | 0 | 0 | 674 | 0 | 0 | 464 | 269 | 36 | 0 | 10 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  | Split | NA | Prot | Prot |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  |  | 28 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 23.6 | 37.7 |  |  | 60.4 |  |  | 44.2 | 44.2 | 10.8 |  | 10.8 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 23.6 | 37.7 |  |  | 60.4 |  |  | 44.2 | 44.2 | 10.8 |  | 10.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.24 |  |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.07 |  | 0.07 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 269 | 860 |  |  | 1318 |  |  | 495 | 447 | 125 |  | 108 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.11 | c0.15 |  |  | c0.20 |  |  | c0.27 | 0.17 | c0.02 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.62 |  |  | 0.51 |  |  | 0.94 | 0.60 | 0.29 |  | 0.09 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 62.8 | 52.3 |  |  | 36.0 |  |  | 54.0 | 47.8 | 68.4 |  | 67.5 |
| Progression Factor | 0.98 | 0.98 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 9.5 | 3.0 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 25.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 |  | 0.4 |
| Delay (s) | 71.3 | 54.2 |  |  | 2.6 |  |  | 79.5 | 50.1 | 69.7 |  | 67.9 |
| Level of Service | E | D |  |  | A |  |  | E | D | E |  | E |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 58.8 |  |  | 2.6 |  |  | 62.9 |  |  | 68.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 46.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 155.0 | Sum of lost time (s) |  |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 71.1\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Splits and Phases: 10: CC St \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | ri |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 120 | 0 | 310 | 55 | 0 | 210 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 120 | 0 | 310 | 55 | 0 | 210 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 16 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 8 |
| Mvmt Flow | 126 | 0 | 326 | 58 | 0 | 221 |


| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 355 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.28 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | -3.372 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | 676 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | 676 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 |  | - | - |  |


| Approach | SB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 12.9 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NELn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 676 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.327 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.9 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | $B$ | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 1.4 | - | - |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $T$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 5 | 5 | 110 | 130 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 5 | 5 | 110 | 130 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 5 | 5 | 120 | 141 | 5 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Tr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 20 | 95 | 25 | 90 | 135 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 20 | 95 | 25 | 90 | 135 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 22 | 103 | 27 | 98 | 147 | 0 |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10 | 1.6 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1435 | -852 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.019 | -0.147 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 0 | 10 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | 0.5 | - |
| (ven | - |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $-\uparrow$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 100 | 1 | 25 | 35 | 1 | 95 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 100 | 1 | 25 | 35 | 1 | 95 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 109 | 1 | 27 | 38 | 1 | 103 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 202 | 110 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 110 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 92 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1480 | - | 787 | 943 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 915 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 932 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1480 | - | 772 | 943 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 772 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 915 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 914 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 3.1 | 9.3 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | A |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 941 | - | -1480 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.111 | - | -0.018 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | - | - | 7.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.4 | - | - | 0.1 | - |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Projected 2025 With Project - Industrial Park

## Intersection: 4: Guild Rd \& Schurman Way

| Movement | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 93 | 28 | 112 | 67 |
| Average Queue ( f ) | 37 | 4 | 45 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 79 | 18 | 89 | 48 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1098 |  | 1932 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  | 200 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: N Pekin Rd \& Guild Rd/W Scott Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 9 | 104 | 108 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 15 | 47 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 64 | 80 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1098 | 2517 | 715 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: Goerig Rd \& N Pekin Rd/Davidson Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 10 | 26 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 7 | 12 | 49 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2912 | 793 | 629 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time(\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7: S Pekin Rd/5th St \& Davidson Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 26 | 97 | 107 | 66 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 25 | 44 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 11 | 73 | 77 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 793 | 1220 | 446 | 343 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig St/Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 97 | 175 | 552 | 225 | 417 | 136 | 125 | 874 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 132 | 272 | 160 | 170 | 17 | 119 | 558 |
| 95th Queue ( ft ) | 62 | 215 | 496 | 247 | 343 | 75 | 143 | 895 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1848 |  | 420 | 420 |  | 1372 |
| UpstreamBlk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 | 150 |  | 200 |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 18 | 5 | 3 |  | 48 | 38 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 | 46 | 24 | 11 |  | 105 | 123 |

