

ONSITE MITIGATION AND BANK USE PLAN

November 30, 2023



TCC Woodland Industrial Project Woodland, Washington

Prepared for

Trammell Crow Portland Development, Inc. Kirk Olson 1300 SW Fifth Ave., Suite 3350 Portland, OR 97201 (503) 890-5172

Prepared by Ecological Land Services, Inc.

1157 3rd Avenue, Suite 220A • Longview, WA 98632 (360) 578-1371 • Project Number 3665.04

SIGNATURE PAGE

The information and data in this report were compiled and prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Steffanie Simpson Senior Biologist/Principal

QUALIFICATIONS

Steffanie has been employed at Ecological Land Services since 2000. Steffanie has completed numerous wetland delineations in a variety of landscape settings, conducted ordinary high water mark determinations, habitat assessments, developed and implemented numerous mitigation plans, and has prepared various environmental permit applications for private and public projects of all sizes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION1
Project History
RESPONSIBLE PARTIES
PROJECT DESCRIPTION
PROJECT LOCATION
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT PROJECT
Existing Conditions
Existing and Surrounding Land Uses
LANDSCAPE POSITION
GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION
Critical Areas and Priority Habitat Descriptions
WETLAND CATEGORIZATION AND BUFFERS
WETLAND DESCRIPTIONS
Priority Habitat – Oregon White Oak7
LISTED SPECIES AND HABITATS IN THE PROJECT VICINITY
SANDHILL CRANE
BIG BROWN BAT9
SOFT-LEAVED WILLOW
Nelson's Checker-mallow9
Oregon White Oak10
<i>Fisн</i>
WETLAND AND RIPARIAN HABITAT AREA BUFFER REDUCTION10
Wetland Buffer Averaging10
RIPARIAN HABITAT BUFFER REDUCTION11
MITIGATION SEQUENCING AND MITIGATION STRATEGY
AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION
STORMWATER
UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS
IMPACTED CRITICAL AREA FUNCTIONS
Wetlands
WETLAND B
WETLANDS C, D, AND E
Priority Oak Habitat Removal and Dripline Impact17
WETLAND MITIGATION SITE SELECTION RATIONALE

WETLAND MITIGATION	17
Oak and Buffer Mitigation	18
PROPOSED WETLAND MITIGATION CREDIT PURCHASE	18
Credit Purchase or Transfer Timing	19
CONFIRMATION OF MITIGATION CREDIT AVAILABILITY	19
OAK AND BUFFER MITIGATION	19
MITIGATION AREA 1	20
MITIGATION AREA 2	21
MITIGATION AREA 3	21
MITIGATION AREA 4	21
SITE PREPARATION, PLANTING PLAN, AND HABITAT FEATURE SPECIFICATIONS	22
SITE PREPARATION	22
PLANT SPECIFICATIONS	23
Planting Implementation	25
Gallon Stock	25
Bare-root Stock	25
Habitat Feature Specifications	25
GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	26
MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, AND CONTINGENCY MEASURES	28
VEGETATION MONITORING	28
MAINTENANCE	29
CONTINGENCY PLAN	29
SITE PROTECTION	29
Implementation Schedule	29
LIMITATIONS	30
REFERENCES	31

TABLES (IN TEXT)

Table 1. Wetland and Buffer Summary	5
Table 2. Summary of Oregon White Oaks	8
Table 3. Species of Local Importance, Priority Species and Endangered, Threatened, Candidate,	and
Sensitive species that have the Primary Association Habitat within 200 feet of Proposed Project Area.	9
Table 4. Expected Impacts to Wetlands	16
Table 5. Expected Impacts to Oregon White Oaks	16
Table 6. Credits Recommended for Wetland Impacts at Columbia River Wetland Mitigation Bank	18
Table 7. Mitigation Bank Credits Proposed for Project Impacts	19
Table 8. Oak Mitigation Required	20
Table 9. Proposed Buffer and Oak Mitigation	22
Table 10. Plant Specifications by Mitigation Area	23
Table 11. Buffer /Upland Seed Mix Specifications	24
Table 12. Wet Area/Stormwater Pond Seed Mix Specifications	24
Table 13. Habitat Feature Summary	26

SHEET SET (APPENDED)

Sheet 1	Vicinity Map
Sheet 2a	Existing Conditions
Sheet 2b	Wetlands C-E Detail
Sheet 3a	Existing Conditions
Sheet 3b	Proposed Site Plan
Sheet 4	Planting Plan
Sheet 5	Columbia River Mitigation Bank Service Area

APPENDIX A

Wetland E Rating Form, Updated Wetland B Rating Form, Updated Wetland Rating Figures, and Wetland E Test Plots

APPENDIX B

Pacific Habitat Services Wetland Delineation Report

APPENDIX C

Site Plan Alternatives

APPENDIX D

WDFW Functional Assessment for Individual Oregon White Oak Trees

APPENDIX E

Columbia River Wetland Mitigation Bank Functional Analysis

APPENDIX F

Arbor Science Tree Care Tree Management Recommendations

INTRODUCTION

Ecological Land Services, Inc. (ELS) has completed this Onsite Mitigation and Bank Use Plan on behalf of the Trammell Crow Portland Development, Inc. for direct and indirect wetland impacts and Oregon white oak (*Quercus garryana*) tree impacts resulting from the proposed construction of two industrial buildings in Woodland, Washington. The site consists of Cowlitz County Parcels 507350104, 507350102, and 507350103 located at 345 North Pekin Road within portions of Sections 13 and 14, Township 5 North, Range 1 West of the Willamette Meridian (Sheets 1 and 2). The project site totals approximately 69 acres. Construction is anticipated to begin in spring/summer 2024 and will take approximately six months.

Construction of the industrial buildings will involve directly impacting three, small Category IV wetlands, Wetlands C, D, and E, totaling 0.017 acres (748 sq. ft.) filling them entirely, directly impacting 0.001 acres (54 sq. ft.) of Category III Wetland B from stormwater outfall installation, and indirectly impacting 0.122 acres (5,319 sq. ft.) of Wetland B due to insufficient buffer. In some areas the buffer of Wetland B will be reduced below what is allowed per City of Woodland Municipal Code (WMC), so a variance is being sought. Temporary buffer impacts from trenching for stormwater conveyance features and site grading will also occur within approximately 0.873 acres (38,048 sq. ft.) of Wetland A and B buffers (Sheets 3a and 3b).

Additionally, one 47-inch diameter at breast height (dbh) Oregon white oak (oak) with a 0.146-acre (6,369 sq. ft.) canopy will be removed and 0.013 acres (587 sq. ft.) of oak dripline will be impacted by construction of interior access ways and site grading (Sheets 3a and 3b).

Direct and indirect wetland impacts will be mitigated by purchasing 0.077 credits from the Columbia River Wetland Mitigation Bank (CRWMB). Oak removal and dripline impacts will be mitigated onsite. Onsite wetland buffer enhancement measures will also be implemented to compensate for the buffer reduction on Wetland B as required per WMC. The oak and wetland buffer reduction mitigation measures include buffer addition where possible, oak stem replacement, oak woodland creation/enhancement within the remaining Wetland B buffer to ensure no net loss of wetland and habitat functions onsite (Sheet 4). Temporary buffer impact areas will be restored to pre-project condition.

This Onsite Mitigation and Bank Use Plan was prepared according to the WMC Chapter 15.08 – Critical Areas Regulation, the multi-agency guidance Wetland Mitigation in Washington State – Parts 1 & 2 (2021 and 2006, respectively), and from guidance provided by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) for oak mitigation. The Interagency Review Team (IRT) for Washington State's Guidance Paper, Using Credits from Wetland Mitigation Banks: Guidance to Applicants on Submittal Contents for Bank Use Plans (June 2020) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (Corps) Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources (33 C.F.R. §332 (2008)) were followed to prepare the Bank Use Plan.

PROJECT HISTORY

During a July 27, 2023 site visit with WDFW, a remnant channel was observed in Wetland B. Historically, Wetland B was likely connected to Goerig Slough, but has since become disconnected by fill and other development. However, due to this historic connectivity, the historic connectivity of Goerig Slough to the Columbia River, and the observation of a remnant channel in the bottom of the wetland, WDFW considers Wetland B a fish-bearing stream. The City of Woodland is deferring to WDFW recommendations, therefore will be regulating the wetland under WMC *Chapter 15.08.700 Designation of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas* and it will require a 200-foot habitat conservation area buffer. The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the Corps will be regulating the wetland with no outlet.

A wetland boundary verification was conducted with the Corps and Ecology on October 27, 2023. During this site visit, one additional wetland was mapped (Wetland E), and the northeast boundary of Wetland A was increased slightly. It was also determined that Wetland B should be rated as a Category III wetland instead of a Category IV wetland. These changes are reflected on the attached figures and are discussed in this report. Updated wetland rating forms and rating figures are provided in Appendix A.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

Contact information for the responsible parties is listed below.

Applicant	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT
Trammell Crow Portland Development, Inc.	Ecological Land Services, Inc.
Kirk Olsen, Principal	Steffanie Simpson, Senior Biologist/Principal
1300 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 3350	1157 3 rd Avenue, Suite 220A
Portland, Oregon 97201	Longview, Washington 98632
(303) 396-8126	(360) 578-1371
hhuber@trammellcrow.com	steff@eco-land.com
Civil Engineer	COLUMBIA RIVER WETLAND MITIGATION BANK
C ivil Engineer Gibbs & Olson	COLUMBIA RIVER WETLAND MITIGATION BANK Clark County Mitigation Partners LLC
C ivil Engineer Gibbs & Olson Carol Ruiz, Senior Planner	COLUMBIA RIVER WETLAND MITIGATION BANK Clark County Mitigation Partners LLC Zach Woodward, Owner
CIVIL ENGINEER Gibbs & Olson Carol Ruiz, Senior Planner 1157 3 rd Avenue, Suite 219	COLUMBIA RIVER WETLAND MITIGATION BANK Clark County Mitigation Partners LLC Zach Woodward, Owner 1015 E 2nd St., Suite 2-107
CIVIL ENGINEER Gibbs & Olson Carol Ruiz, Senior Planner 1157 3 rd Avenue, Suite 219 Longview, Washington, 98632	COLUMBIA RIVER WETLAND MITIGATION BANK Clark County Mitigation Partners LLC Zach Woodward, Owner 1015 E 2nd St., Suite 2-107 Cle Elum, Washington 98922
CIVIL ENGINEER Gibbs & Olson Carol Ruiz, Senior Planner 1157 3 rd Avenue, Suite 219 Longview, Washington, 98632 (360) 425-0991	COLUMBIA RIVER WETLAND MITIGATION BANK Clark County Mitigation Partners LLC Zach Woodward, Owner 1015 E 2nd St., Suite 2-107 Cle Elum, Washington 98922 (425) 205-0279

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

PROJECT LOCATION

The site consists of Cowlitz County Parcels 507350104, 507350102, and 507350103 located at 345 North Pekin Road in the City of Woodland, Washington, within Sections 13 and 14, Township 5 North, Range 1 West of the Willamette Meridian. Total size of the parcels is 69.5 acres (Sheets 1 through 2a).

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

The project consists of constructing two industrial buildings with associated semi-trailer and employee parking, interior access roads, stormwater facilities, and street improvements. Each building will include office space to support each tenant. Building A will be approximately 15 acres (655,000 sq. ft.) with 146 dock doors, 387 associated semi-trailer/employee parking spaces, and 131 trailer storage spaces. Building B will be approximately 6 acres (276,000 sq. ft.) with 45 dock doors, 159 semi-trailer/employee parking spaces, and 51 trailer storage spaces. Utilities and stormwater facilities will also be constructed. The project will create approximately 46 acres (1,993,000 sq. ft.) of new impervious surface. Improvements will also be made to North Pekin Road and Rose Way will be extended along the western property boundary. The development will require two access points off North Pekin Road as well as off the Rose Way extension. A separate approximately 250-foot by 350-foot parcel will be created in the southeast corner of the property, around an existing residence and will not be part of the proposed project.

To achieve adequate drainage for stormwater and sewer, Building A will need to be raised approximately

7 feet and Building B will need to be raised approximately 5 feet. Approximately 225,500 cubic yards of clean fill material from a local source will be used raise the building elevations. An additional approximately 100,000 cubic yards of material will be needed to raise the drive aisles and parking areas. Stormwater will be directed to one of five stormwater facilities for treatment and detention. Approximately half of the stormwater generated onsite will be treated and detained then released directly into Wetland B. Wetland B is approximately 6 to 8 feet lower in elevation than the surrounding uplands. By releasing treated and detained stormwater directly to Wetland B, the project will avoid importing significantly more fill to raise the site even higher in elevation to achieve adequate drainage. Stormwater will outflow from Wetland B to the central stormwater facility for additional storage during heavy precipitation events. A portion of the clean stormwater generated by roofs will be captured and released to Wetland A's buffer to maintain the wetland's hydroperiod. The amount of stormwater captured and directed to Wetland A will be equal to pre-development discharge rates. Stormwater will ultimately exit the site through a Consolidated Diking Improvement District (CDID) 2 maintained ditch that flows west just offsite to the south. Flow in the ditch is intermittent and ultimately reaches Goerig Slough to the west, which is also maintained by CDID 2. Water from Goerig Slough is pumped into the Columbia River approximately 2 miles west. Goerig slough is considered a Type F water due to historic fish presence.

Buffer averaging will be conducted on Wetland A in accordance with *WMC 15.08.400.G* to avoid indirect impacts as described in the *Wetland Buffer Averaging* section. A variance is being sought for reduction of Wetland B's buffer beyond what is allowed per code. Portions of Wetland B's buffer will be reduced from 200 feet and will generally vary from 21 feet to 60 feet wide. The remainder of Wetland B's buffer aside from the lowest portions of the storm ponds will be enhanced by planting native trees and shrubs to create an oak woodland, installing habitat features, removing invasive species, and removing trash to ensure no net loss of critical area function onsite. Signage stating "The area beyond this sign is a critical area buffer. Alteration or disturbance is prohibited by law." Or with similar wording will be placed every 100 feet along the final buffers of Wetland A and Wetland B.

One 47-inch dbh Oregon white oak will be removed and 0.013 acres (587 sq. ft.) of Oak 6's dripline will be impacted. Mitigation for removal of the oak will include installing 250 oak saplings (250:1 stem replacement), retaining the branches and the trunk as habitat features, oak release around remaining oaks adjacent to Wetland B, and creating/enhancing approximately 3.559 acres (155,046 sq. ft.) of oak woodland (including 0.135 acres for Oak 6 dripline impacts at a 10:1 mitigation ratio and 1.291 acres, 26,250 sq. ft., to accommodate 250 oak trees at 15-foot spacing) onsite. Utility trenching for the stormwater facilities will avoid the Oak 8 dripline, and curbing will be used to avoid grading within the driplines of Oaks 2 and 3 in the southwest corner of the site.

Best management practices (BMPs) that will be completed prior to construction include designating staging and stockpile areas outside of buffers and oak driplines, establishing standard construction entrances at each entry point, installing silt fencing along the remaining buffers and/or along the edge of clearing, installing construction fencing around the remaining oak driplines and around oak saplings where present, and making a water truck available during construction to prevent dust blowing.

The applicant will submit any proposed changes to the project or mitigation plan to Ecology for review and approval prior to implementation. This requirement only applies to significant changes to the project or plan, such as changes to: the amount, location, or design of mitigation; the goals, benchmarks, or performance standards; the monitoring or adaptive management provisions. Minor changes, such as slight alterations in the species listed in the planting plan, will not be required to be pre-approved but will be documented in the as-built or monitoring report.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

EXISTING AND SURROUNDING LAND USES

The subject property consists mainly of mowed pasture that is periodically tilled or disced and has been in agricultural use for decades. Zoning of the property is light industrial (I-1) with light-industrial-zoned properties bordering to the north and west, and property zoned Heavy Industrial (I-2) bordering the east and south. Properties east of North Pekin Road and the property adjoining the southeast corner of the site are currently developed with heavy industrial uses. Properties adjoining to the north and west are developed for light industrial use, and agricultural properties abut the southwest portion of the site. In general, properties to the west and south that have not been developed for industrial uses consist of high intensity farmland. North Pekin Road extends along the eastern property boundary. The Woodland City limits are located approximately 600 feet to the west.

LANDSCAPE POSITION

The project site is situated on the historic floodplain of the Columbia River near the eastern extent of the Woodland Bottoms area. The Woodland Bottoms is a low-lying area dominated by agricultural fields that has been historically diked and drained through ditching. Larger ditches and sloughs in the area are regularly maintained by CDID 2. Water in the ditch system is ultimately pumped into the Columbia River. The project site is located within Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) 170800030306 Burris Creek-Frontal Columbia River, which is in Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 27 Lewis River.

GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

There are no structures onsite aside from the residence and outbuildings in the southeast corner that will be contained within newly created parcel. The property is generally flat with a low depressional area in the southeast corner surrounding Wetland A. A shallow depressional area is also within the forested area surrounding Wetlands C, D, and E. An approximately 8-foot-deep U-shaped depression along the northern property boundary contains Wetland B. The depression abuts historical fill at the northwest and northeast ends.

Much of the site consists of pasture that is regularly hayed and periodically tilled. The southeast corner of site is partially forested with deciduous trees that transition to scrub-shrub vegetation to the east near the homesite. Multiple Oregon white oaks surround the homesite. There is an open area between the oaks and scrub-shrub vegetation that appears to be partially filled or otherwise disturbed. This area is dominated by herbaceous vegetation and blackberries (Rubus sp.). A wetland (Wetland A) is located between the homesite and forested area. A small stand of black cottonwood trees containing three small wetlands (Wetland C, D, and E) is located along the central-western property line and mixed stand of deciduous trees is located along the north-central property boundary that contains Wetland B. Scattered black cottonwood (*Populus balsamifera* spp. *trichocarpa*) and Oregon white oaks are present along the southwestern and the northwestern property lines. A 10-foot-wide, 4-foot-deep ditch extends west from the central portion of the southern property line just offsite that is maintained by CDID 2.

The understory beneath the oaks in the southwest corner of the property is mowed, except immediately adjacent to the oak trunks where Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*) is dense. The oaks in the northwest corner are surrounded by dense blackberry, which was too dense to cut through and measure the oak trunk diameters. Additionally, these oaks are heavily infested with ivy. Ivy has also heavily infested the riparian habitat area north of Wetland B, growing both up trees and covering the ground. There are scattered ivy infestations throughout the forested area around Wetland A.

CRITICAL AREAS AND PRIORITY HABITAT DESCRIPTIONS

The Wetland Delineation for the Bozarth Property, Woodland, Cowlitz County, Washington prepared by Pacific Habitat Services (PHS) on April 3, 2023, contains detailed information regarding critical area delineation methodology and field observations (Appendix B). PHS delineated four wetlands onsite and mapped the driplines of five Oregon white oaks and one oak trunk on November 23 and December 6, 2022. The dripline of six additional Oregon white oaks along with their trunk locations and dbh were mapped by ELS on August 2, 2023. It was determined during a site visit on July 27, 2023 with the WDFW and City of Woodland that Wetland B will be regulated under WMC *Chapter 15.08.700* as a fish and wildlife habitat conservation area by the City of Woodland and WDFW. The waterbody, however, currently functions as a wetland as it is surrounded by uplands and will be regulated as a wetland by the Corps and Ecology. Wetland E was delineated by ELS following the wetland boundary verification on October 27, 2023. The rating of Wetland B was increased from a Category IV to a Category III following the boundary verification as well. Test plots and the rating form for the recently delineated Wetland E and the updated rating form for Wetland B are located in Appendix A.

WETLAND CATEGORIZATION AND BUFFERS

Wetlands were rated according to Washington State Wetlands Rating System for Western Washington - 2014 Update (Rating System, Hruby & Yanke 2023) (Appendix A and B), and buffers were determined according to WMC 15.08.400 and 15.08.700. The following three parameters determine wetland buffer widths for Wetlands A, and C through E: the wetland category, its habitat score, and the proposed land-use intensity, which is high for this project. Wetland A rated as Category III with a habitat score of 5 requiring an 80-foot buffer. Wetlands C, D, and E are Category IV wetlands with habitat scores of 4 requiring 50-foot buffers. A score of 5 points or less on the Rating System is considered low, amended from 4 points or less in July 2018 by the Department of Ecology (Ecology 2023). The WMC Critical Areas Regulation Chapter 15.08.400 *Wetland buffers*, however, has not been updated to reflect this amendment. Wetlands and buffers are summarized in Table 1 below.

Wetland B rated as a Category III wetland with habitat score of 5, which requires an 80-foot wetland buffer; however, Wetland B is regulated by the City of Woodland under WMC 15.08.700 as a fish and wildlife habitat conservation area. According to Table 15.08.730-1 *Riparian Habitat Areas*, Type 3 (F) streams 5 to 20 feet wide require 200-foot riparian habitat area (RHA) widths. Both the riparian and wetland buffer are shown on the project figures and listed in the table. Indirect wetland impacts were calculated using the wetland buffer.

Wetland	Size	Category ¹ /HGM Class ² / Cowardin Class ³	Land Use Intensity	Habitat Score	Buffer Width⁴
А	2.544 ac. (110,811 sq. ft.)	III/Palustrine Depressional/ Forested, Scrub-Shrub, Emergent		5	80 feet
В	0.755 ac. (32,888 sq. ft.)	III/Palustrine Depressional/ Forested High 4		RHA: 200 ft.⁵ Wetland 80 ft.	
С	0.007 ac. (315 sq. ft.)	IV/Palustrine Depressional/ Forested High		4	Exempt ⁶
D	0.007 ac. (313 sq. ft.)	IV/Palustrine Depressional/ Forested High 4		Exempt ⁶	
E	0.003 ac. (120 sq. ft.)	IV/Palustrine Depressional/ Forested High 4		Exempt ⁶	
¹ Hruby & Yanke2023 ² NRCS 2008 ³ FGDC 2013 ⁴ WMC 15.08.400 ⁵ Regulated Under WMC 15.08.700 ⁶ WMC 15.08.400.L.1					

Table 1. Wetland and Buffer Summary

WETLAND DESCRIPTIONS

Wetland A

Wetland A is a depressional, palustrine, forested, scrub-shrub, and emergent, seasonally flooded/saturated Category III wetland totaling 2.544 acres (110,811 sq. ft.). The westernmost portion of Wetland A is forested; the northeastern portion of the wetland and the northern fringe that extends into the agricultural field are dominated by herbaceous vegetation; and the central and southern portions of the wetland are dominated by dense shrubs. The forested wetland community is dominated by black cottonwood with a dense understory of red-osier dogwood (*Cornus alba*) and little ground cover. The scrub-shrub community is dominated by willow (*Salix* sp.) and stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*), and the emergent wetland community is dominated by reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*). The forested western buffer of Wetland A contains similar species as the forested wetland but also contains sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*), trailing blackberry (*Rubus ursinus*), and Himalayan blackberry.