Intersection: 9: I-5 NB Off-Ramp/Atlantic Ave \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | LT | R | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 224 | 360 | 333 | 58 | 69 | 805 | 823 | 92 | 161 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 155 | 174 | 202 | 21 | 18 | 767 | 789 | 34 | 60 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 242 | 299 | 303 | 51 | 52 | 899 | 806 | 82 | 121 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 420 | 420 | 52 | 52 | 769 | 769 |  | 370 |
| Upstream Bk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 2 | 4 | 44 | 82 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 | 6 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 5 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Projected 2025 With Project - Industrial Park
Intersection: 10: CC St \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | L | T | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 13 | 57 | 162 | 175 | 1470 | 380 | 175 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 50 | 114 | 166 | 876 | 184 | 87 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 69 | 195 | 208 | 1550 | 317 | 195 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 52 | 52 |  |  | 1840 | 809 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 21 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 | 121 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 5 | 52 | 27 | 19 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 12 | 118 | 86 | 22 | 0 |

Intersection: 11: W Scott Ave \& Pacific St/I-5 SB Off Ramp

| Movement | NB | SB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 98 | 13 | 130 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 33 | 0 | 61 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 76 | 5 | 106 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) | 186 | 1110 | 2517 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: N Pekin Rd \& Site Driveway

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Directions Served | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1144 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist ( ft$)$ |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 13: N Pekin Rd \& Site Driveway

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 30 | 22 |
| Average Queue ft$)$ | 9 | 1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 30 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 989 | 227 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 14: N Pekin Rd \& Site Driveway

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 7 | 0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 29 | 4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 998 | 356 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist ( ft$)$ |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 15: N Pekin Rd \& Site Driveway

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 69 | 33 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 38 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 62 | 22 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1516 | 2912 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Projected 2025 With Project - Industrial Park
Intersection: 16: Rose Way \& Guild Rd

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 61 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 33 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 15 | 53 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 789 | 1067 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 724

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

B Site: 1 [Dike Access Road at Schurman Way (Site Folder: General)]
Projected 2025 with Project - Light Industrial PM Peak Hour Site Category: (None)
Roundabout


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\nabla$ Site: 2 [Dike Access Road at I-5 SB Ramps (Site Folder: General)]

Projected 2025 with Project - Light Industrial PM Peak Hour Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | INPUT VOLUMES |  | DEMAND FLOWS |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | 95\% BACK OF QUEUE |  | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| East: WB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 95 | 7.0 | 102 | 7.0 | 0.340 | 9.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| $6 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 350 | 4.0 | 376 | 4.0 | 0.340 | 3.8 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| Approach | 445 | 4.6 | 478 | 4.6 | 0.340 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 37.4 |
| North: I-5 SB Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 140 | 6.0 | 151 | 6.0 | 0.284 | 12.4 | LOS B | 1.5 | 39.4 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.56 | 34.9 |
| $4 \quad$ T1 | 5 | 20.0 | 5 | 20.0 | 0.284 | 7.1 | LOS A | 1.5 | 39.4 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.56 | 34.6 |
| 14 R 2 | 125 | 3.0 | 134 | 3.0 | 0.284 | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.5 | 39.4 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.56 | 33.9 |
| Approach | 270 | 4.9 | 290 | 4.9 | 0.284 | 9.5 | LOS A | 1.5 | 39.4 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.56 | 34.4 |
| West: EB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 860 | 3.0 | 925 | 3.0 | 1.058 | 71.5 | LOS F | 77.0 | 1970.2 | 1.00 | 2.32 | 3.96 | 17.8 |
| 12 R 2 | 295 | 3.0 | 317 | 3.0 | 1.058 | 71.6 | LOS F | 77.0 | 1970.2 | 1.00 | 2.32 | 3.96 | 17.5 |
| Approach | 1155 | 3.0 | 1242 | 3.0 | 1.058 | 71.5 | LOS E | 77.0 | 1970.2 | 1.00 | 2.32 | 3.96 | 17.7 |
| All Vehicles | 1870 | 3.7 | 2011 | 3.7 | 1.058 | 46.8 | LOS D | 77.0 | 1970.2 | 0.70 | 1.65 | 2.53 | 22.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## © Site: 3 [Old Pacific Highway at I-5 NB Ramps (Site Folder:

General)]
Projected 2025 with Project - Light Industrial
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { VS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | 95\% Q [ Veh. veh | CK OF UE Dist ] ft | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: I-5 NB Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 225 | 5.0 | 250 | 5.0 | 0.655 | 33.2 | LOS C | 7.9 | 204.4 | 0.99 | 1.29 | 1.78 | 26.3 |
| 8 T1 | 5 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.655 | 26.6 | LOS C | 7.9 | 204.4 | 0.99 | 1.29 | 1.78 | 26.4 |
| 18 R2 | 120 | 3.0 | 133 | 3.0 | 0.655 | 27.1 | LOS C | 7.9 | 204.4 | 0.99 | 1.29 | 1.78 | 25.7 |
| Approach | 350 | 4.2 | 389 | 4.2 | 0.655 | 31.0 | LOS C | 7.9 | 204.4 | 0.99 | 1.29 | 1.78 | 26.1 |
| East: WB Old Pacific Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 \quad$ T1 | 205 | 4.0 | 228 | 4.0 | 0.413 | 9.5 | LOS A | 2.9 | 75.3 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 34.8 |
| 16 R 2 | 80 | 3.0 | 89 | 3.0 | 0.413 | 9.5 | LOS A | 2.9 | 75.3 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 33.8 |
| Approach | 285 | 3.7 | 317 | 3.7 | 0.413 | 9.5 | LOS A | 2.9 | 75.3 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 34.6 |
| West: EB Dike Access Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 480 | 3.0 | 533 | 3.0 | 0.793 | 9.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 36.6 |
| 2 T1 | 535 | 4.0 | 594 | 4.0 | 0.793 | 3.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 36.5 |
| Approach | 1015 | 3.5 | 1128 | 3.5 | 0.793 | 6.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 36.6 |
| All Vehicles | 1650 | 3.7 | 1833 | 3.7 | 0.793 | 12.3 | LOS B | 7.9 | 204.4 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 0.53 | 33.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 461 | 0 | - | 0 | 1100 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 276 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 824 |


| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 424 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1049 | - | - | - | 170 | 728 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 295 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 559 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 424 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 5 | 0 | 24.5 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | C |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1049 | - | - | - | 295 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 195 | 120 | 40 | 215 | 150 | 45 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 195 | 120 | 40 | 215 | 150 | 45 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 3 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 250 | 154 | 51 | 276 | 192 | 58 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 404 | 0 | 705 | 327 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 327 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 378 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.34 | - | 6.43 | 6.32 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | -2.416 | -3.527 | 3.408 |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1045 | - | 401 | 692 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 728 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 691 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1045 | - | 378 | 692 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 485 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 728 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 651 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 1.4 | 18.1 |
| HCM LOS |  | C |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 521 | - | -1045 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.48 | - | -0.049 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 18.1 | - | - | 8.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 2.6 | - | - | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |





|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | \% | 4 | F |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 55 | 420 | 350 | 360 | 455 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 245 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 55 | 420 | 350 | 360 | 455 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 245 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 150 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1913 |  |  | 492 |  |  | 1514 |  |  | 1436 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 52.2 |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 34.4 |  |  | 28.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm |  |  |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 18.0 |  | 9.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |  |  |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 68.0 |  | 42.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |  |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 12.9\% | 43.9\% |  | 27.1\% | 58.1\% | 58.1\% |  |  |  | 29.0\% | 29.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 16.0 | 64.0 |  | 38.0 | 86.0 | 86.0 |  |  |  | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max |  | None | C-Max | C-Max |  |  |  | Min | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 7.0 |  |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 155
Actuated Cycle Length: 155
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:WBTL and 6:EBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection

## Natural Cycle: 65

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig StLLewis River Rd (SR 503)