Throughout the wetland, a seasonally high water table appears to be the primary source of hydrology. There was no ponded water or evidence of ponding within the wetland at the time of PHS's wetland investigation. Wetland A has a narrow, constricted connection to an offsite, excavated ditch that extends in an east-west orientation to the south of the southern boundary of the study area that is maintained by CDID 2. Flow in the ditch is intermittent and ultimately reaches Goerig Slough to the west, which is also maintained by CDID 2. Water from Goerig Slough is pumped into the Columbia River 2 miles to the west. Goerig slough is considered a Type F water due to historic fish presence.

Wetland A provides a moderate level of water-quality functions (7 points) because it has an intermittently flowing outlet, has persistent, ungrazed, plants greater than 95 percent of area, and the surrounding agriculture land use could generate pollutants. The wetland does not discharge directly within 1 mile to a stream, river, or lake, on the 303(d) list; however, it is in a basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list. The wetland provides a low level of hydrologic functions (5 points) as it has an intermittently flowing outlet, ponding is less 0.5 feet, the contributing basin is large, there is a high amount of runoff from intensive human land uses, and downstream water levels are controlled by the CDID. Habitat functions are low (5 points). Although there are multiple vegetation classes, there are limited hydroperiods, special habitat features, and undisturbed or accessible habitat surrounding the wetland. There is one priority habitat (Oregon white oak) within 300 feet of the wetland.

Wetland B

Wetland B is a depressional, palustrine forested, seasonally flooded Category III wetland totaling 0.755 acres (32,888 sq. ft.). WDFW considers Wetland B a remnant historic fish-bearing stream. The City of Woodland, therefore, is regulating the wetland as a Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Area subject to WMC *Chapter 15.08.700*. Based on width, Wetland B requires a 200-foot RHA buffer which is consistent with the RHA for Type 3 fish-bearing streams that are 5 to 20 feet wide. The wetland boundary is synonymous with the ordinary high water mark. Wetland B currently functions as a wetland because it is surrounded by uplands and has no downstream or upstream connections to other water bodies.

The wetland is located in a 6- to 8-foot-deep depression. Trees are rooted along the upper edge of the wetland boundary and mainly include black cottonwood. Pacific willow (*Salix lasiandra*) and red-osier dogwood are scattered throughout the wetland floor and there is little ground cover. A seasonally high water table appears to be the primary source of hydrology, and water appears to pond to 3 feet or deeper within the wetland based on water staining on trunks/stems.

Wetland B provides a moderate level of water-quality functions (10 points) because it has no outlet, ungrazed plants cover about half of the wetland, and the surrounding agriculture land use could generate pollutants. The wetland does not discharge directly within 1 mile to a stream, river, or lake, on the 303(d)

list; however, it is in a basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list. The wetland provides a moderate level of hydrologic functions (6 points) as ponding can be greater than 3 feet, there is a high amount of runoff from intensive human land uses, and downstream water levels are controlled by the CDID. Habitat functions are low (4 points) because there is little interspersion of habitats, only one hydroperiod, limited plant species richness, and there is limited undisturbed or accessible habitat surrounding the wetland. There is one priority habitat (Oregon white oak) within 300 feet.

The majority of the southern 200-foot RHA buffer consists of a regularly mowed agricultural field with a 40- to 50-foot-wide band of deciduous-forested vegetation with a dense understory. Dominant vegetation in the forested portion of the southern buffer consists of black cottonwood, bigleaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*), and Oregon ash (*Fraxinus latifolia*), with scattered Oregon white oaks. The understory is dominated by red-osier dogwood, snowberry, Nootka rose (*Rosa nutkana*), beaked hazelnut, (*Corylus cornuta*), Himalayan blackberry, trailing blackberry, and stinging nettle. The northern buffer is similarly forested with cottonwood, maple, and oak, but is heavily infested with ivy (*Hedera helix*). Ivy completely covers the ground and is growing up the trees as well. Similar native shrubs are present with tall Oregon grape (*Mahonia aquifolium*) and sword fern also observed, but shrubs are ivy-covered as well.

Wetlands C, D, and E

Wetlands C, D, and E are very small, palustrine, forested, seasonally ponded Category IV wetlands totaling 0.007 acres (315 sq. ft.), 0.007 acres (313 sq. ft.), and 0.003 acres (120 sq. ft.), respectively. Within the wetlands, the forest canopy is dominated by black cottonwood, with red-osier dogwood and Himalayan blackberry dominant in the understory. Slough sedge (*Carex obnupta*) is present the herbaceous layer, which is very sparse. Due to their small size and low function, the wetlands are exempt from buffer requirements (Table 1). They are surrounded by deciduous forest containing the same species as Wetlands A and B. Hydrology is mainly from groundwater and runoff from the surrounding agricultural field.

Wetlands C, D, and E provide a moderate level of water-quality functions (6 points) because they have no outlet, and the surrounding agriculture and industrial use land use can generate pollutants. The wetlands do not discharge directly within 1 mile to a stream, river, or lake, on the 303(d) list; however, they are in a basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list. The wetlands provide low level of hydrologic functions (5 points) as ponding is less than 0.5 feet, their contributing basins are large, there is a high amount of runoff from intensive human land uses, and downstream water levels are controlled by the CDID. Habitat functions are low (4 points) because there is little interspersion of habitats, only one hydroperiod, limited plant species richness, the wetlands lack special habitat features, and there is limited undisturbed or accessible habitat surrounding the wetlands.

Wetland E was delineated by ELS following the wetland boundary verification on October 27, 2023. The eastern portion of Wetland E appears to be man-made as it is circular in shape and approximately 3 feet deep with steeply sloping sides. It may have been used historically for a stock pond or refuse pit as garbage and broken glass was present within the wetland and encountered in both the upland and wetland test pits. The western portion is a shallower depression that appears to overflow into the deeper eastern portion.

PRIORITY HABITAT - OREGON WHITE OAK

Oregon white oak trees are present around the perimeter of the field onsite and oak woodland was mapped in the southeastern corner of the property where the new parcel will be created. PHS mapped a portion of the oak trees and driplines during their field work in late 2022, and ELS mapped additional oaks and driplines as well as the dbh of all oaks on August 2, 2023. Onsite oaks and oak woodland are summarized in Table 2.

Oregon White Oak Identifier	Diameter at Breast Height (inches)	Canopy Area		
Oak 1	47	0.146 ac. (6,369 sq. ft.)		
Oak 2	47	0.111 ac. (4,816 sq. ft.)		
Oak 3	36	0.048 ac. (2,074 sq. ft.)		
Oaks 4 and 5	Undetermined, unable to access	0.108 ac. (4,714 sq. ft.)		
Oak 6 (Split trunk)	28 each trunk	0.064 ac. (2,780 sq. ft.)		
Oak 7	34	0.058 ac. (2,520 sq. ft.)		
Oak 8	25	0.040 ac. (1,721 sq. ft.)		
Oak 9	26	0.037 ac. (1,604 sq. ft.)		
Oak 10	26	0.031 ac. (1,335 sq. ft.)		
Oak 11	20			
Oak 12	20	Not mapped ¹		
Oak 13	23			
Totals	13 Trees 0.643 ac. (27,933 sq. ft.			
¹ Oaks located north of Wetland B and are not near development therefore canopy was not mapped.				

Table 2. Summary of Oregon White Oaks

The oak habitat onsite consists of small clusters of oaks with overlapping canopies or single oaks (no overlapping canopy) scattered around the site perimeter (Oaks 1 through 5) and individual oaks (Oaks 6 through 13) within a larger deciduous-wooded area not meeting the definition of an oak woodland (Wetland B buffer). Oak 1's canopy is more than 100 feet away from the oak woodland boundary on the separate parcel and the space between is regularly mowed, therefore, was not considered part of the woodland. The understories of Oaks 1 through 10 are within or partially within the agricultural field and their understories are regularly mowed. The bases of the trunks are generally surrounded by blackberries. Oaks 4 and 5 are heavily infested with ivy and are surrounded by dense blackberries that are mowed around, which also prevented measuring their dbh. It is estimated their dbh is between 30 and 40 inches based on the similar canopy size of other oaks onsite. The majority of the canopies on Oaks 6 through 10 overhang the mowed field with little canopy extending into the forested area. All of these oaks had acorns, dead branches, and many contained galls. Only one cavity was observed on Oak 5.

LISTED SPECIES AND HABITATS IN THE PROJECT VICINITY

The potential presence of listed species that have a primary association with the habitat on or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the project area (as shown in Table 3) was evaluated by a site visit, aerial photographs, the WDFW Priority Habitats and Species website (WDFW 2023a), SalmonScape Mapping Tool (WDFW 2023b), Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), Washington Natural Heritage Program website (WDNR 2023b), Forest Practices Application Mapping Tool (WDNR 2023a), and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), IPaC website (USFWS 2022). ELS fieldwork did not occur during an official botanical survey window for listed plant species.

 Table 3. Species of Local Importance, Priority Species and Endangered, Threatened, Candidate, and

 Sensitive species that have the Primary Association Habitat within 200 feet of Proposed Project Area.

Species	State Status ¹	Federal Status ¹	Suitable Habitat ² in Project Vicinity
Mammals			
Big Brown Bat (Eptesicus fuscus)	PHS Listed	Not Listed	Yes
Birds			
Sandhill Crane (Grus canadensis)	Endangered	Not Listed	Yes
Plants			
Nelson's Checker-mallow (Sidalcea nelsoniana)	Endangered	Delisted	Yes
Oregon White Oak (Quercus garryana)	PHS Listed	Not Listed	Yes
Soft-leaved Willow (Salix sessilifolia)	Sensitive	Not Listed	Yes

1) Endangered - In danger of becoming extinct or extirpated; Threatened - Likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range and that has been formally listed as such in the State and Federal Register under the Federal Endangered Species Act; Sensitive - Vulnerable or declining and could become endangered or threatened in the state;

2) WDFWa 2023

SANDHILL CRANE

Sandhill cranes were observed onsite, flying over, and on the adjacent agricultural field during the wetland boundary verification visit in October 2023. Sandhill cranes prefer habitats with visibility in all directions, emergent wetland vegetation, and limited amounts of disturbance to feed and nest successfully. During migration they live in more open grassland and river valleys, and often feed in agricultural fields (WDFW 2023c). Sandhill cranes nest in open wetlands, preferring those with standing water. Nesting habitat is not present onsite. The project area will no longer be available for wildlife foraging; however, surrounding agricultural lands that provide many square miles of wildlife foraging habitat will still be available. Therefore, this project will not significantly affect feeding and resting for sandhill cranes.

BIG BROWN BAT

Big brown bats are a considered a priority species. According to the Bat Conservation International (BCI) website, big brown bats are found in nearly every habitat ranging from meadows to lowland deserts but are most abundant in deciduous forests as well as in suburban areas. Roosting and hibernating habitat includes tree cavities, caves, and all variety of buildings and other man-made structures. The BCI website also states that they are generalists in their foraging behavior, frequenting neighborhoods, clearings, and forests and will feed over water or land showing little preference. Bats may be present onsite roosting in trees or foraging for insects. Removal of some trees may eliminate roosting habitat; however, most of the forested areas will be avoided. The future building may also provide roosting habitat following construction. Removal of some of the trees onsite and construction of the future building is not likely to affect foraging activities.

SOFT-LEAVED WILLOW

Soft-leaved willow is found in a variety of lowland habitats including riparian forest and on dredge spoils (WDNR, 2022c). Habitat may be present along the Wetland B side slopes and bottom. No impacts Wetland B or its side slopes are anticipated during construction activities.

Nelson's Checker-Mallow

Nelson's checker-mallow is found in meadow, prairie or grassland habitat, along fencerows, streams, roadsides, drainage swales, and edges of plowed fields adjacent to wooded areas. Standing water is present at some sites (WDNR, 2023b). Nelson's checker-mallow could be present onsite near Wetland A.

The areas proposed for temporary disturbance around Wetland A are regularly mowed and occasionally tilled, so the species is not likely to be impacted.

OREGON WHITE OAK

Oregon white oaks are discussed in the *Priority Habitat* section above.

FISH

Wetland B is considered a fish-bearing stream by WDFW based on historic fish presence and historic connection to Goerig Slough. Fish are no longer present in the wetland as the remnant channel is disconnected from Goerig Slough by fill and other development, and the channel goes dry for a large portion of the year. Additionally, the remaining continuous channel of Goerig Slough outlets the to the Columbia River via a pumpstation that does not allow fish access.

WETLAND AND RIPARIAN HABITAT AREA BUFFER REDUCTION

WETLAND A BUFFER AVERAGING

Wetland buffer averaging is proposed to Wetland A's regulated buffer. In accordance with WMC *15.08.400.G*, the director may allow for the standard buffer width to be averaged on a case-by-case basis when a qualified wetlands professional demonstrates the following criteria listed below in italics are met:

- Averaging will not reduce wetland functions or values; The majority of Wetland A's buffer that will be decreased (average-out) consists of regularly mowed/hayed pasture. Buffer averaging increase (average-in) areas on the western side of the wetland are dominated by deciduous forest; however, this area will be graded to facilitate development fill slopes and trees will be removed. Graded areas in the buffer average-in areas will be seeded with a native seed mix and planted with native trees and shrubs to ensure no net loss of ecological functions.
- 2. The wetland would benefit from a wider buffer in places and would not be adversely impacted by a narrower buffer in other places due to varying wetland quality; The average-out areas of the buffer consist of mowed pasture with some scattered shrubs. Mowing and tilling will cease in the remaining pasture-dominated buffer allowing species to recover and forested/shrub species may expand into previously mowed areas. Temporarily impacted buffer areas will be seeded and planted with shrubs. Stormwater from roadways will be conveyed to stormwater facilities for treatment and detention so road runoff will not impact water quality in the wetland or buffer; therefore, a narrower buffer in places will not affect with wetland.
- The total area of the averaged buffer is not less than would be contained if there were no buffer averaging; and
 A total of 0.041 acres (1,784 sq. ft.) of Wetland A's buffer will be decreased (averaged-out) and the buffer will be increased (averaged-in) by the same amount (Sheets 3a and 3b).
- The buffer width is not reduced to less than twenty-five percent of the standard buffer width or fifty feet, whichever is greater in any one location.
 Twenty-five percent of the regulated 80-foot buffer is 60 feet. The narrowest point of buffer after averaging is 70 feet (Sheets 3a and 3b).

RIPARIAN HABITAT BUFFER REDUCTION

In accordance with WMC 15.08.730.D.6, the director may allow the standard riparian habitat area buffer to be reduced on a case-by-case basis when it is determined that a smaller area is adequate to protect the habitat functions and values based on site-specific characteristics and when all of the criteria listed below are met. These criteria are listed below in italics followed by a response in regular font. A variance is being sought as the reduction is more than 50 percent of the standard buffer width.

a. The critical area report provides a sound rationale for a reduced buffer based on the best available science;

Approximately 150 to 160 feet of the southern 200-foot RHA consists of regularly mowed pasture grasses providing minimal buffer function. The final proposed southern buffer of Wetland B will range between 20 feet and 200 feet and encompasses the vast majority of existing trees and shrubs in the RHA. The buffer addition areas which currently consist of mowed pasture, will be enhanced creating an oak woodland and corridor that extends across the northern portion of the property. Stormwater facilities will also be located in the corridor, which, although are utilities, will also provide habitat while treating and detaining stormwater runoff. Stormwater generated by impervious surfaces will be routed to the stormwater facilities for treatment and detention. Treated stormwater will be released to the wetland in a manner that will not affect the wetland hydroperiod, avoiding adverse impacts to wetland water quantity and quality functions due to runoff from the new development. Later sections of this report demonstrate no net loss of habitat function for the buffer reduction.

b. The existing buffer area is well-vegetated or will be significantly enhanced with native species and has less than a ten percent slope;

The buffer has less than a 10 percent slope. The vast majority of the well-vegetated portion of the southern buffer will be retained, while the portions consisting of regularly mowed pasture will be developed. Additional buffer will be added and the remaining buffer will be planted to create an oak woodland. The enhancement will significantly improve overall habitat function and value, which is further described in later sections of this report.

c. No direct or indirect, short-term or long-term, adverse impacts to habitats will result from the proposed activity;

A variance is being sought for reduction of the buffer beyond what is allowed per code. All direct impacts from placement of stormwater facility outfalls will be mitigated by purchasing credits from CRWMB. Indirect wetland impacts will also be mitigated by purchasing credits from CRWMB. Enhancing the remaining buffer area with native trees, shrubs, and habitat features, removing invasive species, and removing trash from the wetland are all additional proposed measures to ensure there are no long-term adverse impacts to the riparian buffer. After implementing enhancement and mitigation measures, no adverse impacts to the habitat are anticipated.

d. As required by the director, a five-year monitoring program of the buffer and habitat shall be included. Subsequent corrective actions may be required if adverse impacts to the habitats are discovered during the monitoring period;

A 10-year monitoring plan has been prepared and is described in the *Monitoring, Maintenance and Contingency Measures* section below.

e. In no case shall the standard buffer width be reduced by more than fifty percent using this provision. A variance is being sought for the buffer reduction as it is being reduced below the 50 percent allowance. The proposed buffer is 21 feet at the narrowest point, and more than 200 feet at the widest. Buffer enhancement is being proposed in the remaining buffer area and credits are being purchased from CRWMB for indirect wetland impacts to Wetland B.

MITIGATION SEQUENCING AND MITIGATION STRATEGY

AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION

The applicant used preferred mitigation sequencing that first avoids, then minimizes, and finally compensates for unavoidable impacts to onsite wetlands, riparian habitat, and Oregon white oaks. Project needs and requirements include two access points being required off of North Pekin Road and the Rose Way extension. The southern access on North Pekin must align with the existing access on the east side of the road. Additional needs/requirements include a minimum 40-foot clearance around each building, turning radius large enough for semi-trucks maneuvering, loading, and offloading, building size needs for the end-users, employee and tractor-trailer parking needs, Rose Way street extension, and access requirements.

The original site plan consisted of one large, centrally located facility. The single-building development plan, including access ways and parking, encroached to within 5 feet the boundaries of Wetlands A and B, nearly eliminating their buffers on the north and south sides, respectively (see Figure 1 in Appendix C). Additionally, Oak 1 (47-inch dbh) in the southeast corner of the site was proposed for removal and the majority of the canopies of Oak 7 (37-inch dbh) and Oak 6 (split trunk, 26-inch dbh) would be impacted. Storm pond locations also impacted oak canopies. Wetlands C, D, and E were avoided in the site plan.

The single-building site plan was changed to two smaller buildings reducing buffer impacts to Wetlands A and B, avoiding impacts to Oak 7, and removing Oak 1 (see Figure 2 in Appendix C). Wetlands C, D, and E were proposed to be filled in this scenario. Impacts would occur within the driplines of Oaks 2, 3, 6, and 10 from side-slope grading due to the amount of fill being imported to raise the site elevation high enough to achieve adequate drainage.

The two-building site plan was revised, and the southeastern access was modified to curve around Oak 1 avoiding removal. Included in this version of the site plan, stormwater would be released directly to Wetland B instead of its buffer. By releasing treated and detained stormwater directly to the wetland, the project would avoid importing significantly more fill material to raise the site to achieve adequate drainage. This would also avoid dripline impacts to Oaks 2, 3, and 10 and reduce dripline impacts to Oak 6. Wetlands C, D, and E would be filled in this scenario; however, filling the small wetlands and releasing treated and detained stormwater directly to Wetland B was preferred by the agencies over impacting Oak 1.

Upon further evaluation of the revised two-building site plan and discussion with the project engineers, fill and grading would still need to occur within the dripline of Oak 1. Because of the size and shape of the canopy, grading could not be completed without removing a large portion of the canopy for construction equipment to grade near the trunk. Additionally, the proposed building would be located immediately adjacent to the oak canopy. The canopy and critical root zone would likely be impacted by equipment accessing that side of the building during building construction even if additional fill and grading was not needed. Branches would eventually need to be removed from the southern portion of the canopy as well because they extend over the main access where semi-trucks will be entering and leaving. The oak would still be surrounded by fill, although fill would be shallower so it may be possible to drain water out of the oak, even with the road and buildings being located outside the dripline, it is highly likely the oak would eventually die regardless of the avoidance efforts; therefore, it was decided to remove the oak and mitigate for impacts rather than have the oak become a hazard later and be removed, potentially without

mitigation.

Additional avoidance measures include:

- Moving the stormwater ponds and associated grading outside of oak driplines.
- Moving the discharge pipe from the northwestern stormwater facility outside of Oak 8 and Oak 9 driplines.
- Using a tall curb along the access near Oaks 2 and 3 to avoid grading in their driplines.
- Capturing clean roof runoff and routing to Wetland A's buffer to maintain its hydroperiod.
- Setting the outflow pipe in Wetland B to an elevation so its hydroperiod is not affected.
- Following recommendations as outlined in the *Oak Tree Protection and Conservation Plan* prepared by Arbor Science Tree Care (Appendix F).

Best management practices will be implemented prior to construction include the following:

- Designating staging and stockpile areas outside of critical areas and oak driplines.
- Establishing standard construction entrances at each entry point off North Pekin Road.
- Installing silt fencing along the remaining critical area buffers and/or along the edge of clearing.
- Installing construction fencing around the remaining oak driplines and around oak saplings, where
 present, to further avoid impacts.
- Making a water truck available during construction to prevent dust blowing.
- Using higher curbs along the street edge near Oaks 2 and 3 to avoid dripline impacts.

STORMWATER

In accordance with 15.08.700.10 *Stormwater Conveyance Facilities*, stormwater conveyance facilities are allowed within a riparian buffer with an approved critical area report subject to the following code criteria listed in italics with our response in regular font following.

a. No other feasible alternatives with less impact exist;

The stormwater facilities need to be located around the perimeter of the site generally outside of the building and parking footprints and must be sized appropriately. The northeastern facility is located as far east of the RHA as possible. The northwestern facility was designed around two mature oak trees located in the northwestern corner of the site. The central facility is located as far south of the RHA as possible to still accommodate stormwater input from surrounding development. The facilities are located in previously mowed pasture areas onsite, and none require removal of trees or shrubs. Following construction, they will be seeded with a native herbaceous seed mix.

b. Mitigation for impacts is provided;

Mitigation is being proposed for impacts associated with outfall and overflow structures and by purchasing credits from the CRWMB. The remaining RHA will be planted with native species to develop into an oak woodland and ensure no net loss of habitat function.

c. Conveyance facilities shall incorporate habitat features; and

Habitat features consisting of downed logs, large woody material piles, and bird nest boxes will be placed around the stormwater facilities as shown on Sheets 3a and 3b.

d. Vegetation shall be maintained.

Construction of the stormwater facilities does not require tree or shrub removal, Once constructed, the facilities will be seeded with a native herbaceous seed mix.

The stormwater facilities further meet the criteria listed in 15.08.420 - *Stormwater management* in the wetland section of the WMC.

- A. New developments shall utilize best management practices to minimize stormwater quantity and quality impacts to wetlands, both during and following construction.
 Best management practices are described in the Avoidance and Minimization Section above.
- B. Stormwater runoff from new development shall not significantly change the rate of flow, hydroperiod which is the seasonal period and duration of water saturation or inundation, nor decrease the water quality of wetlands.

Treated and detained stormwater will be released to the wetland similar to predevelopment rates. The outflow pipe will be set at an elevation to maintain the hydroperiod of the wetland. Water will outflow from Wetland B to another stormwater facility during flooding events for additional storage.

- C. Authorized modifications of wetlands or buffer areas for construction of discharge from drainage facilities shall protect wetland hydrologic functions classified pursuant to this section.
 Hydrologic functions of the wetland and buffer will be protected as described above and throughout this report.
- D. Stormwater runoff shall not be diverted from the watershed of wetlands.

Treated and detained stormwater will be released to Wetland B similar to predevelopment rates. Release of stormwater in the wetland is also necessary to maintain the hydroperiod so as not to starve the wetland of hydrology. A portion of the clean stormwater generated by the future buildings will be captured and released to Wetland A's buffer to maintain the wetland's hydroperiod. The amount captured and directed to Wetland A will be equal to pre-development contributing basin.

As stated in *Appendix I-C Compensatory Wetland Protection Guidelines* Section *I-C.6 Compensatory Mitigation* of *Wetlands* in the *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* (Ecology 2019), compensatory wetland mitigation is not required if the following criteria are met. These criteria are listed below in italics followed by our response in regular font.

- The wetland is rated Category III or IV.
 Wetland B is a Category III wetland.
- The wetland has a habitat score of 5 or less.
 Wetland B scored 5 for habitat on the Rating System.
- The wetland does not provide habitat for rare, threatened or endangered species. There are no rare, threatened, or endangered species in Wetland B.
- The wetland does not contain a breeding population of any native amphibians.
 Wetland B is not expected to contain a breeding population of native amphibians as there is less than ¼-acre of thin stemmed persistent vegetation in the wetland. Shrubs are present in the wetland in scattered patches and are too large to be considered thin-stemmed. The wetland lacks an emergent component as most of the wetland floor is bare ground.
- The hydrologic functions of the wetland can be improved by modification. Generally, this means that constraints exist within the wetland (or surrounding area) that have altered natural hydrologic processes. The constraints are described in Charts 4 & 5 in Selecting Wetland Mitigation Sites Using a Watershed Approach (Hruby et al., 2009).

Surrounding industrial and agricultural development have altered the wetland over time. The wetland was likely historically part of Goerig Slough. Historic fill and downstream development have disconnected the wetland from the slough. Recent development in the surrounding area is likely directing runoff away from the wetland. Release of treated and detained stormwater from the project site into the wetland is needed to prevent the wetland from drying up. An outflow pipe will be set at an elevation that will maintain the existing hydroperiod of the wetland to avoid changes in the water regime.

As outlined in the discussion above, placement of stormwater facilities in the riparian area and placement of outfalls that release treated and detained stormwater directly to Wetland B are allowed per local and state regulations. Direct impacts from the outfalls and the outflow pipe will be mitigated by purchasing credits at the CRWMB. Indirect impacts due to insufficient buffer will be mitigated by purchasing credits. The remaining riparian buffer will be planted with native trees and shrubs, invasive species will be removed, and trash will be removed from the wetland as described below resulting in no net loss of habitat or function within the wetland. For these reasons and because the wetland hydroperiod will be maintained, we believe placement of the stormwater facility outfalls/outflow and releasing treated and detained stormwater directly to the wetland will not require additional mitigation beyond what is proposed.

UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS

After buffer averaging and implementing avoidance and minimization measures, the project will directly impact 0.017 acres (748 sq. ft.) of Category IV wetland (Wetlands C, D, and E) from fill, directly impact 0.001 acres (54 sq. ft.) of Category III Wetland B from stormwater outfall/outflow placement, indirectly impact 0.122 acres (5,319 sq. ft.) of Wetland B due to insufficient buffer, remove one 47-inch dbh oak tree (Oak 1) with a 0.146-acre (6,369 sq. ft.) canopy, and impact .013 acres (587 sq. ft.) of oak dripline (Oak 6). The project will also temporarily impact 0.873 acres (38,048 sq. ft.) of RHA and wetland buffer from utility trenching and grading. A variance is being sought for reducing the wetland and riparian habitat area buffers beyond the minimum allowed per code. Direct and indirect wetland impacts will be mitigated by purchasing 0.077 credits from CRWMB as detailed in the *Bank Use Plan* section of this report. Oak impacts and reduction of the RHA buffer beyond what is allowed per code will be mitigated onsite through oak woodland creation and installation of habitat features including utilizing the removed oak as a habitat feature.

Because Ecology is regulating Wetland B as a wetland (not a stream), the City does not assess indirect impacts, and a variance is being sought for reduction of the RHA buffer beyond what is allowed per code. Indirect wetland impacts due to insufficient buffer have been assessed for Wetland B based on a 60-foot wetland buffer. An 80-foot wetland buffer is required for Category III wetlands with a low habitat score, which can be reduced or averaged up to 25 percent or down to 60 feet; therefore a 60-foot buffer was used to calculate indirect impacts to Wetland B. The remaining buffer around Wetland B following development will range between 20 feet and 200 feet and will be enhanced with native trees, shrubs, and habitat features. The vast majority of existing forested buffer vegetation will not be disturbed during construction.

Table 4 below summarizes expected impacts to wetlands, and Table 5 summarizes expected impacts to oaks.

Table 4.	Expected	Impacts to	Wetlands
----------	----------	------------	----------

Wetland Name	Wetland Area	Direct Impacts	Indirect Impacts	Temp. Impacts (Buffer Only)	Ecology Rating ¹	Cowardi n Class ²	HGM Class ³
А	2.552 ac. (111,168 sq. ft.)			0.157 ac. (6,830 sq. ft.)	111	FO/SS/ EM ⁴	Dep.⁵
В	0.755 ac. (32,888 sq. ft.)	0.001 ac. (54 sq. ft.)	0.122 ac. (5,319 sq. ft.)	0.716 (31,218 sq. ft.)	IV	FO	Dep.
С	0.007 ac. (315 sq. ft.)	0.007 ac. (315 sq. ft.)			IV	FO	Dep.
D	0.007 ac. (313 sq. ft.)	0.007 ac. (313 sq. ft.)			IV	FO	Dep.
E	0.003 ac. (120 sq. ft.)	0.003 ac. (120 sq. ft.)			IV	FO	Dep.
Totals	3.324 ac.	0.018 ac.	0.122 ac.	0.873 ac.			
(144,804 sq. ft.) (802 sq. ft.) (5,319 sq. ft.) (38,048 sq. ft.)							
¹ Hruby & Yanke 2023							
² FGDC 2013							
³ NRCS 2008							
⁴ FO=Forested	⁴ FO=Forested, SS=Scrub-shrub, EM=Emergent						
⁵ Dep.= Depressional							

Table 5. Expected Impacts to Oregon White Oaks

Identifier	DBH	Canopy Area	Impact
Oak 1	47 inches	0.146 ac. (6,369 sq. ft.)	Removal
Oak 6	26 inches Split trunk 26 each stem	0.064 ac. (2,780 sq. ft.)	0.013 ac. (587 sq. ft.) Dripline Impact

IMPACTED CRITICAL AREA FUNCTIONS

WETLANDS

WETLAND B

Although regulated under the Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Area section of the WMC because WDFW considers Wetland B a remnant historic fish-bearing stream, Wetland B currently functions as a wetland and there are no fish present in the wetland. Detained and treated stormwater from two stormwater ponds is proposed to be released directly to the wetland. The discharge points will consist of a culvert outfall with an approximate 3-foot by 6-foot quarry spall splash pad around the culvert mouth to prevent erosion and scour. An overflow pipe will be set at an appropriate elevation to maintain the hydroperiod and will also be armored with quarry spalls to prevent erosion. This will result in approximately 0.001 acres (54 sq. ft). of fill in the wetland. Placement of the quarry spall pads and the culvert mouths in the wetland will nominally affect flood storage capacity. Water will outflow to another detention pond to the south during flooding, maintaining the existing hydroperiod so vegetation will also not be affected. Water quality will not be affected as the released stormwater will be fully treated for pollutants.

Critical area buffers can reduce adverse impacts to critical area functions and values from adjacent development by moderating the effects of stormwater runoff including stabilizing soil to prevent erosion, filtering runoff, and moderating water level fluctuations. Buffers also provide habitat opportunities for forage, refuge, mobility, and thermal protection. Additionally, buffers help screen critical areas from adjacent developments blocking noise, providing visual separation, and providing protection from other human disturbances (Castelle et al. 1992). Although the buffer around Wetland B will be significantly reduced, the existing function of the buffer is limited because the majority of the buffer consists of regularly mowed pasture grasses. Other agricultural related activities including placing soils amendments and tilling have occurred in the pasture portion of the buffer. The large majority of existing forested and scrub-shrub vegetation will be maintained, and the remaining buffer will be enhanced with native trees and shrubs.

WETLANDS C, D, AND E

All functions provided by Wetlands C, D, and E as described in the *Wetland Descriptions* section above will be impacted as these wetlands will be filled in their entirety.

PRIORITY OAK HABITAT REMOVAL AND DRIPLINE IMPACT

Oak 1, a 47-inch dbh oak, will be completely removed during construction. This oak produces acorns providing a food source for various animals and its canopy may provide nesting and roosting opportunities for a variety of animals as well. Various types of invertebrates also utilize oaks during their life cycles. Oak 1 is separated by more than 100 feet from the small oak woodland to the southeast by regularly mowed and occasionally tilled pasture.

A paved access way will encroach into the outer dripline of Oak 6. The branches are high enough in this area that they will not likely need to be removed. Although roots may be damaged, the impacts will not be critical to the tree.

WETLAND MITIGATION SITE SELECTION RATIONALE

WETLAND MITIGATION

The CRWMB site provides mitigation for projects that impact wetlands within its service area. The CRWMB service area extends from river mile 68 (approximately the downstream end of the confluence of the Cowlitz River with the Columbia River) upstream to Bonneville Dam (approximately river mile 146), including the historical floodplain of the Columbia River and those portions of the watersheds that immediately adjoin and influence the historical Columbia River floodplain. The project site is located approximately 17.5 miles northwest of the CRWMB site (Sheet 5). Both the impact site and CRWMB are located within the historic floodplain of the Columbia River, which is the main reason for selecting CRWMB over the Coweeman Mitigation Bank as the project site is also within the service area of the Coweeman Bank.

ELS biologists believe that this proposal is environmentally preferable and supports using mitigation bank credits to compensate for wetland impacts rather than creating a permittee-responsible wetland mitigation site. This logic is consistent with 33 C.F.R. §332, which gives preference to mitigation bank credits where applicable. Small and discontinuous mitigation sites located adjacent to developing urban or industrial areas or infrastructure are threatened by expanding development and have greater risk of uncertainty. Thus, mitigation banking has become a preferred option due to certainty of compliance and the environmental resource benefits that are provided by large continuous mitigation sites.

ELS biologists advise purchasing mitigation bank credits for ecological considerations (lower risk of failure

and lower temporal loss of resources and services) and to avoid the maintenance and contingency issues and outright failures that often accompany permittee-responsible wetland mitigation sites. Use of the CRWMB substantially lowers the risk of failure and temporal loss of resource functions and services over newly established, permittee-responsible wetland mitigation sites. As described below, the functional lift anticipated in the CRWMB will adequately compensate for wetland functions. A discussion of functions provided at the CRWMB and anticipated functional lift are located in Appendix E.

OAK AND BUFFER MITIGATION

Mitigation for oak impacts and buffer reduction is being completed onsite was selected because of the opportunity to create and enhance the existing oak habitat as remaining mature oaks are being choked out by ivy and/or blackberries. Additionally, their understories currently consist of regularly mowed pasture so there is ample opportunity to improve and create oak habitat. Enhancement of the remaining riparian buffer is required for variance approval to reduce the riparian buffer beyond what is allowed per code and must be done onsite. Oak and riparian habitat buffer mitigation is described in the *Oak and Buffer Mitigation* section below.

PROPOSED WETLAND MITIGATION CREDIT PURCHASE

The table below is from the Mitigation Banking Instrument (MBI) for the CRWMB (CCMP 2014), and it lists the recommended credit ratios for purchasing credits based on the impacted wetland category or buffer.

Resource Impact	Bank Credits : Impact Area
Category I Wetland	Case-by-Case
Category II Wetland	1.2:1
Category III Wetland	1:1
Category IV Wetland	0.85:1
Critical Area Buffer	Case-by-Case

Table 6.	Credits	Recommende	d for \	Netland	Impacts a	at Colun	nbia Rivei	Wetland	Mitigation	Bank
Table 0.	cicuits	Recommentat		<i>w</i> ctiana	impacts a	at colum		wectiana	windbacion	Dank

Direct impacts result in immediate changes of hydrological characteristics of a wetland, loss of habitat, loss of flood storage, and loss of nutrient removal or retention and will be mitigated by purchasing credits at the ratios listed in Table 6 above. Indirect wetland impacts will be compensated by multiplying the credits necessary for direct wetland impacts in the table by 0.5 (50 percent of the direct wetland ratio). The 0.50 (50 percent) multiplier is based on the rationale that indirect impacts can be adequately compensated for by using 50 percent of the Bank's required ratio for direct wetland impacts. Indirect impacts adversely affect the ability of the wetland to provide functions and values over time, which the wetland provided prior to disturbance. Examples are changes in drainage characteristics, changes in water levels, and changes in wetland characteristics. Mitigating at 50 percent of the Bank's required ratio for direct wetland impacts is therefore reasonable and ecologically sound.

Bank credits will be purchased from CRWMB at the ratio of 1:1 designated for impacts to Category III wetlands and at the ratio of 0.85:1 designated for Category IV wetland impacts. Indirect wetland impacts will be calculated by applying a 0.50 multiplier to the corresponding ratio for wetland category. Table 7 below details the mitigation ratios used to calculate the total number of Bank credits needed to compensate for the project impacts. A total of 0.077 credits will be purchased to compensate for 0.018 acres (802 sq .ft.) of direct impact and 0.122 acres (5,319 sq. ft.) of indirect impact.

Wetland	Wetland Category	lmpact Type	Impact Amount (Acres)	Ratio	Multiplier	Amount (Acres)	Proposed Credit Purchase
Р		Direct	0.001	1:1		0.001	0.001
В		Indirect	0.122	1:1	0.50	0.061	0.061
C	IV	Direct	0.007	0.85:1		0.006	0.006
D	IV	Direct	0.007	0.85:1		0.006	0.006
E	IV	Direct	0.003	0.85:1		0.003	0.003
Total Credits to be Purchased:						0.077	0.077

Table 7. Mitigation Bank Credits Proposed for Project Impacts.

CREDIT PURCHASE OR TRANSFER TIMING

Following permit issuance, Trammell Crow Company, as the applicant, will enter into a Buy/Sell Agreement with Clark County Wetland Mitigation Partners, LLC for purchase of mitigation credits (in the quantity specified in Table 7) that would appropriately mitigate for the proposed project impacts. The actual purchase of credits will occur upon permit issuance. Prior to impacting project wetlands, the applicant will submit proof of transfer of mitigation credits to project managers for both Ecology and the Corps. Proof of the mitigation transfer will be provided in the form of a notification letter to the approving agencies. Upon service of this notification, the mitigation requirement to purchase 0.077 mitigation credits will be fully satisfied.

CONFIRMATION OF MITIGATION CREDIT AVAILABILITY

Proof of the current number of available mitigation credits at the Terrace Mitigation Bank site can be confirmed by approving agency(s) through the Interagency Review Team.

Kate Thompson

Washington Department of Ecology Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program P.O. Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 407-6749 <u>kate.thompson@ecy.wa.gov</u>

Suzanne Anderson

US Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Branch, Seattle District PO Box C-3755 Seattle, WA 98124 206-764-3708 Suzanne.L.Anderson@usace.army.mil

OAK AND BUFFER MITIGATION

One 47-inch dbh Oregon white oak tree with a 0.146-acre (6,369 sq. ft.) canopy will be removed for the project, 0.013 acres (587 sq. ft.) of oak dripline will be impacted, and the RHA buffer around Wetland B will be reduced beyond what is allowed per code. Based on guidance provided by WDFW, a stem replacement ratio of 250:1 is required for removing Oak 1 and a ratio of 10:1 is needed for canopy replacement due to drip line impacts to Oak 6. The final riparian habitat buffer on Wetland B will range between 20 feet and 200 feet wide (Sheets 3a and 3b). The areas proposed for oak woodland creation and enhancement generally consist of mowed pasture grasses adjacent to remnant deciduous forests or mowed pasture beneath individual oaks. The proposed onsite mitigation incorporates measures to ensure no net loss of riparian/wetland and oak habitat function onsite and includes enhancement and creation of oak woodland within the remaining, undeveloped uplands in the north portion and southwest corner of the project site. Recommendations in the Oak Tree Protection and Conservation Plan prepared by Arbor

Science Tree Care (2023) will also be followed (Appendix F). Table 8 summarizes the oak impacts and mitigation required.

The proposed mitigation has a high likelihood of success because the plant species selected for enhancement are currently growing onsite, the existing soils will provide well-suited growing medium, and the monitoring, maintenance and site protection measures will ensure successful establishment and protection of the mitigation areas in perpetuity.

Oak Identifier	Impact	WDFW Score ¹	Stem Replacement Ratio ²	Canopy Replacement Ratio	Mitigation Area Required
Oak 1	Removal	15	250:1		1.291 ac. 56,250 (sq. ft.)
Oak 6	Dripline Impact 0.013 ac. (587 sq ft.)	12		10:1	0.135 ac. (5,870 sq. ft.)
				Total	1.426 acres (62,120 sq. ft.)
				Total Stems	276 Based on 15' spacing
¹ Functional Assessment for Individual Oregon White Oak Trees Provided by WDFW (Appendix D).					

Table 8. Oak Mitigation Required

A combination of onsite oak woodland creation and enhancement (also serving as riparian habitat buffer enhancement) will be used to compensate for the oak removal and buffer reduction beyond what is allowed per code. The mitigation areas will be planted with native shrubs and oak saplings to create an oak woodland. Ivy will be removed from existing oak trees and from the mitigation areas in general. Other invasive species, mainly invasive blackberries, will also be removed. Habitat features including a snag made from the removed oak trunk, downed logs and large woody material (LWM) piles made from the removed oak limbs and other removed trees, bird nest boxes, and bat houses will be placed throughout the mitigation areas.

Creating an oak woodland within the pasture areas will provide more wildlife habitat opportunities for forage and refuge, and it will help screen Wetland B from development. The remaining oaks will also likely have a higher chance of reproducing from acorns as mowing and other ground disturbing agricultural activities will cease. A habitat corridor will be created along the northern property boundary consisting of both forested and emergent/herbaceous areas. The stormwater ponds will provide a wider variety of habitat types with emergent/herbaceous areas that will have varying water regimes. The oaks in the northwest corner of the site are surrounded by dense blackberries and are heavily infested with ivy. They will likely die if the ivy is not controlled. Oak woodland will be created/enhanced in the southwest corner of the property where the understories of the oaks are regularly mowed, and the oak trunks are surrounded by blackberries.

The mitigation has been broken down into four areas as each area will require different treatment. Mitigation Areas 1 through 4 are described below and are summarized in Table 9.

MITIGATION AREA 1

Mitigation Area (MA) 1 is located in the north portion of the site and totals 2.655 acres (115,649 sq. ft.) with approximately 0.23 acres (9,960 sq. ft.) of existing oak canopy. It consists of the remaining buffer around the south, west, and east sides of Wetland B, which consists of mowed pasture. The forested

portion of the buffer consists of deciduous forest dominated by black cottonwood, big leaf maple, redosier dogwood, snowberry, and Himalayan blackberry with scattered mature Oregon white oaks. The existing oak trees will be released by girdling at least one tree adjacent to each oak to lessen competition from shading. Although all adjacent trees are deciduous, the oaks have well developed canopies on the south side and little to no canopy on the north side of the tree, so they will benefit from less competition for resources. The remaining pasture-dominated buffer areas outside of the stormwater pond floors will be planted to develop into an oak woodland. The portions of the stormwater ponds not receiving plantings will be seeded with a native grass seed mix appropriate to the water regime. Invasive blackberries and other invasive vegetation species will be removed and controlled throughout the buffer on the south side of Wetland B. Habitat features including a snag using the removed Oak 1 trunk, two LWM piles and three downed logs using Oak 1 branches and tree(s) removed from project footprint, and four bird nest boxes and four bat houses placed on existing trees or on treated wooden posts will be placed around the mitigation area and storm ponds. Trash will also be removed from the wetland.