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection SummarProjected 2025 With Project - General Light Industrial 8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig St/Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 55 | 420 | 350 | 360 | 455 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 245 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 55 | 420 | 350 | 360 | 455 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 245 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1885 | 1870 | 1811 | 1856 | 1826 |  |  |  | 1856 | 1856 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 57 | 433 | 361 | 371 | 469 | 0 |  |  |  | 330 | 253 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  |  |  | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 658 | 1147 | 951 | 559 | 1298 |  |  |  |  | 354 | 371 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1857 | 1541 | 1725 | 1856 | 1547 |  |  |  | 1767 | 1856 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 57 | 417 | 377 | 371 | 469 | 0 |  |  |  | 330 | 253 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1781 | 1791 | 1608 | 1725 | 1856 | 1547 |  |  |  | 1767 | 1856 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.8 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 28.5 | 19.6 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.8 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 28.5 | 19.6 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 658 | 1106 | 992 | 559 | 1298 |  |  |  |  | 354 | 371 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.09 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.66 | 0.36 |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 0.68 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 801 | 1106 | 992 | 800 | 1298 |  |  |  |  | 467 | 491 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 10.3 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 61.0 | 57.4 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 22.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ $(50 \%$ ),veh/ln | 0.8 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 14.9 | 9.4 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 10.4 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 10.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 83.1 | 59.9 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | B | B | B | A |  |  |  |  | F | E |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 851 |  |  | 840 | A |  |  |  |  | 583 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 15.5 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 73.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$, s | 7.6 | 112.4 | 35.0 | 20.3 | 99.7 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 16.0 | 86.0 | 41.0 | 38.0 | 64.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 3.8 | 2.0 | 30.5 | 14.7 | 20.1 |
| Green Ext Time (p_C), s | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 4.1 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 26.3

HCM 6th LOS C
Notes
Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 |  |  | 性 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \％ |  | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 195 | 550 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 120 | 400 | 55 | 580 | 35 | 0 | 140 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 195 | 550 | 0 | 0 | 545 | 120 | 400 | 55 | 580 | 35 | 0 | 140 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 75 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 35 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 492 |  |  | 137 |  |  | 823 |  |  | 446 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.4 |  |  | 3.1 |  |  | 18.7 |  |  | 8.7 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  | Split | NA | Prot | Prot |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  |  | 28 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  |  | 28 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 26.0 | 36.0 |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 16．8\％ | 23．2\％ |  |  |  |  | 31．0\％ | 31．0\％ | 31．0\％ | 9．7\％ |  | 9．7\％ |
| Maximum Green（s） | 22.0 | 32.0 |  |  |  |  | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  |  |  |  | None | None | None | None |  | None |
| Walk Time（s） |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） |  | 14.0 |  |  |  |  | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type：Other

Cycle Length： 155
Actuated Cycle Length： 155
Offset： $3(2 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：WBT and 6：EBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 125
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Splits and Phases：9：I－5 NB Off－Ramp／Atlantic Ave \＆Lewis River Rd（SR 503）



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity An丹rysiiscted 2025 With Project - General Light Industrial 9: I-5 NB Off-Ramp/Atlantic Ave \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)



Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 10: CC St \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity An $\ddagger$ lysjiected 2025 With Project - General Light Industrial 10: CC St \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)



| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 358 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.28 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | -3.372 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | 673 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | 673 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 |  |  | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | SB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 13.7 |
| HCM LOS |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NELn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 673 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.391 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 13.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | $B$ | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 1.9 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 279 | 144 | 146 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 144 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 135 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 711 | 903 | 1436 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 883 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 891 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 708 | 903 | 1436 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 708 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 879 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 891 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.6 |  | 0.3 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | BLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1436 | - | 794 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.004 | - | 0.014 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.5 | 0 | 9.6 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | A | - | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | - | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 20 | 205 | 35 | 90 | 135 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 20 | 205 | 35 | 90 | 135 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 22 | 223 | 38 | 98 | 147 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 321 | 147 | 147 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 147 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 174 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 673 | 900 | 1435 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 880 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 856 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 654 | 900 | 1435 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 654 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 855 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 856 | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.7 | 2.1 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1435 | -871 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.027 | -0.281 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 0 | 10.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | 1.2 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | - | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 100 | 1 | 30 | 35 | 1 | 195 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 100 | 1 | 30 | 35 | 1 | 195 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 109 | 1 | 33 | 38 | 1 | 212 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 110 | 0 | 214 | 110 |
| Stage 1 |  | - - | - | 110 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - | 104 |  |
| Critical Hdwy |  | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 |  | - - | - | 5.42 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 |  | - - | - | 5.42 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy |  | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver |  | 1480 | - | 774 | 943 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - | 915 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - | 920 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver |  | 1480 | - | 756 | 943 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver |  | - - | - | 756 |  |
| Stage 1 |  | - - |  | 915 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - | 899 |  |


|  | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 3.5 | 9.9 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | A |