MITIGATION AREA 2

Mitigation Area (MA) 2 is located in the southeast portion of the site and totals 0.331 acres (14,434 sq. ft.) and ranges from approximately 10 feet to 30 feet wide. This area includes restoration of buffer areas temporarily impacted during grading and includes a portion of the buffer addition area that will also be graded. The majority of the temporarily impacted buffer areas consist of pasture grasses with some shrubs being removed. The buffer addition area is currently forested with black cottonwood with a dense understory and will be planted with species similar to those removed as these species will likely reestablish from the adjacent forested area. The temporarily impacted buffer areas will be planted with shrubs. Both areas will be seeded with a native seed mix. Two LWM piles and two downed logs using Oak 1 branches and tree(s) removed from project footprint will be placed in the northern buffer of Wetland A.

MITIGATION AREA 3

Mitigation Area (MA) 3 is located in the southwest portion of the site and totals 0.484 acres (21,091 sq. ft.) with approximately 0.159 acres (6,890 sq. ft.) of existing oak canopy. The enhancement area contains Oaks 2 and 3 as well as several large black cottonwoods along the southern property line. The understory is mowed pasture with the trunks of Oaks 2 and 3 being surrounded by blackberries. The area will be planted as an oak woodland and invasive species will be removed. Habitat features including one downed log using a tree removed from project footprint, one bird nest box, and one bat house will be placed in the mitigation area.

MITIGATION AREA 4

Mitigation Area (MA) 4 is located in the northwest portion of the site and totals 0.420 acres (18,306 sq. ft.) with approximately 0.108 acres (4,714 sq. ft.) of existing oak canopy. The enhancement area contains Oaks 4 and 5, which are surrounded by a blackberry thicket and are heavily infested with ivy. The remaining area consists of mowed pasture. Invasive species will be removed from the oaks and from the ground then the area will be planted as an oak woodland. One downed log using a tree removed from the project footprint will be placed in the mitigation area.

Table 9.	Proposed	Buffer	and	Oak	Mitigation
----------	----------	--------	-----	-----	------------

Location	Enhancement Acres	Oaks Planted	Mitigation Activity			
MA 1 (north portion)	2.655 (115,649 sq. ft.)	238	 Maintain 0.23 acres (9,960 sq. ft.) of existing oak canopy. Release oaks in northwest portion of mitigation area by girdling at least one tree adjacent to each oak. Create oak woodland by planting 238 oaks and 2,280 shrubs outside existing oak driplines. Remove invasive species. Apply native seed mixes around storm pond according to water regime. Install one vertical snag using trunk of Oak 1. Install 2 LWM piles using Oak 1 branches or tree(s) removed from project footprint. Install 3 downed logs using tree(s) removed from project footprint. Install 4 bat houses and 2 bird nest boxes on existing trees. 			
MA 2 (southeast corner)	0.331 acres (14,434 sq. ft.)		 Seed graded areas with native seed mix. Plant 50 native trees and 70 shrubs in buffer addition area Plant native shrubs in temporarily impacted buffer area. Install 2 LWM piles using Oak 1 branches or tree(s) removed from project footprint. Install 2 downed logs using tree(s) removed from project footprint. 			
MA 3 (southwest corner)	0.484 (21,091 sq. ft.)	26	 Maintain 0.159 acres (6,890 sq. ft.) acres of existing oak canopy. Create oak woodland by installing 26 oaks and 462 shrubs outside of existing oak driplines. Remove invasive species. Install 1 downed log using tree removed from project footprint. Install 1 bat house and 1 bird nest box on existing trees. 			
MA 4 (northwest corner)	0.420 (18,306 sq. ft.)	26	 Maintain 0.108 acres (4,714 sq. ft.) acres of existing oak canopy. Create oak woodland and corridor connecting to Area 3 by planting 26 oaks and 462 shrubs outside of existing oak driplines. Remove ivy from oak trunks and remove other invasive species. Install 1 downed log using tree(s) removed from project footprint. 			
Total	3.890 (169,480 sq. ft.)	290				
Total Oak W Total Oak W	Total Oak Woodland Required: 1.426 ac. (62,120 sq. ft.)/276 stems Total Oak Woodland Proposed: 3.559 ac (155,046 sq. ft.)/290 stems					

SITE PREPARATION, PLANTING PLAN, AND HABITAT FEATURE SPECIFICATIONS

SITE PREPARATION

Once best management practices are in place including site fencing at the edge of clearing, and demarcation of clearing limits, the site will be cleared, fill imported, graded, and utilities installed followed by the construction of the remaining project elements. Oak 1 will be removed during clearing activities. Large branches will be limbed 2 to 3 feet from the trunk and will be stockpiled for later use as habitat features. The trunk will also be stockpiled and will be installed as a vertical snag in MA 1. Four other removed trees will be stockpiled for use as habitat features. At least five trees adjacent to Oaks 7 through 10 in MA 1 will be selected and girdled for oak release.

Once grading activities are complete, habitat features will be placed, and stormwater ponds will be seeded with a native seed mix appropriate to water regime. Blackberries will be mowed, followed by herbicide application when regrowth appears. Ivy will be removed from oaks and other trees by cutting an approximate 2-foot band from the tree trunk. Ivy on the ground will be sprayed during the growing season. Blackberries and ivy may need multiple spray treatments. Bare areas from invasive species removal will be similarly seeded with a native seed mix.

PLANT SPECIFICATIONS

Once blackberry and ivy control are complete, the prescribed plantings will be installed during the late fall to early spring when the plants are dormant, and the soil moisture conditions are favorable for planting. Plant species selected are common to oak woodlands in the Pacific Northwest. Approximately 2 weeks prior to tree and shrub installation, herbicide will be applied in a 2-foot diameter circle at each planting location. Plants will be installed after herbicide takes effect. Once plants are installed, mulch will be placed in a 4-foot diameter circle around oak and in a 2-foot diameter circle around other species. Plant protector tubes will also be installed on all trees and tall shrubs.

Table 10 below details plantings by mitigation location. Plant numbers take into account existing oak driplines in MAs 2, 3, and 4 as plants will only be installed within the outer portion of their driplines. Native seed mixes are listed in Tables 11 and 12.

Species	Spacing (on-center)	Stock	Amount
MA 1: 2.655	acres (2.54 ac. plar	ntable.)	
Oregon white oak (Quercus garryana)	15′	5-gallon	238
Beaked hazelnut (Corylus cornuta)	5′	Bare root	380
Douglas hawthorn (Crataegus douglasii)	5′	Bare root	380
Indian plum (Oemleria cerasiformis)	5′	Bare root	380
Nootka rose (Rosa nutkana)	5′	Bare root	380
Snowberry (Symphoricarpos albus)	5′	Bare root	380
Tall Oregon grape (Mahonia aquifolium)	5'	Bare root	380
		Total	2,518
MA 2: 0.331 a	cres (0.331 ac. pla	antable)	
Black cottonwood (Populous balsamifera)	10'	Bare root	50
Indian plum (Oemleria cerasiformis)	5′	Bare root	70
Nootka rose (<i>Rosa nutkana</i>)	5′	Bare root	70
Snowberry (Symphoricarpos albus)	5'	Bare root	70
		Total	260
MA 3: 0.484 a	cres (0.405 ac. pla	intable)	-
Oregon white oak (Quercus garryana)	15′	5-gallon	26
Beaked hazelnut (Corylus cornuta)	5'	Bare root	77
Ocean spray (Holodiscus discolor)	5′	Bare root	77
Indian plum (Oemleria cerasiformis)	5′	Bare root	77
Nootka rose (Rosa nutkana)	5'	Bare root	77
Snowberry (Symphoricarpos albus)	5'	Bare root	77
Tall Oregon grape (Mahonia aquifolium)	5'	Bare root	77
		Total	488

Table 10. Plant Specifications by Mitigation Area

Species	Spacing (on-center)	Stock	Amount				
MA 4: 0.420 a	MA 4: 0.420 acres (0.366 ac. Plantable)						
Oregon white oak (Quercus garryana)	15′	5-gallon	26				
Douglas hawthorn (Crataegus douglasii)	5′	Bare root	77				
Indian plum (Oemleria cerasiformis)	5'	Bare root	77				
Ocean spray (Holodiscus discolor)	5′	Bare root	77				
Nootka rose (<i>Rosa nutkana</i>)	5′	Bare root	77				
Snowberry (Symphoricarpos albus)	5′	Bare root	77				
Tall Oregon grape (Mahonia aquifolium)	5′	Bare root	77				
		Total	488				
		Grand Total	3,754				

Table 11. Buffer /Upland Seed Mix Specifications

River Refuge Seed Native Upland Grass Mix						
Species	Composition	Rate	Quantity			
Blue wild rye (Elymus glaucus)	30%					
California brome (Bromus carinatus)	25%					
Meadow barely (Hordeum brachyantherum)	10%					
Roemer's fescue (Festuca roemeri)	10%					
Slender hairgrass (Deschampsia elongata)	10%	15 lbs/acre	25/lbs			
Spike bentgrass (Agrostis exarata)	5%					
Tufted hairgrass (Deschampsia cespitosa)	5%					
Red fescue (Festuca rubra)	5%					
Total	100%					

Table 12. Wet Area/Stormwater Pond Seed Mix Specifications

River Refuge Seed Native Bioswale Mix					
Species	Composition	Rate	Quantity		
American slough grass (Beckmannia syzigachne)	15%				
Meadow barely (Hordeum brachyantherum)	10%				
California brome (Bromus carinatus)	10%				
Blue wild rye (Elymus glaucus)	10%				
Spike bentgrass (Agrostis exarata)	10%				
Tufted hairgrass (Deschampsia cespitosa)	10%				
Roemer's fescue (Festuca roemeri)	10%	20 lbs/acre	35/lb		
Shortawn foxtail (Alopecurus aequalis)	5%				
California oatgrass (Danthonia californica)	5%				
Slender hairgrass (Deschampsia elongata)	5%				
Slender rush (Juncus tenuis)	5%				
One-sided (Carex unilaterlis)	5%				
Total	100%				

Planting Implementation

- Plant the specified trees and shrubs in late fall to early spring (October-March) in accordance with specifications listed in Table 10. Space the plants somewhat irregularly and in groups to create heterogeneity in the density and appearance.
- Install plants with a tree shovel or comparable tool.
- Remove the plant from the pot and work the roots free from majority of potted soil.
- Place the potted or bare root plant species in the planting holes so that their roots can extend down entirely and do not bend upward or circle inside the hole (no "J" or "U" roots).
- Position the root crowns so that they are at or slightly above the level of the surrounding soil.
- Compact the soil around the planted species to eliminate air spaces.

Gallon Stock

- Gallon potted species will be purchased from a native plant nursery.
- Gallon potted plants will be a minimum size of 18- to 36-inches tall.
- Gallon potted stock will be kept cool and moist prior to being planted.
- Gallon potted stock will have well-developed roots and sturdy stems, with an appropriate rootto-shoot ratio.
- Unplanted potted stock will be properly stored at the end of each day.
- The environmental consultant will be responsible for inspecting potted plant stock prior to and during planting, culling unacceptable plant materials.

Bare Root Stock

- Bare root species will be purchased from a native plant nursery.
- Plants will be protected until installation by being refrigerated, covered with damp burlap, and placed in moist sand, peat, or other method of keeping the roots cool and moist.
- Plants will have well-developed roots and sturdy stems, with an appropriate root-to-shoot ratio.
- No damaged or desiccated roots or diseased plants will be accepted. In particular, bare root trees
 must not have damaged or "J-rooted" taproots.
- Unused bare root stock must be properly stored at the end of each planting day to prevent the roots from desiccating.
- The environmental consultant will be responsible for inspecting potted plant stock prior to and during planting, culling unacceptable plant materials.

Habitat Feature Specifications

Material for the LWM piles will be salvaged from the removed Oak 1 limbs and other trees removed during construction. Downed logs will be similarly sourced from trees removed during construction. Because there are no coniferous trees onsite, the order of preference for species to be used as downed logs will be: big leaf maple, Oregon ash, red alder, then black cottonwood.

Snag Specifications

- Trim branches to within 2 to 3 feet from trunk of removed oak.
- Bury oak 1/3 its length.

Woody Debris Pile Specifications

- Limbs or trunks at least 5-inches in diameter and 6 to 10 feet long.
- Place on the ground in up to 4 or 6 perpendicular (crisscross) layers depending on size.
- Smaller branches or bows can be placed over top larger pieces to fill in open spaces.

Downed Log Specifications

- At least 12-inches dbh for at least 20 feet in length.
- Root wad attached or ends rough cut, mashed, or ripped.
- Lateral branches retained to the extent feasible.

Five standard bat houses and five standard bird nest boxes will be placed in the mitigation areas. Bat houses will be installed on existing trees in the mitigation areas and will be at least 12 feet off the ground. Bird next boxes will be placed on existing trees or on poles within the enhancement areas and will be at least 6 feet off the ground. Table 13 details habitat features to be placed in the enhancement area.

Table 13. Habitat Feature Summary.

Туре	Amount
Large Woody Material Pile	4
Downed Log	7
Snag (from removed oak trunk)	1
Bat House	5
Bird Nest Box	5

GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Mitigation Goals:

- 1. Achieve no net loss of Oregon white oak habitat.
- 2. Achieve no net loss of riparian habitat area buffer habitat.

The following objectives and performance standards will ensure the mitigation goals are accomplished:

- Objective A. Enhance/Create 3.559 acres of Oregon white oak woodland onsite (including 0.135 acres for Oak 6 dripline impacts at a 10:1 mitigation ratio and 1.291 acres to accommodate 250 oak trees at 15-foot spacing) to ensure no net loss of Oregon white oak habitat and riparian habitat area buffer onsite.
- *Objective B.* Install at least 250 Oregon white oak trees to compensate for removing Oak 1.
- *Objective C.* Restore temporarily impacted buffer areas to pre-project condition.
- *Objective D.* Protect the mitigation areas in perpetuity.

The following performance standards have been developed for the onsite mitigation and will ensure the goals and objectives are accomplished. Performance standards for oak, non-oak tree, and shrub installation for Objectives B and C are combined with oak, non-oak tree, and shrub performance standards in Objective A.

Objective A Performance Standards:

<u>Performance Standard A1</u> Release existing Oregon white oaks in Mitigation Area 1 by girdling at least five trees adjacent to Oaks 7 through 10. Girdle trees by removing a 2- to 4-inch belt of inner and outer bark on their trunks. Include location and pictures of girdled trees in as-built report.

<u>Performance Standard A2:</u> Photo-document the trees girdled for oak release have died. Provide photos in the Year 1 Monitoring report.

<u>Performance Standard A3</u>: Install removed Oak 1 as snag in Mitigation Area 1. Document location in asbuilt report.

<u>Performance Standard A4:</u> Place habitat features as detailed in Table 9 of the mitigation plan throughout mitigation areas. Document which piles are created from removed Oak 1 limbs, and document habitat feature location and type in as-built report.

<u>Performance Standard A5:</u> Install plants as detailed in Table 10 of the mitigation plan. Document species type, amount, and general planting locations in as-built report.

<u>Performance Standard A6:</u> Submit an as-built report to permitting agencies showing final mitigation area boundaries, habitat feature locations, planted species amount and locations, and signage locations within 60 days of plant installation.

<u>Performance Standard A7:</u> Survival of planted woody species excluding oaks will be 90 percent at the end of Year 1 as measured in established monitoring plots. Document total woody species survival, including native volunteer species, in the Year 1 monitoring report.

<u>Performance Standard A8:</u> Survival of planted Oregon white oaks will be 95 percent at the end of Year 1. Document total oak survival, including volunteer oaks, in the Year 1 monitoring report. Replace any failed oak plantings to meet this performance standard.

<u>Performance Standard A9</u>: Survival of Oregon white oak will be 90 percent for the remainder of monitoring. Document oak survival in the annual monitoring reports.

<u>Performance Standard A10:</u> Density of planted non-oak trees will be 10-foot on-center and density of planted shrubs will be 5-foot on-center at the end of Year 2 as measured in established monitoring plots. Document density in the Year 2 monitoring report.

<u>Performance Standard A11:</u> Cover of planted woody species excluding oaks will be greater than or equal to 15 percent at the end of Year 3 as measured in established monitoring plots. Document total woody species cover, including native volunteer species, in the Year 3 monitoring report.

<u>Performance Standard A12:</u> Cover of planted woody species excluding oaks will be greater than or equal to 25 percent the end of Year 5 as measured in established monitoring plots. Document total woody species cover, including native volunteer species, in the Year 5 monitoring report.

<u>Performance Standard A13:</u> Cover of planted woody species excluding oaks will be greater than or equal to 35 percent the end of Year 7 as measured in established monitoring plots. Document total woody species cover, including native volunteer species, in the Year 7 monitoring report.

<u>Performance Standard A14:</u> Cover of planted woody species excluding oaks will be greater than or equal to 50 percent the end of Year 10 as measured in established monitoring plots. Document total woody species cover, including native volunteer species, in the Year 10 monitoring report.

<u>Performance Standard A15:</u> Cover of seeded areas will achieve 80 percent by Year 1. Document cover of seeded areas in Year 1 monitoring report.

<u>Performance Standard A16</u>: Percent cover of state and county listed noxious weeds will not exceed 10 percent cover within the mitigation areas for the duration of the monitoring. Document cover of listed noxious weeds in annual monitoring reports.

Objective D Performance Standards:

<u>Performance Standard D1</u>: Record a conservation covenant protecting the mitigation areas in perpetuity. Submit recorded covenant with the Year 1 monitoring report.

<u>Performance Standard D2:</u> Install signage every 100 feet along the mitigation areas stating "The area beyond this sign is a critical area buffer. Alteration or disturbance is prohibited by law" or similar wording. Document sign locations in the as-built report.

<u>Performance Standard D3:</u> Signage will be in place and legible for the duration of monitoring. Replaced missing or damaged signs to meet this performance.

MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, AND CONTINGENCY MEASURES

Monitoring of the mitigation areas will occur for a 10-year period in Years 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, and 10. Following plant installation, at least 13 monitoring plots will be established throughout the mitigation areas and a plant count will be taken to determine baseline conditions. Plots will be 30 feet in diameter or the equivalent area. Plot locations will be documented in the as-built report. Six plots will be placed in MA 1, three plots will be placed in MA 2 and two plots each in MAs 3 and 4. Additionally, at least 17 photo stations will be established throughout the mitigation site, one at each monitoring plot and at least four overall representative photo stations to photo-document vegetation establishment. Photo station location and the direction in which the picture is taken will also be recorded on the as-built map.

The goal of monitoring will be to determine if the previously stated performance standards are being met. Monitoring reports will be submitted to the City of Woodland, Ecology, the Corps, and WDFW by December 31st of each monitoring year. At minimum, the following items will be included in the report:

- Location map and as-built drawing, including any changes.
- Historic description of project, including dates of plant installation, current year of monitoring, and remedial actions taken (if any).
- Description of monitoring methods.
- Documentation of vegetative performance standards and overall development of plant communities.
- Assessment of non-native, invasive plant species and recommendations for management
- Photographs from established photopoints.
- Observations of wildlife including amphibians, invertebrates, reptiles, birds, and mammals. If photographs are taken, they will be included.
- Assessment of snag development.
- Summary of maintenance and contingency measures completed for the past year and proposed for the next year.

VEGETATION MONITORING

Monitoring will occur annually during the growing season, preferably during the same two-week period to better compare data. The following information will be gathered within the established monitoring plots:

- Percent survival of shrubs in Years 1 and 2.
- Precent survival of oaks in all years.
- Percent cover of oaks and shrubs in Year 3 and subsequent monitoring years.
- Percent cover of native herbaceous species in all monitoring years.
- Dripline diameter of installed oak trees.
- Percent cover of non-native, invasive species in all monitoring years.
- General health of plants in the monitoring plot, noting specific problems and potential causes.
- Photographic documentation of vegetative changes over time from established photopoints.

Overall vegetative conditions outside monitoring plots will also be observed and discussed in the monitoring reports.

MAINTENANCE

Maintenance will occur during the growing season for the duration of monitoring and will include the following:

- Irrigate planting areas every other week or as needed in the dry season of the planting year and Year 1.
- Taper watering in Years 2 and 3; watering approximately every 3 to 4 weeks in the dry season or as needed.
- Remove competing herbaceous species at least twice yearly, or as needed within a 3-foot radius
 of planted trees and shrubs.
- Weed-eat, spray, or mow invasive species as needed during the growing season.
- Replace dead or failed plants as described for the original installation to meet the minimum performance standards.

CONTINGENCY PLAN

If the performance criteria are not met by Year 3, steps will be taken to correct the situation in a timely manner after consultation with appropriate permitting agencies. The following steps will be implemented when an area is identified as failing or potentially failing:

- Identify the cause(s) of the failure or potential failure.
- Identify the extent of the failure or potential failure.
- Implement corrective actions such as irrigating, fertilizing, and replanting.
- Document the activities and include this data in the monitoring reports.
- In the event that a routine corrective action will not correct the problem, immediately consult with the appropriate agencies.

SITE PROTECTION

The mitigation areas will be legally protected from development in perpetuity by recording a conservation covenant over the areas. Signage stating "The area beyond this sign is a critical area buffer. Alteration or disturbance is prohibited by law" or signs with similar wording will be installed on metal T-posts or treated wooden posts every 100 feet along the outer edge of the mitigation areas.

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

The following schedule reflects anticipated tasks and timing for completing project elements. Some tasks may occur currently or be modified by the contractor.

- Demarcate clearing limits.
- Designate staging areas, install silt fencing, and install a standard construction entrance(s).
- Begin clearing and grading activities.
- Remove Oak 1 and stockpile for use as habitat features. Stockpile 7 additional trees also to be used for habitat features.
- Complete grading activities.
- Install habitat features following invasive species control and/or grading.
- Complete utility installation and construction of remaining project elements.
- Seed other disturbed areas within the mitigation areas.
- Install enhancement plantings the following late October through March following construction completion.
- Complete as-built report and submit to permitting agencies.

LIMITATIONS

ELS bases this report's determinations on standard scientific methodology and best professional judgment. In our opinion, local, state, and federal regulatory agencies should agree with our determinations. However, the information contained in this report should be considered preliminary and used at your own risk until it has been approved in writing by the appropriate regulatory agencies. ELS is not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations after the date of this report.

Arbor Science Tree Care. 2023. Oak Tree Protection and Conservation Plan memo. September 13, 2023.

- Castelle, A.J., C. Connolly, M. Emers, E.D. Metz, S. Meyer, M.Witter, S. Mauermann, T. Erickson, S.S. Cook.
 1992. Wetland Buffer: Use and Effectiveness. Adolfson Associates, Inc. Shorelaneds and Coast Zone
 Management Program, Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia, Pub. NO. 92-10
- City of Woodland. 2022. *City of Woodland Municipal Code Chapter 15.08 Critical Areas Regulation*. <u>https://library.municode.com/wa/woodland/codes/code of ordinances</u>. Accessed July 26, 2023.
- Clark County Mitigation Partners LLC and Ecological Land Services, Inc. (ELS). 2014. Columbia River Wetland Mitigation Bank Mitigation Banking Instrument. Revised July 2014.
- Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC). 2013. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. FGDC-STD-004-2013. Second Edition. Wetlands Subcommittee, Federal Geographic Data Committee and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC. Cowardin, L.M., C. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe.
- Hruby, T. & Yahnke, A. (2023). *Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update (Version 2).* Publication #23-06-009. Washington Department of Ecology.
- Interagency Review Team for Washington State (IRT). February 19, 2009. Using Credits from Wetland Mitigation Banks: Guidance to Applicants on Submittal Contents for Bank Use Plans.
- Larsen, Eric M. and Morgan, John T (Larsen et. al.). 1998. *Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Habitats Oregon White Oak Woodlands*. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). January 1998.
- Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). 2008. *Hydrogeomorphic Wetland Classification System: An Overview and Modification to Better Meet the Needs of the Natural Resources Conservation Service*. United States Department of Agriculture Technical Note, #190-8-76.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2017. *National Hydric Soil List*. Online document http://soils.usda.gov/use/hydric/lists/state.html Accessed March 2017.
- Pacific Habitat Services. 2023. Wetland Delineation for the Bozarth Property, Woodland, Cowlitz County, Washington. April 3, 2023.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps). 2008. *Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources, Final Rule.* 33 C.F.R. §332, Federal Register, April 30, 2008.
- Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Seattle District, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10. (2021). Wetland Mitigation in Washington State–Part 1: Agency Policies and Guidance (Version 2). Washington State Department of Ecology Publication #21-06-003.
- Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Seattle District, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10. March 2006. Wetland Mitigation in Washington State –
Part 2: Developing Mitigation Plans (Version 1). Washington State Department of Ecology Publication #06-06-011b. Olympia, WA.

- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). 2019. *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington*. Publication #19-10-021.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). 2023. *Translating 2004 to 2014 Rating Scores in Buffer Tables* (with July 2018 modifications). Online document accessed August 2023. <u>https://ecology.wa.gov/Water-Shorelines/Wetlands/Tools-resources/Rating-systems</u>

FIGURES





W Scott Ave	A
	PROPOSED:Fill and Grading IN Onsite Wetlands NEAR: Woodland COUNTY: Cowlitz STATE: W SHEET 2a OF 7 DATE: 11/30/23
	EXISTING CONDITIONS APPLICANT: Trammell Crow Portland Development, Inc. PROJECT NAME: TCC Woodland Industrial Project REFERENCE #: Not Yet Assigned SITE LOCATION ADDRESS: 345 N Pekin Road Woodland, WA 98674
LEGEND: Site Boundary Parcel Boundary Proposed Lot Boundary Wetland Boundary Wetland Boundary Wetland Buffer OHWM Riparian Buffer CDID Maintained Ditch w/ Flow Direction Solak Tree Oak Dripline Easement N I	PURPOSE: Industrial Building Construction DATUM: NAD83 ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS:
 1' Contours Culvert TP-1 Test Plot Location TE(S): Aerial from Google Earth™ (6/17/2021). Site survey by Gibbs & Olson (3/13/2023). Wetlands A-D and some oak driplines mapped by Pacific Habitat Services. Oak trunk locations and some oak driplines mapped by ELS using a hand-held gps with ±1meter accuracy. Wetland E and some oak driplines mapped by ELS. Critical area buffers determined according to City of 	200 400 SCALE IN FEET SCALE IN FEET 1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371
Woodiand Municipal Code Tables 15.08.400-1 and 15.08.730-1. WDFW condiders Wetland B a remnant historic fish-bearing stream; therefore, a 200-foot Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Area buffer has been applied per City of Woodland Community Development Director	Ecologic Land Servic





	_				
	PROPOSED: Fill and Grading	IN Onsite Wetlands	NEAR: Woodland	COUNTY: Cowlitz STATE: WA SHEET 3a OF 7	DATE: 11/30/23
W E	SED SITE PLAN	amell Crow Portland Development, Inc. TCC Woodland Industrial Project	ot Yet Assigned	ADDRESS:	.4
LEGEND: Site Boundary Parcel Boundary Proposed Lot Boundary Wetland Boundary Standard Watland Buffer	PROPO	APPLICANT: Tran PROJECT NAME:	REFERENCE #: N	SITE LOCATION . 345 N Pekin Road	Woodland, WA 9867
Standard Wetland Buffer Reduced Wetland Buffer Riparian Buffer 15' Building Setback CDID Maintained Ditch w/ Flow Direction Oak Tree Oak Dripline 1' Contours Culvert Wetland Impact (0.018 ac./802 sq. ft.) Indirect Wetland Impact (0.122 ac./5,319 sq. ft.)	PURPOSE:	Industrial Building Construction	DATUM: NAD83	ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS:	1
Buffer Average Out (0.200 ac./8,714 sq. ft.) Buffer Average In (0.200 ac./8,714 sq. ft.) Temporary Buffer Impact	400			Suite 220A WA 98632	578-1371
(0.873 ac./38,048 sq. ft.) Oak Dripline Impact (0.160 ac./6,956 sq. ft.) Mitigation Areas (3.890 ac./169,480 sq. ft.) Final Buffer	200	ALE IN FEET		1157 3rd Ave., Longview,	Phone: (360)
Buffer Signage (every 100 feet along Final Buffer) Downed Log (7) Oak Snag (1) Large Woody Material Pile (4) Bird Nest Box (5) Bat House (5)	0-			Ecological	Land Services



	PROPOSED:Fill and Grading IN Onsite Wetlands NEAR: Woodland COUNTY: Cowlitz STATE: WA SHEET 3b OF 7 DATE: 11/30/23
	PLAN WITH AERIAL PHOTO mmell Crow Portland Development, Inc : TCC Woodland Industrial Project Vot Yet Assigned ADDRESS: 74
LEGEND: Site Boundary Parcel Boundary Proposed Lot Boundary Wetland Boundary Standard Wetland Buffer Reduced Wetland Buffer	PROPOSED SITE APPLICANT: Tra PROJECT NAME REFERENCE #: N 345 N Pekin Road Woodland, WA 986
Riparian Buffer 15' Building Setback CDID Maintained Ditch w/ Flow Direction Oak Tree Oak Dripline 1' Contours Culvert	E: Building Construction : NAD83 :NT PROPERTY OWNE
Wetland Impact (0.018 ac./802 sq. ft.) Indirect Wetland Impact (0.122 ac./5,319 sq. ft.)	PURPOS Industrial DATUM: ADJACE
Buffer Average Out (0.200 ac./8,714 sq. ft.) Buffer Average In (0.200 ac./8,714 sq. ft.) Temporary Buffer Impact (0.873 ac./38,048 sg. ft.)	400 , Suite 220A v, WA 98632 0) 578–1371
Oak Dripline Impact (0.160 ac./6,956 sq. ft.) Mitigation Areas (3.890 ac./169,480 sq. ft.) Final Buffer	200 ALE IN FEET Longviev Phone: (36)
Buffer Signage (every 100 feet along Final Buffer) Downed Log (7) Oak Snag (1) Large Woody Material Pile (4) Bird Nest Box (5) Bat House (5)	Ecological Services





	LEGEND:			A	
	COUNTY BOUNDARY	ıding		ATE:W	
	ROADS	and Gra	ls F	tz ST	7
~~~~	MAJOR RIVERS AND STREAMS	<b>OSED:</b> Fill	site Wetland	TY: Cowlit	: 11/30/23
	FLOODPLAIN AREA	PROP	IN Ons NEAR	COUN	SHEE DATE
TO + <mark>87</mark>	RIVER MILE REACH OF SERVICE AREA	CE AREA	ial Project		
	HUC 6 BOUNDARY	K SERVI od Develc	l Industr 1		
	COWLITZ COUNTY COLUMBIA RIVER WETLAND MITIGATION BANK SERVICE AREA	R MITIGATION BANH Trammell Crow Portlan	ME: TCC Woodland *: Not Yet Assigned	ON ADDRESS:	u 98674
)8000508( )8000508 )8000301( 80003010) )80003010	02 - Cowlitz River-Lecker Creek* 04 - Lower Coweeman River* 05 - Lower Kalama River* 06 - Burris Creek 05 - Mouth of Lewis River*	COLUMBIA RIVE	PROJECT NAN REFERENCE#	SITE LOCATIO	Woodland, WA
a portion ed in the p	2 - Hunt Creek* this HUC6 boundary was proposed service area.	struction		TY OWNERS	
<u>S:</u> ATE, COL STREAM OGY WE ww.wsdot.w OODPLAI ERSITY C	INTY, ROADS, RIVERS BOUNDARIES FROM BSITE: a.gov/mapsdata/geodatacatalog/default.htm N DATA FROM J. BURKE, DF WASHINGTON.	<b>RPOSE:</b> lustrial Building Con	TUM: NAD83	<b>JACENT PROPER</b>	
IC6 WATE /I USGS V www.wate	RSHED BOUNDARIES VEBSITE: er.usgs.gov/wsc/cat/17080003.html	<b>PU</b> Ind	DA	OA AD	32
P PREPA SERVICI	RED BY ECOLOGICAL ES, INC., JUNE, 2008.	2.5	H = 2.5 MILES	1157 3rd Ave., Suite 22	Longview, WA 986 Phone: (360) 578–13
		0	1 INCF		Ecological Land Services

## **APPENDIX A**

Wetland E Rating Form, Updated Wetland B Rating Form, Updated Wetland Rating Figures, and Wetland E Test Plots

# **RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington**

Name of wetland (or ID #):Wetland BDate of site visit: 10/27/23Rated by S. Simpson_______ Trained by Ecology? XYes _NoDate of training 2006

HGM Class used for rating Depressional Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y X N

**NOTE**: Form is not complete without the required figures (figures can be combined). Source of base aerial photo/map <u>Google Earth</u>

**OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY** <u>III</u> (based on functions <u>X</u> or special characteristics_)

## 1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

Category II – Total score = 20 - 22

X Category III – Total score = 16 - 19

Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality		Ну	drolo	gic	ŀ	labita	it		
				C	<i>ircle th</i>	е арр	oropri	ate rat	rings	
Site Potential	Н	M	L	Ð	М	L	Н	Μ	$\bigcirc$	
Landscape Potential	Н	$\overline{\mathbb{M}}$	L	н(		L	Н	Μ	$\Box$	
Value	Н	$\overline{\mathbb{M}}$	L	Н	M		Н		) Ľ	тот
Score Based on Ratings		6			6			4		16

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important) 9 = H, H, H 8 = H, H, M 7 = H, H, L 7 = H, M, M 6 = H, M, L 6 = M, M, M 5 = H, L, L 5 = M, M, L 4 = M, L, L 3 = L, L, L

## 2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	САТ	EGORY
Estuarine	Ι	II
Wetland of High Conservation Value		Ι
Bog		Ι
Mature Forest		Ι
Old Growth Forest		Ι
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II
Interdunal	III	III IV
None of the above	Not A	Applicable

## Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	1
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	1
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	1
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	1
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	2
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	2
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	PHS Report
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	PHS Report

#### **Riverine Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	Н 2.1, Н 2.2, Н 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

#### Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

#### Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of <b>dense</b> trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 4.1	
(can be added to figure above)		
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

# **HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington**

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

NO – go to 2

YES – the wetland class is Tidal Fringe – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

### NO – Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)

YES – Freshwater Tidal Fringe If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe, it is an Estuarine wetland and is not scored. This method cannot be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat, and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.



YES – The wetland class is Flats

If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.

3. Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?

The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size,

____At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).



- **YES** The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)
- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - The wetland is on a slope (slope can be very gradual),

_____The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheet flow, or in a swale without distinct banks,

The water leaves the wetland without being impounded.



YES – The wetland class is Slope

**NOTE**: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep). Wetland name or number Wetland B

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - _The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,

The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

YES – The wetland class is Riverine

NO – go to 6 NOTE. The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.

NO – go to 7

## **YES** – The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO – go to 8