Queuing and Blocking Report

## Projected 2025 With Project - General Light Industrial

## Intersection: 4: Guild Rd \& Schurman Way

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 144 | 100 | 54 | 118 | 70 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 53 | 3 | 9 | 45 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 106 | 51 | 34 | 92 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 807 | 1098 |  | 1932 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: N Pekin Rd \& Guild Rd/W Scott Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 13 | 93 | 126 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 17 | 56 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 7 | 59 | 101 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1098 | 2517 | 715 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: Goerig Rd \& N Pekin Rd/Davidson Ave

| Movement | WB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 43 | 54 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 3 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 20 | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 793 | 629 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Projected 2025 With Project - General Light Industrial
Intersection: 7: S Pekin Rd/5th St \& Davidson Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 51 | 136 | 118 | 66 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 37 | 46 | 29 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 25 | 98 | 85 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 793 | 1220 | 446 | 343 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8: I-5 SB On-Ramp/Pacific Ave \& Goerig St/Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue(ft) | 105 | 175 | 704 | 224 | 441 | 140 | 125 | 1013 | 7 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 24 | 139 | 328 | 172 | 200 | 13 | 118 | 690 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 66 | 218 | 609 | 258 | 399 | 70 | 145 | 1142 | 5 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1848 |  | 420 | 420 |  | 1372 | 867 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 | 150 |  | 200 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 25 | 10 | 3 |  | 52 | 43 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 8 | 65 | 47 | 10 |  | 126 | 138 |  |

Intersection: 9: I-5 NB Off-Ramp/Atlantic Ave \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | LT | R | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 224 | 361 | 322 | 72 | 71 | 806 | 822 | 93 | 127 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 163 | 190 | 211 | 25 | 16 | 756 | 786 | 31 | 57 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 256 | 302 | 288 | 61 | 49 | 923 | 823 | 73 | 99 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 420 | 420 | 52 | 52 | 769 | 769 |  | 370 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 52 | 75 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |
| Storage Bk Time(\%) | 9 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 5 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 25 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Projected 2025 With Project - General Light Industrial
Intersection: 10: CC St \& Lewis River Rd (SR 503)

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | L | T | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 27 | 71 | 162 | 175 | 1133 | 413 | 175 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 50 | 117 | 169 | 664 | 203 | 80 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 14 | 72 | 195 | 190 | 1280 | 358 | 188 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 52 | 52 |  |  | 1840 | 809 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 136 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 6 | 42 | 27 | 23 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 15 | 95 | 87 | 26 | 0 |

Intersection: 11: W Scott Ave \& Pacific St/I-5 SB Off Ramp

| Movement | NB | SB | NE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 98 | 26 | 138 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 34 | 1 | 61 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 76 | 12 | 101 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 186 | 1110 | 2517 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: N Pekin Rd \& Site Driveway

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 62 | 12 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 30 | 0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 54 | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1144 | 367 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Projected 2025 With Project - General Light Industrial

## Intersection: 13: N Pekin Rd \& Site Driveway

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 9 | 0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 31 | 4 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) | 989 | 227 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist ( ft$)$ |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 14: N Pekin Rd \& Site Driveway

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 12 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 10 | 0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 33 | 6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 998 | 356 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 15: N Pekin Rd \& Site Driveway

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 83 | 53 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 48 | 5 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 73 | 28 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) | 1516 | 2912 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist ( ft$)$ |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Projected 2025 With Project - General Light Industrial
Intersection: 16: Rose Way \& Guild Rd

| Movement | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 36 | 75 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 39 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 20 | 63 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 807 | 980 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 826