```
YES – The wetland class is Depressional
```

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

**NOTE**: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream	Depressional
within boundary of depression	
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other	Treat as
class of freshwater wetland	ESTUARINE

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than** 2 HGM classes within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

<u>DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WEILANDS</u>	
Valer Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality	
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
D 1.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> :	l
Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet).	l
points = 3 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch. OR highly constricted nermanently flowing outlet	3
noints = 2	5
Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 1	l
Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch. points = 1	l
D 1.2. The soil 2 in. below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions). Yes = 4 No = 0	0
	0
D 1.3. Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes):	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > 95% of area points = 5	l
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > ½ of area lots of bare ground points = 3	3
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants $\geq 1/10$ of area points = 1	1
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants $<^{1}/_{10}$ of areapoints = 0	
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation:	l
This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.	l
Area seasonally ponded is > ½ total area of wetland points = 4	4
Area seasonally ponded is $\geq \frac{1}{4}$ total area of wetland points = 2	l
Area seasonally ponded is < ¼ total area of wetland points = 0	
Total for D 1Add the points in the boxes above	10
Rating of Site Potential If score is:       12-16 = H       X_6-11 = M       0-5 = L       Record the rating on the	first page
D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3?	1
Source garbage Yes = 1 No = 0	1
Total for D 2Add the points in the boxes above	2
<b>Rating of Landscape Potential</b> If score is: <u>3 or 4 = H X 1 or 2 = M</u> <u>0 = L</u> Record the rating on the	first page
D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the $303(d)$ list?	0
D 3.2 Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? Ves = 1 No = 0	1
$D_{3,3}$ Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality? (Answer VES	1
if there is a TMDL in development or in effect for the basin in which the unit is found.) Yes = $2$ No = $0$	0
Total for D 3Add the points in the boxes above	1

Rating of Value If score is: <u>2-4 = H X 1 = M</u> <u>0 = L</u>

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	ion
<b>EXAMPLE</b> Participation of the notantial to reduce flooding and erosion?	1011
D 4.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> : Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) points = 4 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream/ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet points = 2 Wetland is a flat depression (question 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch points = 1 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0	4
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods:Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part. Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outletpoints = 7 points = 7Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet	7
D 4.3. Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed: Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the area of the wetland unit itself.         The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit       points = 5         The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit       points = 3         The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit       points = 0         Entire wetland is in the Flats class       points = 5	5
Total for D 4 Add the points in the boxes above	16
Rating of Site Potential If score is: X 12-16 = H 6-11 = M 0-5 = L Record the rating on the	first page
D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?	
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
Total for D 5Add the points in the boxes above	2
Rating of Landscape PotentialIf score is:3 = HX _1 or 2 = M0 = LRecord the rating on the	first page
D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?	
<ul> <li>D 6.1. Is the unit in a landscape that has flooding problems? Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. <u>Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met</u>.</li> <li>The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow downgradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds): <ul> <li>Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately downgradient of unit.</li> <li>points = 2</li> <li>Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther downgradient.</li> <li>points = 1</li> <li>Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin.</li> <li>points = 1</li> <li>The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. <i>Explain why</i> points = 0</li> <li>Deep depression, diking in greater area, water ultimately pumped to Columbia River</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	0
<ul> <li>There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland.</li> <li>points = 0</li> <li>D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan?</li> </ul>	
Yes = 2 No = 0	0
Total for D 6Add the points in the boxes above	0

Rating of ValueIf score is: ____2-4 = H ____1 = M X_0 = LWetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 UpdateRating Form – Version 2, July 2023

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat	
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?	
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac if the unit is at least 2.5 ac, or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac.        Aquatic bed       4 structures or more: points = 4        Aquatic bed       3 structures: points = 2        Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover)       2 structures: points = 1         XForested (areas where trees have > 30% cover)       1 structure: points = 0         If the unit has a Forested class, check if:      The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/groundcover) that	1
H 1.2. Hydroperiods         Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland if the unit is < 2.5 ac, or ¼ ac if the unit is at least 2.5 ac to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods).	0
Lake Fringe wetland 2 points	
H 1.3. Richness of plant species         Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² .         Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canada thistle         If you counted: > 19 species       points = 2         5 - 19 species       points = 1         < 5 species	1
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high. None = 0 points All three diagrams in this row are High = 3 points H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high. None = 0 points Low = 1 point Moderate = 2 points All three diagrams in this row are High = 3 points	0

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
XLarge, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in. diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in.) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) <b>and/or</b> overhanging plants extend at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over open water or a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	
Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	2
At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (structures for egg-laying by amphibians) >1/4 acre. Lots of bare areas interspersed with areas of moderate woody stem/branch coverage.	
X Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (see H 1.1 above for the list of strata and H 1.5 in the manual for the list of aggressive plant species)	
Total for H 1Add the points in the boxes above	4

**Rating of Site Potential** If score is: ____**15-18 = H** ____**7-14 = M** <u>X</u> ___**0-6 = L** 

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?		
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat polygons accessible from the wetland.		
<i>Calculate:</i> % relatively undisturbed habitat+ [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]	_=%	
Total accessible habitat is:		
> 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon	points = 3	0
20-33% of 1 km Polygon	points = 2	
10-19% of 1 km Polygon	points = 1	
< 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0	
H 2.2. Total habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.		
Calculate: % relatively undisturbed habitat + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]	_=%	
Total habitat > 50% of Polygon	points = 3	0
Total habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches	points = 2	0
Total habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches	points = 1	
Total habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0	
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon:		
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use	points = (- 2)	-2
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity	points = 0	
Total for H 2 Add the points in the	boxes above	-2
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:4-6 = H1-3 = M X<1 = L Record	d the rating on th	e first page

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?		
The site valuable to society:		
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose on	ly the highest score	
that applies to the wetland being rated.		
Site meets ANY of the following criteria:	points = 2	
<ul> <li>It has 3 or more Priority Habitats within 100 m (see next page)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the st</li> </ul>	tate or federal lists)	
<ul> <li>It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW Priority Species</li> </ul>		1
<ul> <li>It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natura</li> </ul>	l Resources data	
<ul> <li>It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive</li> </ul>	ve plan, in a	
Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan		
Site has 1 or 2 Priority Habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m	points = 1	
Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	
Rating of Value If score is: 2 = H X 1 = M 0 = L	Record the rating on t	he first page

ing on the first pag

# **WDFW Priority Habitats**

**See complete descriptions of Priority Habitats listed by WDFW**, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008 (current year, as revised). <u>Priority Habitat and Species List</u>.¹³³ This list was updated for consistency with guidance from WDFW.

This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the Priority Habitat. All vegetated wetlands are by definition a Priority Habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed by this rating system.

Count how many of the following Priority Habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit:

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- Biodiversity Areas and Corridors: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of
  native fish and wildlife. This habitat automatically counts if mapped on the PHS online map within 100m
  of the wetland. If not mapped, a determination can be made in the field.
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- Cliffs: Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- Fresh Deepwater: Lands permanently flooded with freshwater, including environments where surface water is permanent and often deep, so that water, rather than air, is the principal medium within which the dominant organisms live. Substrate does not support emergent vegetation. Do not select if Instream habitat is also present, or if the entire Deepwater feature is included in the wetland unit being rated (such as a pond with a vegetated fringe).
- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Instream: The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources. Do not select if Fresh Deepwater habitat is also present.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in. (81 cm) diameter at breast height (dbh) or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in. (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.

 ¹³³ http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf
 Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update
 Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

Wetland name or number _____

- Oregon White Oak: Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important. For single oaks or oak stands <0.4 ha in urban areas, <u>WDFW's</u> <u>Management Recommendations for Oregon White Oak</u>¹³⁴ provides more detail for determining if they are Priority Habitats
- **Riparian:** The area adjacent to freshwater aquatic systems with flowing or standing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in. (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in. (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.
- Talus: Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average <u>size</u> 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie.

 ¹³⁴ https://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00030/wdfw00030.pdf
 Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update
 Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

## CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.         SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands? — The dominant water regime is tidal, — Vegetated, and — With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt Yes – Go to SC 1.1 No= Not an estuarine wetland         SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 1.2         SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions? — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are Spartina, see chapter 4.8 in the manual. — At least % of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mowed grassland. — The wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare & high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer; ²¹³⁵ C         SC 2.0. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements. Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV       SC 2.3. Doe Not a WHCV         SC 2.1. Bogs Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.       No = Not a WHCV         SC 2.1. Does an area within the wetland unit h	Category	Wetland Type
SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands       Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?       — The dominant water regime is tidal,         — Vegetated, and       — With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt       Yes – Go to SC 1.1       No= Not an estuarine wetland         SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151?       Yes = Category 1       No = Not an estuarine wetland         SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?       — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are Spartina, see chapter 4.8 in the manual.       — At least % of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland.       — The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands.       Yes = Category 1       No = Go to SC 2.2         SC 2.1. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCY? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements.       Yes = Category 1       No = Not a WHCY         SC 2.3. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCY? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elem	category when the appropriate criteria are met.	Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland.
<ul> <li>Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?         <ul> <li>The dominant water regime is tidal,</li> <li>Vegetated, and</li> <li>With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt</li> <li>Yes – Go to SC 1.1</li> <li>No= Not an estuarine wetland</li> </ul> </li> <li>SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category I</li> <li>No – Go to SC 1.2</li> </ul> <li>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?</li> <li>The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see chapter 4.8 in the manual.</li> <li>At least X of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or unmowed grassland.</li> <li>The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands.</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No – Go to SC 2.2</li> <li>SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare &amp; high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer?¹³⁵</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 2.3. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements.</li> <li>Yes = Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination.¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3</li> <li>No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 3.0. Bogs</li> <li>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit)</li>		SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands
<ul> <li>Vegetated, and</li> <li>With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt</li> <li>Yes – Go to SC 1.1</li> <li>No= Not an estuarine wetland</li> <li>SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category 1</li> <li>No – Go to SC 1.2</li> <li>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?</li> <li>The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see chapter 4.8 in the manual.</li> <li>At least ½ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mowed grassland.</li> <li>The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands.</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No = Category II</li> <li>No - Go to SC 2.2</li> <li>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)</li> <li>SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare &amp; high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer?¹²⁵</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No - Go to SC 2.2</li> <li>SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements.</li> <li>Yes = Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination.¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3</li> <li>No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 3.0. Bogs</li> <li>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland b</li></ul>	tuarine wetlands?	Does the wetland meet the following cri — The dominant water regime is tidal.
<ul> <li>With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt</li> <li>Yes – Go to SC 1.1</li> <li>No= Not an estuarine wetland</li> <li>SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category 1</li> <li>No – Go to SC 1.2</li> <li>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?</li> <li>The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see chapter 4.8 in the manual.</li> <li>At least ½ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mowed grassland.</li> <li>The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands.</li> <li>Yes = Category 1</li> <li>No = Category II</li> <li>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)</li> <li>SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare &amp; high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer²¹³⁵</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No = Got os C2.2</li> <li>SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements.</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem fuel criteria?</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 3.0. Bogs</li> <li>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If</li></ul>		<ul> <li>Vegetated, and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category   No – Go to SC 1.2</li> <li>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?</li> <li>— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see chapter 4.8 in the manual.</li> <li>— At least ¼ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mowed grassland.</li> <li>— The wetland has a least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands.</li> <li>Yes = Category   No - Go to SC 2.2</li> <li>SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare &amp; high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer?¹³⁵</li> <li>Yes = Category   No - Go to SC 2.2</li> <li>SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements.</li> <li>Yes - Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination,¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3</li> <li>No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem that meets their criteria?</li> <li>Yes = Category   No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 3.0. Bogs</li> <li>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</li> <li>SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic</li></ul>	Yes – Go to SC 1.1 No= Not an estuarine wetland	<ul> <li>With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?         <ul> <li>The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see chapter 4.8 in the manual.</li> <li>At least % of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or unmowed grassland.</li> <li>The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands.</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No = Category II</li> </ul> </li> <li>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)</li> <li>SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare &amp; high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer⁷¹³⁵ Yes = Category I</li> <li>No - Go to SC 2.2</li> <li>SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements.</li> <li>Yes - Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination.¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3</li> <li>No = Not a WHCV</li> </ul> <li>SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem their meets their criteria?</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 3.0. Bogs         <ul> <li>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</li> <li>SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats</li></ul></li>	ational Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area , or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 1.2 Cat. I	SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Preserve, State Park or Educational, Envi
<ul> <li>The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see chapter 4.8 in the manual.</li> <li>At least ¼ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or unmowed grassland.</li> <li>The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II</li> <li>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)</li> <li>SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare &amp; high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer?¹³⁵ Yes = Category I No - Go to SC 2.2</li> <li>SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements.</li> <li>Yes - Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination.¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3 No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem that meets their criteria? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 3.0. Bogs</li> <li>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</li> <li>SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in. or more of the first 32 in of the soil anrofile?</li> </ul>	t least two of the following three conditions?	SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size an
<ul> <li>At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland.</li> <li>The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II</li> <li>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)</li> <li>SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare &amp; high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer?¹³⁵ Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 2.2</li> <li>SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements. Yes – Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination,¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3 No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem that meets their criteria? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV</li> <li>SC 3.0. Bogs         <ul> <li>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</li> <li>SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in. or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile?</li> </ul></li></ul>	Jiking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less non-native species are <i>Spartina</i> , see chapter 4.8 in the <b>Cat. I</b>	<ul> <li>The wetland is relatively undisturbe than 10% cover of non-native plant manual.</li> </ul>
The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV) SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare & high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer? ¹³⁵ Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 2.2 SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements. Yes – Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination, ¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3 No = Not a WHCV SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem that meets their criteria? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV SC 3.0. Bogs Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions. SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in. or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile?	d has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-	<ul> <li>At least ¾ of the landward edge of t mowed grassland.</li> </ul>
SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)         SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare & high-quality ecosystem polygons on the WNHP Data Explorer? ¹³⁵ Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 2.2         SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the presence of these elements.         Yes – Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination, ¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3       No = Not a WHCV         SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem that meets their criteria?       Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV         SC 3.0. Bogs       Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.         SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in. or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile?       Yes = Go to SC 2.3	features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or Yes = Category I No = Category II	<ul> <li>The wetland has at least two of the contiguous freshwater wetlands.</li> </ul>
presence of these elements. Yes – Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination, ¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3 No = Not a WHCV SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem that meets their criteria? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV SC 3.0. Bogs Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions. SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in. or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? No = SC 3.2	/)       rical rare plant or rare & high-quality ecosystem polygons       Yes = Category I       No - Go to SC 2.2       Cat. I         cosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common       ontact WNHP for resources to help determine the       Cat. I	SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Values SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any know on the WNHP <u>Data Explorer</u> ? ¹³⁵ SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant spect ecosystem that may qualify the site as a
SC 3.0. Bogs         Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.         SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in.         or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile?	for determination, ¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3 No = Not a WHCV rmine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem that meets their	presence of these elements. Yes – <u>Submit data to WA Natural Heritag</u> SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days criteria?
<ul> <li>SC 3.0. Bogs         Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.     </li> <li>SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in.         or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile?         Ves = Go to SC 3.3         No=Go to SC 3.3     </li> </ul>	res - Category 1 No - Not a WHCV	
SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in. deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or pond? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No = Not a bog SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% cover of plant species listed in Table 4? Yes = Category I bog No – Go to SC 3.4 NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in. deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog. SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the camopy?	th the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key e the wetland based on its functions. soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in. Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No – Go to SC 3.2 soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in. deep clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No = Not a bog 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% Yes = Category I bog No – Go to SC 3.4 sses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by ble dug at least 16 in. deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and nd is a bog. 'er) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy?	<ul> <li>SC 3.0. Bogs <ul> <li>Does the wetland (or any part of the unibelow. If you answer YES, you will still r</li> <li>SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit has or more of the first 32 in. of the soil proportion of the source bedrock, or an impermeable hardp pond?</li> <li>SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have recover of plant species listed in Table 4?</li> <li>NOTE: If you are uncertain about the exist measuring the pH of the water that seep the plant species in Table 4 are present,</li> <li>SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaki species (or combination of species) lister</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

¹³⁶ https://www.dnr.wa.gov/Publications/amp_nh_sighting_form.pdf

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update

Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

¹³⁵ https://www.dnr.wa.gov/NHPdata

SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands	
Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as Priority Habitats? <i>If you answer YES, you will still need to rate</i> <i>the wetland based on its functions.</i>	
— Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OB have a diameter at breast height (dbb) of 32 in (81 cm) or more	
<ul> <li>Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm).</li> </ul>	
Yes = Category I No = Not a forested wetland for this section	Cat. I
SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons	
Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?	
— The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks	
— The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (needs to be measured near the bottom)	
— The lagoon retains some of its surface water at low tide during spring tides	
Yes – Go to SC 5.1 No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon	Cat. I
SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?	
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species in H 1.5 in the manual).	
— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mowed grassland.	Cat. II
— The wetland is larger than $^{1}/_{10}$ ac (4350 ft ² )	
Yes = Category I No = Category II	
SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands	
Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? <i>If</i> you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions. In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:	
<ul> <li>Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105</li> </ul>	Cat I
<ul> <li>Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 and Ocean Shores Blvd SW, including lands west</li> </ul>	
of E. Oceans Shores Blvd SW. Yes – Go to SC 6.1 No = Not an interdunal wetland for rating	
	Cat. II
SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H or H,H,M	
for the three aspects of function)? Yes = <b>Category I</b> No – Go to <b>SC 6.2</b>	Cat. III
Yes = Category II No – Go to SC 6.3	
SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac?	
Yes = Category III No = Category IV	Cat. IV
Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics	Not
If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form	Applicable

# **RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington**

Name of wetland (or ID #):Wetland EDate of site visit: 10/27/23Rated by S. SimpsonTrained by Ecology? XYes NoDate of training 2006

**HGM Class used for rating** <u>Depressional</u> Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y X N

**NOTE**: Form is not complete without the required figures (figures can be combined). Source of base aerial photo/map <u>Google Earth</u>

**OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY** <u>IV</u> (based on functions <u>X</u> or special characteristics_)

## 1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

Category II – Total score = 20 - 22

Category III – Total score = 16 - 19

**<u>X</u> Category IV** – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	In	nprovin Water Quality	g	Hy	ydrolo	gic	ł	Habitat		
					Circle t	he app	oropri	iate rating	<u>js</u>	
Site Potential	Н	M	L	Н	M	L	Н	м	D	
Landscape Potential	Н	$\overline{\mathbb{M}}$	L	Н	M	L	Н	м (	5	1
Value	Н	$\overline{\mathbb{M}}$	L	Н	M	$\bigcirc$	Н		L	тот
Score Based on Ratings		6			5			4		15

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important) 9 = H, H, H 8 = H, H, M 7 = H, H, L 7 = H, M, M 6 = H, M, L 6 = M, M, M 5 = H, L, L 5 = M, M, L 4 = M, L, L 3 = L, L, L

## 2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	САТ	EGORY
Estuarine	Ι	II
Wetland of High Conservation Value		Ι
Bog		Ι
Mature Forest		Ι
Old Growth Forest		Ι
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II
Interdunal	ΙII	III IV
None of the above	Not A	Applicable

## Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	1
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	1
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	1
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	1
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	2
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	2
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	PHS Report
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	PHS Report

#### **Riverine Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	Н 1.1, Н 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

#### Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

### Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of <b>dense</b> trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 4.1	
(can be added to figure above)		
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and total habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

# **HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington**

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

NO – go to 2

YES – the wetland class is Tidal Fringe – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

### NO – Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)

YES – Freshwater Tidal Fringe If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe, it is an Estuarine wetland and is not scored. This method cannot be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat, and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.



YES – The wetland class is Flats

If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.

Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?

The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size,

____At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).



**YES** – The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - The wetland is on a slope (slope can be very gradual),

_____The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheet flow, or in a swale without distinct banks,

The water leaves the wetland without being impounded.



**YES** – The wetland class is **Slope** 

**NOTE**: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep). Wetland name or number Wetland E

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - ____The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,

_The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

NO – go to 6 **YES** – The wetland class is **Riverine NOTE:** The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.

NO – go to 7

## **YES** – The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO – go to 8

```
YES – The wetland class is Depressional
```

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

**NOTE**: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream	Depressional
within boundary of depression	
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other	Treat as
class of freshwater wetland	ESTUARINE

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality	
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
D 1.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> : Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet).	
points = 3         Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet.         points = 2         Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing         points = 1         Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing         points = 1	3
Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch. points = 1 D 1.2. The soil 2 in. below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions). Yes = 4 No = 0	0
	0
D 1.3. <u>Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants</u> (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes):	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > 95% of areapoints = 5	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > ½ of area points = 3	1
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants $\geq 1/10$ of area lots of bare ground points = 1	
vvetiand has persistent, ungrazed plants < 7 ₁₀ of area points = 0	
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation:	
This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.	4
Area seasonally ponded is $> \frac{1}{2}$ total area of wetland points = 4	4
Area seasonally ponded is $\geq \frac{3}{4}$ total area of wetland points = 2	
Area seasonally ponded is < ⁷ / ₄ total area of wetland points = 0	
Total for D 1     Add the points in the boxes above	8
<b>Rating of Site Potential</b> If score is: $_12-16 = H \times 6-11 = M _0-5 = L$ Record the rating on the	first page
D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? Source garbage Yes = 1 No = 0	1
Total for D 2Add the points in the boxes above	2
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:       3 or 4 = H       X 1 or 2 = M       0 = L       Record the rating on the first page	
D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the $303(d)$ list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1

Rating	of Value If score is:2-4 = H X_1 = M0 = L	Record the rating on the	e first page
Total for	or D 3	Add the points in the boxes above	1
D 3.3. H if	las the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for m f there is a TMDL in development or in effect for the basin in which the uni	aintaining water quality? (Answer YES t is found.) Yes = 2 No = 0	0
D 3.2. ls	s the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 3	03(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
5		165 - 1 110 - 0	

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update

Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradation	
D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?	
D 4.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> : Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) points = 4 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream/ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet points = 2 Wetland is a flat depression (question 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch points = 1 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0	4
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part. Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outlet points = 7 Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 5 Marks are at least 0.5 ft to < 2 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 3 The wetland is a "headwater" wetland points = 1 Marks of ponding less than 0.5 ft (6 in) points = 0	5
D 4.3. Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed: Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the area of the wetland unit itself.         The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit       points = 5         The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit       points = 3         The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit       points = 0         Entire wetland is in the Flats class       points = 5	0
Total for D 4 Add the points in the boxes above	9
Rating of Site Potential If score is:12-16 = H X6-11 = M0-5 = L Record the rating on the rational states are stated as the states of the states are state	first page
D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?	
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
Total for D 5Add the points in the boxes above	2
Rating of Landscape Potential       If score is:3 = H       X1 or 2 = M       0 = L       Record the rating on the particular states and the particular	first page
D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?	
<ul> <li>D 6.1. Is the unit in a landscape that has flooding problems? Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met.</li> <li>The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow downgradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds): <ul> <li>Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately downgradient of unit.</li> <li>Points = 2</li> <li>Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther downgradient.</li> <li>points = 1</li> <li>Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin.</li> <li>points = 1</li> <li>The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. <i>Explain why</i> points = 0</li> <li>Deep depression, diking in greater area, water ultimately pumped to Columbia River</li> <li>There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	0
D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? Yes = 2 No = 0	0
Total for D 6     Add the points in the boxes above	0

Rating of ValueIf score is: ____2-4 = H ____1 = M X_0 = LWetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 UpdateRating Form – Version 2, July 2023

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat	
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?	
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac if the unit is at least 2.5 ac, or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac.        Aquatic bed       4 structures or more: points = 4        Emergent       3 structures: points = 2        Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover)       2 structures: points = 1         XForested (areas where trees have > 30% cover)       1 structure: points = 0         If the unit has a Forested class, check if:      The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/groundcover) that        Action cover 20% within the Forested polygon No herbaceous layer       1 structure	1
H 1.2. Hydroperiods	
Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland if the unit is < 2.5 ac, or ¼ ac if the unit is at least 2.5 ac to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods).	0
Freshwater tidal wetland 2 points	
Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² . Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. <b>Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canada thistle</b> If you counted: > 19 species points = 2 5 - 19 species points = 1 < 5 species points = 0	1
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high. None = 0 points Low = 1 point All three diagrams	0
in this row are High = 3 points	

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
XLarge, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in. diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in.) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) <b>and/or</b> overhanging plants extend at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over open water or a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	
Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	2
At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are	
permanently or seasonally inundated (structures for egg-laying by amphibians) >1/4 acre. Lots	
of bare areas interspersed with areas of moderate woody stem/branch coverage.	
x invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (see H 1.1 above for the list of strata and H 1.5 in the manual for the list of aggressive plant species)	
Total for H 1Add the points in the boxes above	4

**Rating of Site Potential** If score is: ____**15-18 = H** ____**7-14 = M** <u>X</u> ___**0-6 = L** 

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?		
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat polygons accessible from the wetland.		
Calculate: % relatively undisturbed habitat + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]	_=%	
Total accessible habitat is:		
> 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon	points = 3	0
20-33% of 1 km Polygon	points = 2	
10-19% of 1 km Polygon	points = 1	
< 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0	
H 2.2. Total habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.		
<i>Calculate:</i> % relatively undisturbed habitat + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]	_=%	
Total habitat > 50% of Polygon	points = 3	0
Total habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches	points = 2	0
Total habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches	points = 1	
Total habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	points = 0	
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon:		
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use	points = (- 2)	-2
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity	points = 0	
Total for H 2 Add the points in the	boxes above	-2
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:4-6 = H1-3 = M X < 1 = LRecord	d the rating on th	ne first page

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?		
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? <i>Choose o that applies to the wetland being rated.</i>	nly the highest score	
Site meets ANY of the following criteria:	points = 2	
<ul> <li>It has 3 or more Priority Habitats within 100 m (see next page)</li> </ul>		
— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the	state or federal lists)	
<ul> <li>It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW Priority Species</li> </ul>	-	1
<ul> <li>It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natur</li> </ul>	al Resources data	
<ul> <li>It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehens</li> <li>Sharalina Master Plan, or in a watershad plan</li> </ul>	sive plan, in a	
Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan		
Site has 1 or 2 Priority Habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m	points = 1	
Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	
Rating of Value If score is: 2 = H X 1 = M 0 = L	Record the rating on t	he first page

# **WDFW Priority Habitats**

**See complete descriptions of Priority Habitats listed by WDFW**, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008 (current year, as revised). <u>Priority Habitat and Species List</u>.¹³³ This list was updated for consistency with guidance from WDFW.

This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the Priority Habitat. All vegetated wetlands are by definition a Priority Habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed by this rating system.

Count how many of the following Priority Habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit:

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- Biodiversity Areas and Corridors: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of
  native fish and wildlife. This habitat automatically counts if mapped on the PHS online map within 100m
  of the wetland. If not mapped, a determination can be made in the field.
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- Cliffs: Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- Fresh Deepwater: Lands permanently flooded with freshwater, including environments where surface water is permanent and often deep, so that water, rather than air, is the principal medium within which the dominant organisms live. Substrate does not support emergent vegetation. Do not select if Instream habitat is also present, or if the entire Deepwater feature is included in the wetland unit being rated (such as a pond with a vegetated fringe).
- ---- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Instream: The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources. Do not select if Fresh Deepwater habitat is also present.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in. (81 cm) diameter at breast height (dbh) or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in. (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.

 ¹³³ http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf
 Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update
 Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

Wetland name or number _____

- Oregon White Oak: Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important. For single oaks or oak stands <0.4 ha in urban areas, <u>WDFW's</u> <u>Management Recommendations for Oregon White Oak</u>¹³⁴ provides more detail for determining if they are Priority Habitats
- **Riparian:** The area adjacent to freshwater aquatic systems with flowing or standing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in. (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in. (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.
- Talus: Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average <u>size</u> 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- **Westside Prairies:** Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie.

 ¹³⁴ https://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00030/wdfw00030.pdf
 Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update
 Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

## CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type	Category
Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.	
SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands	
Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?	
<ul> <li>Wegetated, and</li> </ul>	
— With a salinity greater than 0.5 pptYes - Go to SC 1.1No= Not an estuarine wetland	>
SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151?	
Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 1.2	Cat. I
SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?	
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If non-native species are Spartina, see chapter 4.8 in the manual.	Cat. I
— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mowed grassland.	Cat. II
<ul> <li>The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands.</li> <li>Yes = Category I</li> <li>No = Category II</li> </ul>	
SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)	
SC 2.1. Does the wetland overlap with any known or historical rare plant or rare & high-quality ecosystem polygons	Cat. I
SC 2.2. Does the wetland have a rare plant species, rare ecosystem (e.g., plant community), or high-quality common	
ecosystem that may qualify the site as a WHCV? Contact WNHP for resources to help determine the	
presence of these elements. Yes – Submit data to WA Natural Heritage Program for determination. ¹³⁶ Go to SC 2.3 No = Not a WHCV	
SC 2.3. Did WNHP review the site within 30 days and determine that it has a rare plant or ecosystem that meets their criteria?	
Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV	
SC 3.0. Bogs	
Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below. If you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.	
SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in.	
or more of the first 32 in. of the soil profile? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No – Go to SC 3.2	
SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in. deep	
pond? Yes – Go to <b>SC 3.3</b> No = <b>Not a bog</b>	
SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30%	
cover of plant species listed in Table 4? Yes = <b>Category I bog</b> No – Go to <b>SC 3.4</b> <b>NOTE:</b> If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by	
measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in. deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and	
the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog.	Cat. I
SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks torested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar,	
species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy?	
Yes = Category I bog No = Not a bog	)

¹³⁶ https://www.dnr.wa.gov/Publications/amp_nh_sighting_form.pdf

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update

Rating Form – Version 2, July 2023

¹³⁵ https://www.dnr.wa.gov/NHPdata

SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands	
Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as Priority Habitats? <i>If you answer YES, you will still need to rate</i> <i>the wetland based on its functions.</i>	
— Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OP have a diameter at heast height (dbh) of 22 in (81 cm) or more.	
<ul> <li>Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm).</li> </ul>	
Yes = Category I No = Not a forested wetland for this section	Cat. I
SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons	
Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?	
— The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks	
— The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (needs to be measured near the bottom)	
— The lagoon retains some of its surface water at low tide during spring tides	
Yes – Go to SC 5.1 No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon	Cat. I
SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?	
— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species in H 1.5 in the manual).	
— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- mowed grassland.	Cat. II
— The wetland is larger than $^{1}/_{10}$ ac (4350 ft ² )	
Yes = Category I No = Category II	
SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands	
Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? <i>If</i> you answer YES, you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions.	
<ul> <li>Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103</li> </ul>	
— Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105	Cat I
<ul> <li>Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 and Ocean Shores Blvd SW, including lands west</li> </ul>	
of E. Oceans Shores Blvd SW.	
	Cat. II
SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H or H,H,M	
for the three aspects of function)? Yes = <b>Category I</b> No – Go to <b>SC 6.2</b>	Cat. III
Yes = Category II No – Go to SC 6.3	
SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac?	Cat. IV
Yes = Category III NO = Category IV	Cutily
Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics	Not
If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form	Applicable





Wetland D - 100%

Wetland E - 100%



#### LEGEND:

______

Site Boundary Wetland Unit Boundary CDID Maintained Ditch w/ Flow Direction Vegetation Class Division 150' Wetland Offset Impervious Surfaces Wetland A - 64.4% Wetland B - 45.2% Wetland B - 45.2% Wetland C - 32.6% Wetland D - 26.7%

Wetland E - 57.5%


#### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

Project/Site: N Pekin Road		City/Cou	ınty: Wood	land/Cowlitz	Sampling D	ate: 10/27/202	23
Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company			State: V	/A	Sampling Pc	pint: TP-E1	
Investigators: S., Simpson		Section	n, Townshij	o, Range: S48, T5N, R	1W		
						Slope	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Diked Flood plains		_Local relief: (c	concave, co	nvex, none): <u>Concave</u>		<u>(%):</u>	)-3%
Subregion	L at:		Long:				
(LRR): <u>A</u>	45.91	10192	<u>-122</u>	2.7659396	Datum:	83	
Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam			N	IWI classification: None			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical f	or this time o	f year? Yes⊠	No🗌 (l	f no, explain Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ly disturbed?	Ar	e "Normal (	Circumstances" present	?Yes🛛 No		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	roblematic?	(If need	ed, explain	any answers in Remark	(s.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map	showing	sampling po	int locati	ons, transects, imp	ortant feat	tures, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No				-			
Hydric Soils Present? Yes X No	f	Is the San	npled Area	۱ <u>–</u>	_		
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No	f	within a V	Vetland?	Yes⊠ N	o∐		
Remarks: This test plot was located in the west-centre	al portion of	parcel 5073501	04. within \	Netland E. Wetland may	/ be an histor	ic stockpond b	based
on consistent round shape and depth (~4 feet deep).			• .,	,			
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla	nts						
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test Wo	rksheet		
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ft radius)	% Cover	Species?	Status		<b>a</b> .		
1. Populus balsamifera	90%	yes	FAC	Number of Dominant	Species	1	(A)
2	%			That Are OBL, FACW	, or FAC:		
3	%			Total Number of Dami	inent		
4	%			Species Acress All St	nant	1	(B)
$50\% = 45 \ 20\% = 18$	90%	=Total Cover		Species Across All Su	ala.		
				Percent of Dominant	Snecies		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft, radius)				That Are OBL FACW	or FAC	100	(A/B)
1 Cornus sericea	30%	Ves	FACW	Prevalence Index wo	rksheet	100	(,,,,,)
2	<u> </u>		17.017	Total % Cover of	of.	Multiply by	<i>r</i>
3	%			OBL species	<u></u>	x 1=	<u> </u>
4	%			FACW species		x 2=	
5	%			FAC species		x 3=	
50% = 15,20% = 6	30%	=Total Cover		FACU species		x 4=	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius)				UPL species		x 5=	
1.	%			Column Totals:		(A)	(B)
2.	%			Prevalence	= Index = B/	A=	
3	%			Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion Indicato	rs:	
4	%			1 – Rapid Test f	or Hydrophyt	ic Vegetation	
5	%			$\boxtimes$ 2 – Dominance	Test is >50%	le vegetation	
6	%			3 - Prevalence	ndex is $<3.0^{1}$		
7.	%			4 - Morphologica	al Adaptation	s ¹ (Provide	
8	%			supporting data	in Remarks of	or on a separa	te
9	%			sheet)			
10	%			5 - Wetland Non	-Vascular Pl	ants ¹	
11.	%						
50% = 20% =	%	=Total Cover		Problematic Hvo	drophytic Vec	etation ¹ (Expl	ain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)						,etaeti (±/p)	,
1	%			¹ Indicators of hydric se	oil and wetlar	nd hydrology	
2	%			must be present unle	ss disturbed	or problematic	•
	%	=Total Cover				or problomate	<u>.</u>
50% = 20% =	70			Hydrophytic			
				Vegetation			
				Present?		Yes⊠ No	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>100%</u>							_
Remarks:							

#### SOIL

	Matr	ix		Redox Feat	ures			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR 2/1	100%		%			Loam	
5-8	10YR 2/2	90%		%			Loam	
0.10	10YR 4/2	10%		%				
8-16	10YR 4/2	80%	5YR 4/4	20%	<u> </u>	M	Loam	
		%		%				
				%				
		%		%				
¹ Type:	C=Concentration.	D=Depletion, RM	=Reduced Matrix	CS=Covered	or Coated S	and Grair	ns. ² Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix
Hydric S	oil Indicators: (A	pplicable to all L	RRs, unless oth	erwise noted	l.)		Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils
Histos	al (A1)		Sandy Red	ox (S5)			2 cm Muck (A10)	-
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Stripped M	atrix (S6)			Red Parent Material	(TF2)
Black	Histic (A3)		🗌 Loamy Muo	cky Mineral (F	1) (except M	LRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark S	Surface (TF12)
🗌 Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		🗌 Loamy Gle	yed Matrix (F2	2)		Other (Explain in Re	emarks)
Deple	ted Below Dark S	urface (A11)	🛛 Depleted N	latrix (F3)				
Thick	Dark Surface (A1	2)	Redox Darl	k Surface (F6)			³ Indicators of hydrophy	tic vegetation and
Sandy	/ Mucky Minerals	(S1)	Depleted D	ark Surface (F	7)		Wetland hydrology r	nust be present,
🗌 Sandy	/ Gleyed Matrix (S	64)	🗌 Redox Dep	ressions (F8)			unless disturbed or	problematic
Restricti	ve Layer (if pres	ent):						
_		-						
Type:						ц.	dria Sail Brasant?	
						пу	und Son Present?	
Wetland	LOG T Hvdroloav Indic							
	<b>J</b> · · · <b>J</b> · · · <b>J</b>	ators:						
Primary I	ndicators (min. of	ators: one required; che	ck all that apply)				Secondary Inc	dicators (2 or more required)
Primary I	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2)	ators: one required; che	ck all that apply) Utater-Stain and 4B	ned Leaves (B	9) <b>(except M</b>	LRA 1, 2	<u>Secondary Inc</u> , <b>4A</b> , ⊠ Water-Stai <b>4A</b> , and	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) <b>(MLRA 1, 2</b>
Primary In	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2)	ators: one required; che	ck all that apply) U Water-Stair and 4B	ned Leaves (B 3) B11)	9) <b>(except M</b>	ILRA 1, 2	<u>Secondary Inc</u> , <b>4A</b> , ⊠ Water-Stai <b>4A</b> , and ⊠ Drainage F	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) <b>(MLRA 1, 2</b> I <b>4B</b> ) Patterns (B10)
Primary II	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Nater Table (A2) ation (A3)	ators: one required; che	ck all that apply) U Water-Stair and 4B Salt Crust (	ned Leaves (B 3) (B11) ertebrates (B1	9) <b>(except M</b>	LRA 1, 2	<u>Secondary Ind</u> , <b>4A</b> , ⊠ Water-Stai <b>4A</b> , and ⊠ Drainage F □ Drv-Seaso	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) <b>(MLRA 1, 2</b> I <b>4B</b> ) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2)
Primary II	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Nater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1)	ators: one required; che	ck all that apply) U Water-Stair and 4B Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv	ned Leaves (B 3) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor ((	9) <b>(except M</b> 3)	LRA 1, 2	<u>Secondary Ind</u> , <b>4A</b> , ⊠ Water-Stai <b>4A</b> , and ⊠ Drainage F □ Dry-Seaso □ Saturation	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) <b>(MLRA 1, 2</b> I <b>4B</b> ) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C
Primary II Surface High V Satura Water Sedim	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2)	<b>ators:</b> one required; che )	ck all that apply) U Water-Stair and 4B Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv Hydrogen S	ned Leaves (B 3) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1)	LRA 1, 2	<u>Secondary Ind</u> , <b>4A</b> , ⊠ Water-Stai <b>4A</b> , and ⊠ Drainage F □ Dry-Seaso □ Saturation ⊠ Geomorph	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) <b>(MLRA 1, 2</b> I <b>4B</b> ) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2)
Primary III	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4)	<b>ators:</b> one required; che	ck all that apply) Uter-Stain and 4B Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv Hydrogen S Oxidized R Presence o	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iro	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1) long Living R n (C4)	ILRA 1, 2	Secondary Ind , 4A, ⊠ Water-Stai 4A, and ⊠ Drainage F □ Dry-Seaso □ Saturation ⊠ Geomorph □ Shallow Ad	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) <b>(MLRA 1, 2</b> I <b>4B</b> ) Patterns (B10) on Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) puitard (D3)
Primary III	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) eposits (B5)	ators: one required; che	ck all that apply) Utager-Stain and 4E Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv Hydrogen S Oxidized R Presence c Recent Iror	ned Leaves (B 3) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils ((	ULRA 1, 2	Secondary Ind , 4A,   ⊠ Water-Stai 4A, and ⊠ Drainage F □ Dry-Seaso □ Saturation ⊠ Geomorph □ Shallow Ad □ FAC Neutr	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) <b>(MLRA 1, 2</b> <b>I 4B</b> ) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) al Test (D5)
Primary III Surface High V Satura Vater Sedim Drift D Algal I Inron D	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) reposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6)	ators: one required; che	ck all that apply) U Water-Stair and 4E Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv Hydrogen S Oxidized R Presence c Recent Iror Stunted or	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils ( ⁽ ts (D1) ( <b>LRR</b>	ILRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A)	<u>Secondary Ind</u> , <b>4A</b> , ⊠ Water-Stai <b>4A</b> , and ⊠ Drainage F □ Dry-Seaso □ Saturation ⊠ Geomorph □ Shallow Ad □ FAC Neutr □ Raised An	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
Primary II Surface High V Satura Vater Sedim Drift D Algal I Inron D Surface	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Nater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) reposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on A	ators: one required; che ) ) erial Imagery (B7)	ck all that apply) U Water-Stair and 4B Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv Hydrogen S Oxidized R Presence c Recent Iror Stunted or Other (Exp)	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils ( ts (D1) ( <b>LRR</b> s)	ILRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A)	<u>Secondary Ind</u> , <b>4A</b> ,	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7)
Primary II Surfac High V Satura Vater Sedim Drift D Algal I Inon D Surfac Inunda	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Nater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) eposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on Ac ely Vegetated Co	ators: one required; che ) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8	ck all that apply) U Water-Stair and 4B Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv Hydrogen S Oxidized R Presence co Recent Iror Stunted or Other (Expl 3)	ned Leaves (B B) B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron Reduced Iron Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (i ts (D1) ( <b>LRR</b> is)	ILRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A)	Secondary Ind <b>4A</b> , Water-Stai <b>4A</b> , and Drainage F Dry-Seaso Saturation Geomorph Shallow Ad FAC Neutr Raised An Frost-Heav	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) we Hummocks (D7)
Primary In Surfac Surfac High V Satura Vater Sedim Drift D Algal I Inon D Surfac Spars Field Ob	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) eposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on A ely Vegetated Co servations:	ators: one required; che ) ) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8	ck all that apply) Uter-Stair and 4E Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv Hydrogen S Oxidized R Presence co Recent Iror Stunted or Other (Expl B)	ned Leaves (B B) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils ( ts (D1) ( <b>LRR</b> s)	LRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A)	Secondary Ind , 4A,	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) <b>(MLRA 1,</b> 2 <b>I 4B</b> ) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b> ve Hummocks (D7)
Primary In Surfac Surfac High V Satura Vater Sedim Drift D Algal I Inunda Spars Field Ob Surface V	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) eposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on Ac ely Vegetated Co servations: Water Present?	ators: one required; che ) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8 Yes 🗌	ck all that apply) Utager-Stair and 4E Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv Hydrogen S Oxidized R Presence c Recent Iror Stunted or Other (Expl B) No De	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (( ts (D1) ( <b>LRR</b> (s)	ULRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A)	Secondary Ind , 4A,	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) <b>(MLRA 1, 2</b> <b>I 4B</b> ) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b> we Hummocks (D7)
Primary II Surface High V Satura Vater Sedim Drift D Algal I Iron D Surface Surface V Water Ta	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) reposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on Ad ely Vegetated Co servations: Water Present?	ators: one required; che one required; che ) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8 Yes Yes Yes Yes	ck all that apply)  Water-Stair and 4E Salt Crust ( Aquatic Inv Hydrogen S Oxidized R Presence c Recent Iror Stunted or Other (Expl No Decent	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches):	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (( ts (D1) ( <b>LRR</b> (s)	ULRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A) Wet	Secondary Ind , 4A, Water-Stai 4A, and Drainage F Dry-Seaso Saturation Saturation Shallow Ad FAC Neutr Raised An Frost-Heav	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) we Hummocks (D7)
Primary II Surface High V Satura Satura Vater Drift D Algal I Iron D Surface Vater Ta Saturatio	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Vater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) reposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on Ad ely Vegetated Co servations: Vater Present? ble Present? n Present?	ators: one required; che one required; che ) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ck all that apply)         Water-Stair         and 4B         Salt Crust (         Aquatic Inv         Hydrogen S         Oxidized R         Presence of         Recent Iror         Stunted or         Other (Expl         No 🖾 Dee         No 🖾 Dee         No 🖾 De         No 🖾 De	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches): epth (Inches):	9) <b>(except M</b> 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (( ts (D1) ( <b>LRR</b> s)	ULRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A) Wet	Secondary Ind , 4A, Water-Stai 4A, and Drainage F Dry-Seaso Saturation Geomorph Shallow Ad FAC Neutr Raised An Frost-Heav tland Hydrology Preser	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7)
Primary II Surface High V Satura Vater Drift D Algal I Inon D Surface Vater Ta Saturatio (Includes Describe	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Nater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) reposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on Ac ely Vegetated Co servations: Nater Present? ble Present? n Present? <u>Capillary fringe</u> ) Recorded Data (	ators: one required; che one required; che (B7) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8 Yes Yes Yes Yes Stream gauge, mo	ck all that apply)         Water-Stair         and 4B         Salt Crust (         Aquatic Inv         Hydrogen S         Oxidized R         Presence c         Recent Iror         Stunted or         Other (Expl         No 🖾 Dee         No 🖾 Dee         No 🖾 Dee         No 🖾 Dee	ned Leaves (B B) B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches):	9) (except M 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (i ts (D1) (LRR s) 	LRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A) Wet	AA, Secondary Ind 4A, Water-Stai 4A, and Drainage F Dry-Seaso Saturation Geomorph Shallow Ad FAC Neutr Raised An Frost-Heav tland Hydrology Preser	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) we Hummocks (D7) mt? Yes ⊠ No □
Primary II Surface High V Satura Satura Sedim Drift D Algal I Inon D Surface Surface V Water Ta Saturatio (Includes Describe	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) neposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6) ation Visible on Action ely Vegetated Co servations: Water Present? ble Present? n Present? capillary fringe) Recorded Data (S	ators: one required; che one required; che s) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8 Yes Yes Yes Yes Stream gauge, mo	ck all that apply)         Water-Stair         and 4E         Salt Crust (         Aquatic Inv         Hydrogen S         Oxidized R         Presence c         Recent Iror         Stunted or         Other (Expl         No Implementation Dependence         No Implementation Dependence         No Implementation Dependence         I	ned Leaves (B (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches):	9) (except M 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (( ts (D1) (LRR (s) vious inspect	ULRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A) Wet	Secondary Ind AA, Water-Stai AA, and Drainage F Dry-Seaso Saturation Saturation Shallow Ad FAC Neutr Raised An Frost-Heav tland Hydrology Presen vailable:	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) we Hummocks (D7) nt? Yes ⊠ No □
Primary II Surfac High V Satura Sedim Drift D Algal I Inon D Surfac Vater Ta Saturatio (Includes Describe	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Vater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) reposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on Ad ely Vegetated Co servations: Nater Present? ble Present? n Present? <u>Capillary fringe)</u> Recorded Data (S	ators: one required; che one required; che (B7) acave Surface (B7) ncave Surface (B8) Yes Yes Yes Yes Stream gauge, mo	ck all that apply)         Water-Stair         and 4B         Salt Crust (         Aquatic Inv         Hydrogen S         Oxidized R         Presence of         Recent Iror         Stunted or         Other (Expl         No 🖾 Dee         No 🖾 Dee         No 🖾 Dee         No 🖾 Dee         Notoring well, aer	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches):	9) (except M 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (( ts (D1) (LRR (s) vious inspect	ULRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A) Wet	AA, Secondary Ind AA, Water-Stai 4A, and Drainage F Dry-Seaso Saturation Geomorph Shallow Ad FAC Neutr Raised An Frost-Heav tland Hydrology Presen vailable:	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7) nt? Yes ⊠ No □
Primary II Surfac High V Satura Vater Drift D Algal I Inon D Surfac Vater Ta Saturatio (Includes Describe	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Nater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) reposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on Ac ely Vegetated Co servations: Nater Present? ble Present? n Present? n Present? Capillary fringe) Recorded Data (S	ators: one required; che one required; che () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	ck all that apply)         Water-Stair         and 4E         Salt Crust (         Aquatic Inv         Hydrogen S         Oxidized R         Presence c         Recent Iror         Stunted or         Other (Expl         No X       De	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches):	9) (except M 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (i ts (D1) (LRR s) vious inspect	URA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A) Wet	AA, Secondary Ind AA, Water-Stai 4A, and Drainage F Dry-Seaso Saturation Geomorph Shallow Ad FAC Neutr Raised An Frost-Heav tland Hydrology Preser vailable:	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) al Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7) mt? Yes ⊠ No □
Primary II Surfac High V Satura Vater Sedim Orift D Algal I Inon D Surfac Surfac Vater Ta Saturatio (Includes Describe	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Water Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) neposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6) ation Visible on Action ely Vegetated Co servations: Water Present? h Present? n Present? capillary fringe) Recorded Data (S	ators: one required; che one required; che s) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8 Yes Yes Yes Yes Stream gauge, mo	ck all that apply)         Water-Stair         and 4E         Salt Crust (         Aquatic Inv         Hydrogen S         Oxidized R         Presence c         Recent Iror         Stunted or         Other (Expl         No Implementation         No Implementation         No Implementation         Other (Expl         Implementation         Other (Expl         Implementation         Other (Expl         Implementation	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches):	9) (except M 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (( ts (D1) (LRR (s) vious inspect channels wer	ILRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A) Wet ions), if a	Secondary Ind AA,	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7) nt? Yes ⊠ No □
Primary II Surfac High V Satura Sedim Drift D Algal I Inon D Surfac Vater Ta Saturatio (Includes Describe	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Vater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) reposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on Ad ely Vegetated Co servations: Nater Present? ble Present? n Present? capillary fringe) Recorded Data (S Water appears to	ators: one required; che one required; che s) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8 Yes Yes Yes Yes Stream gauge, mo	ck all that apply)         Water-Stain         and 4E         Salt Crust (         Aquatic Inv         Hydrogen S         Oxidized R         Presence c         Recent Iror         Stunted or         Other (Expl         No Implementation         No Implementation	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron National Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches):	9) (except M 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (( ts (D1) (LRR (s) vious inspect vious inspect	ULRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A) Wet ions), if a e observe	Secondary Ind , 4A, Secondary Ind , 4A, Mater-Stai , 4A, and Solution Dry-Seaso Saturation Saturation Shallow Ad FAC Neutr Raised An Frost-Heav tland Hydrology Present vailable:	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7) nt? Yes ⊠ No □
Primary II Surface High V Satura Sedim Drift D Algal I Inon D Surface Surface V Water Ta Saturatio (Includes Describe	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Vater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) reposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6 ation Visible on Ad- ely Vegetated Co servations: Vater Present? ble Present? n Present? capillary fringe) Recorded Data (S :Water appears to	ators: one required; che one required; che (b) erial Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8 Yes Yes Yes Yes Stream gauge, mo	ck all that apply)         Water-Stair         and 4B         Salt Crust (         Aquatic Inv         Hydrogen S         Oxidized R         Presence of         Recent Iror         Stunted or         Other (Expl)         No 🖾 Dee         No 🖾 Dee         No Important Dee         Anitoring well, aeriant	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches): ial photos, pre	9) (except M 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (i ts (D1) (LRR s) vious inspect channels wer	ILRA 1, 2 oots (C3) C6) A) Wet ions), if a	AA, Secondary Ind AA, Water-Stai 4A, and Drainage F Dry-Seaso Saturation Geomorph Shallow Ad FAC Neutr Raised An Frost-Heav tland Hydrology Presen vailable:	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 3 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) ral Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) we Hummocks (D7) mt? Yes ⊠ No □
Primary II Surfac High V Satura Vater Sedim Conft D Algal I Iron D Surface Surface V Water Ta Saturatio (Includes Describe	ndicators (min. of ce Water (A1) Nater Table (A2) ation (A3) Marks (B1) nent Deposits (B2) Deposits (B3) Mat or crust (B4) eposits (B5) ce Soil Cracks (B6) ation Visible on Ad ely Vegetated Co servations: Nater Present? ble Present? n Present? <u>Capillary fringe</u> ) Recorded Data (S	ators: one required; che one required; che (b) official Imagery (B7) ncave Surface (B8 Yes Yes Yes Stream gauge, mo	ck all that apply)         Water-Stair         and 4E         Salt Crust (         Aquatic Inv         Hydrogen S         Oxidized R         Presence c         Recent Iror         Stunted or         Other (Expl         No Image: No Image         No Image         No Image         Image: No Image	ned Leaves (B B) (B11) ertebrates (B1 Sulfide Odor (C hizospheres a of Reduced Iron n Reduction in Stressed Plan lain in Remark epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches): epth (Inches): al photos, pre	9) (except M 3) C1) long Living R n (C4) Tilled Soils (i ts (D1) (LRR s) vious inspect channels wer	ILRA 1, 2, oots (C3) C6) A) Wet ions), if at	Secondary Ind AA,	dicators (2 or more required) ined Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 I 4B) Patterns (B10) in Water Table (C2) Visible on Aerial Imagery (C ic Position (D2) quitard (D3) al Test (D5) t Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ve Hummocks (D7) nt? Yes ⊠ No □

#### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

Project/Site: N Pekin Road	City/County: Woodland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date: 10/27/2023
Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company	State: WA	Sampling Point: TP-E2
Investigators: S., Simpson	Section, Township, Range: S48, T3N, R	1E
		Slope
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): <u>Diked Flood plains</u>	al relief: (concave, convex, none): Convex	(%): <u>0-3%</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>A</u> 45.9109912	21 Long: -122.7659255	Datum: 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam	NWI classification: None	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sam	r? Yes⊠ No⊡ (If no, explain Remarks.) Are "Normal Circumstances" present (If needed, explain any answers in Remark pling point locations, transects, imp	? Yes⊠ No⊡ ‹s.) portant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?       Yes ⊠       No □         Hydric Soils Present?       Yes □       No ⊠         Wetland Hydrology Present?       Yes □       No ⊠	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?    Yes⊡ N	o⊠
Remarks: This test plot was located in the wet-central portion of parcel	507350104, upslope of Wetland E.	

#### **VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.**

		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test Worksheet		
Tr	<u>ee Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ft radius)	% Cover	Species?	Status			
1.	Populus balsamifera	90%	yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species	3	(A)
2.		%			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:		
3.		%					
4.		%			Total Number of Dominant	5	(B)
	50% = 45 20% = 18	90%	=Total Cover		Species Across All Strata:		
			-				
6	unling (Church Stratum (Distained 15 ft radius)				Percent of Dominant Species	60	
<u>36</u>	<u>ipling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15</u> it. radius)	E00/			That Are OBL, FACW, of FAC	<u>60</u>	(A/B)
1.	Symphoricarpos albus	50%	yes	FACU	Prevalence Index worksneet	N 4. 141. I	
Ζ.	Frangula purshiana	30%	yes	FAC	Total % Cover of:	wutipiy	o by:
3.		20%	no	FACW		x 1=	
4.	Corylus cornuta	5%	no	FACU		x 2=	
5.	50% 50 00% 04	<u>%</u>				x 3=	
	$50\% = \frac{53}{20\%} = \frac{21}{20\%}$	105%	= I otal Cover		FACU species	x 4=	
He	erb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius)				UPL species	x 5=	
1.	Rubus laciniatus	/%	yes	FACU	Column I otals:	(A)	(B)
2.	Carex obnupta	3%	yes	OBL	Prevalence Index =	B/A=	
3.		%			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indica	tors:	
4.		%			1 – Rapid Test for Hydroph	ytic Vegetation	on
5.		%			2 – Dominance Test is >50	1%	
6.		%			3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.	0 ¹	
7.		%			4 - Morphological Adaptation	ons¹ (Provide	
8.		%			supporting data in Remark	s or on a sep	arate
9.		%			sheet)		
10.		%			5 - Wetland Non-Vascular	Plants ¹	
11.		%					
	50% = <u>5</u> 20% = <u>2</u>	10%	=Total Cover		Problematic Hydrophytic V	egetation ¹ (E:	xplain)
W	<u>body Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15</u> ft radius)						
1.		%			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wet	land hydrolog	IY
2.		%			must be present, unless disturbe	d or problem	atic.
	50% = 20% =	%	=Total Cover				
			-		Hydrophytic		
					Vegetation		
o/ 1					Present?	Yes⊠	No
% I	Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>90%</u>						
Re	marks:						

#### SOIL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to docun	nent the ind	icator or confi	rm the absend	ce of indicators.)	
Depth Matrix		Redox Feat	ures			
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
<u>0-14 10YR 3/3 100%</u>		<u>%</u>			Silt loam	
<u> </u>		<u>%</u>				
<u></u>		<u>%</u>				
<u> </u>		%				
<u> </u>		%				
<u>%</u>		<u>%</u>				
	Deduced Matrix	<u>%</u>			2 anotion DI - Dara	Lining M-Matrix
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all L	Reduced Matrix, C	wise noted	or Coaled San	d Grains. Indica	ators for Problemati	Chining, M=Matrix
Histosal (A1)	Sandy Redox	(S5)	,	2 cr	n Muck (A10)	
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Stripped Matr	ix (S6)		🗌 Red	Parent Material (TF2	2)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	Loamy Mucky	/ Mineral (F1	) (except MLR	A1) 🗌 Ver	y Shallow Dark Surfa	ce (TF12)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gleye	d Matrix (F2)	)	Oth	er (Explain in Remarl	ks)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Mat	rix (F3)		2		
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Dark S	Surface (F6)	_,	³ Indica	tors of hydrophytic ve	egetation and
Sandy Mucky Minerals (S1)	Depleted Dar	k Surface (F	7)	unle	liand nydrology musi ess disturbed or probl	be present, lematic
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Redox Depres	ssions (⊦8)		unic		cinatio
Restrictive Layer (if present):						
Type: Root						
Depth (inches):14				Hydric So	il Present?	Yes⊡ No⊠
Remarks: Refusal due to roots at 14 inches be	elow ground surfac	ce.				
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:						
Primary Indicators (min. of one required; chec	k all that apply)				Secondary Indicate	ors (2 or more required)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stainer	d Leaves (B	) (except MI F	RA 1. 2. 4A	☐ Water-Stained	l eaves (B9) (MI RA 1, 2
High Water Table (A2)	and 4B)			., 2, 44,	4A, and 4B	)
Saturation (A3)	Salt Crust (B1	1)			Drainage Patte	, rns (B10)
☐ Water Marks (B1)	Aquatic Invert	ebrates (B1	3)		Dry-Season Wa	ater Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sul	fide Odor (C	:1)		Saturation Visit	ole on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhiz	cospheres al	ong Living Roo	ts (C3)	Geomorphic Po	osition (D2)
☐ Algal Mat or crust (B4)	Presence of F	Reduced Iror	n (C4)		Shallow Aquitar	rd (D3)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Recent Iron R	Reduction in	Tilled Soils (C6	)	FAC Neutral Te	est (D5)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Stunted or St	ressed Plant	s (D1) ( <b>LRR A</b> )	1	Raised Ant Mo	unds (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b>
I Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain	n in Remarks	5)		ך Frost-Heave Hι	ummocks (D7)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)						
Field Observations:	No 🕅 🛛 Dent	h (Inches).				
Water Table Present? Yes	No 🖾 Dept	h (Inches):		Wetland Hy	/drology Present?	
Saturation Present? Yes	No 🛛 Dept	h (Inches):			, aloiogy i locolli	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
(Includes Capillary fringe)	·	. ,				
Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, mon	itoring well, aerial	photos, prev	ious inspectior	is), if available:		
Remarks:						
1						

## **APPENDIX B**

Pacific Habitat Services Wetland Delineation Report

## Wetland Delineation for the Bozarth Property, Woodland, Cowlitz County, Washington

**Prepared** for

Holly Huber Trammell Crow Portland Development, Inc. 1300 SW 5th Ave #3350 Portland, OR 97201

#### Prepared by

Craig Tumer, PWS Tina Farrelly John van Staveren, SPWS **Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.** 9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 180 Wilsonville, Oregon 97070 (503) 570-0800 (503) 570-0855 FAX

PHS Project Number: 7645

April 3, 2023



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page

I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.	RES	SULTS AND DISCUSSION	1
	A.	Landscape Setting and Land Use	1
	B.	Site Alterations	1
	C.	Precipitation Data and Analysis	2
	D.	Methods	2
	E.	Description of all Wetlands and Other Non-Wetland Waters	3
	F.	Deviation from Local Wetland Inventory or National Wetland Inventory	5
	G.	Mapping Method.	5
	H.	Additional Information	5
	I.	Results and Conclusions	6
	J.	Required Disclaimer	6
III.	REI	FERENCES	7

#### APPENDIX A: Figures

Figure 1:	Vicinity Map (USGS)
Figure 2:	Tax Lot Map
Figure 3:	Wetlands Inventory Map (National)
Figure 4:	Soil Survey Map
Figure 5:	Recent Aerial Photograph
Figure 6:	Wetland Delineation Map
Figure 7	150-Foot Offset from On-Site Wetlands
Figure 8	Relatively Undisturbed and Accessible Habitats within 1 km
Figure 9	303(d)-Listed Waters in the Basin

- APPENDIX B: Wetland Delineation Data Sheets
- APPENDIX C: Study Area Photos
- APPENDIX D: Wetland Rating Forms

## I. INTRODUCTION

Pacific Habitat Services, Inc. (PHS) conducted a wetland delineation on Bozarth Property (Parcel IDs: 507350104, 507350103 and 507350102) in Woodland, Washington (Township 5 North, Range 1 West, Sections 13, 14, 23, and 24). This report presents the results of PHS's investigation of the study area. Figures, including maps depicting the locations of wetlands within the study area are in Appendix A. Data sheets documenting delineated wetland boundaries and site conditions are provided in Appendix B. Ground-level photos of the study area are included in Appendix C.

## **II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## A. Landscape Setting and Land Use

The study area is located west of N Pekin Road, approximately 450 feet south of W Scott Avenue in Woodland, Cowlitz County, Washington. The study area consists of three parcels, totaling approximately 69.5 acres. The study area is on all sides by a mixture of agricultural and light industrial land uses. The majority of the site consists of agricultural fields that were planted in grasses for grass seed production at the time of PHS's wetland investigation. A single-family residence and other associated structures are located on the southeastern portion of the study area. Small woodlots dominated by deciduous trees and shrubs are present in the north-central, west-central, and south-central portions of the study area.

Review of the U.S. Geological Survey topographic mapping (Figure 1) shows that the project site is located on a broad, flat terrace of the Columbia River. The USGS mapping shows an unnamed stream crossing the central and northern portions of the study area; however, the site investigation revealed that a stream channel is not present under existing conditions. The project site is within the Burris Creek-Frontal Columbia River Hydrologic Unit (HUC 170800030306).

The United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS) maps four soil map units within the study area: Caples silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, Clato silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, Newberg fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes; and Pilchuck loamy fine sand, 0 to 8 percent slopes. Cato silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes is classified as a hydric soil, and this map unit is mapped in portions of the northern, western, and southern parts of the study area.

## **B.** Site Alterations

Review of online aerial photographs available for viewing at <u>https://historicaerials.com</u> shows that the much of the study area has been in agricultural uses since before 1951. These photos also suggest that the drainageway shown on the USGS topographic mapping (Figure 1) may have been altered between 1951 and 1970. PHS is not aware of recent site alterations that might have affected the location and extent of wetlands within the study area.

## C. Precipitation Data and Analysis

PHS conducted the wetland delineation field work on November 23 and December 6, 2022. PHS used the Direct Antecedent Rainfall Analysis Method (DAREM) for the field date to compare observed precipitation prior to the site visits to normal climatic conditions. Table 1 compares the average monthly precipitation at the Kalama Falls Hatchery, WA WETS Station, to the observed monthly precipitation. As shown in Table 1, observed precipitation for the three months preceding the wetland delineation field work was approximately normal.

		30% Ch Ha	ance Will ave ¹	Maanmad	Condition Value ³	Manah	Condition	<b>S</b>
Month	Average Precipitation ¹	Less Than Average	More Than Average	Precipitation ²	(1=dry, 2=normal, 3=wet)	Weight ⁴	Value X Month Weight	Sum Total ⁵
November 2022	9.90	7.28	11.92	10.54	Normal (2)	3	6	
October 2022	6.37	4.51	7.52	3.19	Drier (1)	2	2	9 (drier)
September 2022	2.67	1.13	3.16	0.44	Drier (1)	1	1	
Total	18.94	12.92	22.60	14.17				

 Table 1.
 Comparison of Average and Observed Monthly Precipitation Prior to the Wetland Delineation Field Work

¹NRCS WETS Table for the Kalama Falls Hatchery, WA. Source: http://agacis.rcc-acis.org/?fips=53015.

² Measured rainfall is the precipitation recorded at the Kalama Falls Hatcher, WA. Source: http://agacis.rcc-acis.org/?fips=53015.

³Condition Value: compared to nearest WETS normal range.

⁴Month Weight: most recent month = 3,  $2^{nd}$  most recent month = 2, third most recent month = 1

⁵Sum Total: sum of eighth column: drier (sum 6-9), normal (sum 10-14), wetter (sum 15-18)

Precipitation in the three months preceding the wetland delineation site visit was lower than normal in September and October, and higher than average, but with normal ranges in November. Overall, precipitation was drier than normal, but within normal range for the three months preceding the wetland delineation field work. It is PHS's best professional judgement that observed hydrologic conditions were not adversely affected by precipitation.

## **D.** Methods

PHS investigated the study area for the presence of jurisdictional wetlands based on observation of wetland hydrology, hydric soils, and hydrophytic vegetation indicators in accordance with the Routine On-site Determination, as described in the *Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual, Wetlands Research Program Technical Report Y 87 1* ("The 1987 Manual") and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region.* The conclusions drawn by PHS were based on the methods outlined in the regional supplement. Prior to conducting the site investigation, PHS examined applicable U.S. Geological Service (USGS) topographic mapping (Figure 1), National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) mapping (Figure 3), NRCS Soil Survey mapping (Figure 4), and a recent aerial photograph (Figure 5) to identify potential wetland areas.

At the time of the site investigation, PHS examined vegetation, soils, and hydrology within the study area to define areas that meet the three wetland parameters (hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology), in accordance with the USACE Wetland Delineation Manual and the Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Regional Supplement. PHS collected data at wetland and upland sample plots at representative locations along the wetland boundaries to document the vegetation, soil, and hydrologic characteristics used to determine the wetland boundaries. PHS also collected data at sample plots at non-wetland locations where mapped hydric soils or local topographic conditions suggested that wetlands might potentially occur. Data collected at representative sample plots were recorded on the wetland determination data forms, which are included in Appendix C. PHS conducted a functional assessment of the delineated wetlands using the *Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update.* Wetland Rating Forms are provided in Appendix D.

## E. Description of all Wetlands and Non-Wetland Waters

PHS identified and delineated four potentially jurisdictional wetlands within the study area. The total area of wetlands within the study area is approximately 144,136 square feet (3.31 acres). No non-wetland waters (i.e., streams or other waterbodies) are present within the study area. Brief descriptions of the wetlands are provided below.

#### Wetland A

Wetland A is a palustrine, forested/scrub-shrub/emergent, seasonally flooded/saturated (PFOE/PEME) wetland with a hydrogeomorphic (HGM) class of Depressional. Wetland A is located in the southern portion of the study area. The area of Wetland A is approximately 110,811 square feet (2.54 acres).

The westernmost portion of Wetland A is forested; the northeastern portion of the wetland and the northern fringe that extends into the agricultural field are dominated by herbaceous vegetation; and the central and southern portions of the wetland are dominated by dense shrubs. Sample Point 7 characterizes the forested wetland community in the western part of Wetland A. In this area, the plant community is dominated by black cottonwood (*Populus balsamifera* ssp. *trichocarpa*, FAC) in the forest canopy and red-twig dogwood (*Cornus alba*, FACW) in the understory. No herbaceous species are present at the sample point location. The soils meet the Redox Dark Surface hydric soils indicator. The soil was not saturated at the time of the wetland delineation site visit, but oxidized rhizospheres provided evidence of wetland hydrology. In the adjacent upland (characterized by Sample Point 8), the plant community is hydrophytic. Dominant species include black cottonwood, red-twig dogwood, Douglas hawthorn (*Crataegus douglasii*; FAC), sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*; FACU), and California dewberry (*Rubus ursinus*; FACU). However, the soils are not hydric, and there are no indicators of wetland hydrology.

Sample Point 17 characterizes the scrub-shrub wetland community in the central and southern parts of Wetland A. In this area, the plant community is dominated by willow (*Salix* sp., presumed FAC or wetter) and stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*, FAC). The soils meet the Depleted Matrix hydric soils indicator. The soil was not saturated at the time of the wetland delineation site visit, but geomorphic position and a positive FAC- provided evidence of wetland hydrology. In the adjacent upland (characterized by Sample Point 18), the plant community is hydrophytic, with dominant species including willow, stinging nettle, and California dewberry. The soils are not hydric, and there are no indicators of wetland hydrology.

Sample Point 15 characterizes the emergent wetland community in the northeastern portion of Wetland A. In this area, the plant community is dominated by reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinaceus*, FACW). The soils meet the Redox Dark Surface hydric soils indicator. The soil was not saturated at the time of the wetland delineation site visit, but geomorphic position and a positive FAC- provided evidence of wetland hydrology. The adjacent upland is characterized by Sample Points 14 and 16. Sample Point 14 is in the agricultural field to the north of the wetland, and Sample Point 16 is In the unmowed field to the south. The plant communities at both sample points are hydrophytic. However, the soils are not hydric, and there are no indicators of wetland hydrology.

Throughout the wetland, a seasonally high water table appears to be the primary source of hydrology for the wetland. There was no ponded water or evidence of ponding within the wetland at the time of PHS's wetland investigation. Wetland A has a narrow, constricted wetland connection to an off-site, excavated ditch that extends in an east-west orientation to the south of the southern boundary of the study area. The ditch has hydric soil, but it is not vegetated. The ditch does not have an ordinary high water mark, and there was no surface water in the ditch at the time of PHS's wetland investigation.

#### Wetland B

Wetlands B is a palustrine forested wetland in the north-central portion of the study area. Wetland B continues outside of the study area to the northeast. The Cowardin classification of each is palustrine, forested, seasonally flooded/saturated (PFOE) wetland; the HGM classification is Depressional. The area of Wetland B within the study area is approximately 32,697 square feet (0.75 acre).

Sample Points 9 and 11 characterize Wetland B. Dominant woody plant species in the wetland include Pacific willow (*Salix lasiandra*, FACW) and red-twig dogwood. The soils meet the Redox Dark Surface or Depleted Matrix hydric soils indicator. The soil was not saturated at the time of the wetland delineation site visit, but a sparsely vegetated concave surface, geomorphic position and a positive FAC- provided evidence of wetland hydrology. Sample Points 10 and 12 characterize the adjacent non-wetland communities. The plant community is hydrophytic at Sample Point 12 but non-hydrophytic at Sample Point 10. However, the soils are not hydric, and there are no indicators of wetland hydrology at either sample point.

A seasonally high water table appears to be the primary source of hydrology in Wetland B.

#### Wetlands C and D

Wetlands C and D are very small, isolated depressions in the west-central portion of the westcentral portion of the study area. The Cowardin classification of the wetlands is palustrine, forested, seasonally saturated (PFOE); the hydrogeomorphic (HGM) class is Depressional. Wetland C continues outside of the study area to the east. The area of Wetland C is approximately 315 square feet (0.007 acre), and the area of Wetland D is approximately 313 square feet (0.007 acre).

Sample Points 2 and 4 characterize Wetlands C and D. Within the wetlands, the forest canopy is dominated by black cottonwood, with red-twig dogwood and Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*, FAC) dominant in the forest understory. Slough sedge (*Carex obnupta*, OBL) is a dominant species in the herbaceous layer. The soils meet the Redox Dark Surface or Depleted Matrix hydric soils indicator. The soil was not saturated at the time of the wetland delineation site

visit, but oxidized rhizospheres, geomorphic position and a positive FAC- provided evidence of wetland hydrology. In the adjacent uplands (characterized by Sample Points 1 and 3), the soils are not hydric, and there are no indicators of wetland hydrology.

Throughout the wetland, a seasonally high water table appears to be the primary source of hydrology for Wetlands C and D.

## F. Deviation from National Wetland Inventory

The National Wetland Inventory (NWI) mapping shows wetlands in the vicinity of Wetlands A and B, though the location and extent of the wetlands depicted on the NWI mapping do not correspond exactly to the delineated wetlands.

## G. Mapping Method

PHS used blue flagging tape to delineate the wetland boundaries, and pink flagging tape to mark sample point locations. Locations of flagged wetland boundaries and sample points were surveyed by Gibbs & Olson, Inc. with sub-centimeter accuracy.

## H. Additional Information

#### Wetland Functional Ratings and Wetland Buffers

PHS evaluated the functions and values of wetlands within the study area based on the methodology prescribed by Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update. The results of the functions and values evaluation are summarized in Table 2, below. Wetland Rating Forms are provided in Appendix D.

Watland		Wetland Ra	ting Score		Watland	Land Usa	Duffor
ID	Water Quality	Hydrologic	Habitat	Total Category		Intensity	Width
Wetland A	6	5	5	16	III	High	150 ft
Wetland B	6	5	4	15	IV	High	50 ft
Wetland C	6	5	4	15	IV	High	n/a
Wetland D	6	5	4	15	IV	High	n/aoffice

 Table 2. Summary of Wetland Functional Rating Results

Based on the results of the functional assessment, Wetland A is classified as a Category III wetland, and Wetlands B, C, and D are classified as Category IV wetlands. In accordance with Chapter 15.08.400 of the Woodland Municipal Code, wetland buffers for Category III wetlands are based on the category of wetland, the intensity of the proposed land use, and the level of function for habitat. Wetland buffers for Category IV wetlands are based on the category of wetland use. The level of function for habitat does not figure into the buffer width determination for Category IV wetlands. Because the proposed land use is commercial/industrial, the land use intensity used to determine the buffer widths is "high", in accordance with Chapter 15.08.400 of the Municipal Code. Wetland A is a Category III wetland with a moderate function for habitat; therefore, Wetland A has a 150-foot buffer in accordance with Table 15.08.400-1 in Chapter 15.08.400. Wetlands B is a Category IV wetlands C and D are less than 1000 square feet each and

do not contain federally listed species or critical habitat, they are exempt from the buffer provisions contained in Chapter 15.08.400 of the Woodland Municipal Code. The buffers associated with wetlands within the study area are depicted on Figures 6, 6A, 6B, and 6C.

## I. Results and Conclusions

PHS delineated four potentially jurisdictional wetlands within the study area. The total area of wetlands within the study area is approximately 144,136 square feet (3.31 acres). All wetlands within the study area are Category IV wetlands based on the Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington. In accordance with City of Woodland Municipal Code Chapter 15.08.400, all of the wetlands within the study area have a 50-foot buffer.

### J. Disclaimer

This report documents the investigation, best professional judgment, and conclusions of the investigators. It is correct and complete to the best of our knowledge. It should be considered a Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination of wetlands and other waters and used at your own risk unless it has been reviewed and approved in writing by the Washington Department of Ecology in accordance with Chapter 90.48 RCW or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

## **III. REFERENCES**

- Brinson, M.M. 1993. A hydrogeomorphic classification for wetlands, Technical Report WRP–DE– 4, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS. <u>http://el.erdc.usace.army.mil/wetlands/pdfs/wrpde4.pdf</u>
- GoogleEarth Map, 2023. Aerial photo, 2021
- Historical Aerials, 2023. https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer
- Hitchcock, CL and A. Cronquist. 1973. *Flora of the Pacific Northwest: An Illustrated manual*. University of Washington Press.
- Hruby, T. 2014. *Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update*. (Publication #14-06-029). Olympia, WA: Washington Department of Ecology.
- Munsell Color, 2010. Munsell Soil Color Charts. Grand Rapids, Michigan.
- Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS) WETS Table for the Kalama Falls Hatchery, WA Weather Station. Source: <u>http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/technical/fotg/</u>
- Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS) Weather data for the Battle Ground, WA Weather Station. Source: http://agacis.rcc-acis.org/?fips=53015
- US Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory, 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1.
- US Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory, 2010. *Regional Supplement to the Corps* of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0).
- US Army Corps of Engineers 2020. National Wetland Plant List, version 3.5. <u>http://wetland-plants.usace.army.mil/</u>
- US Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS), February 2008. Hydrogeomorphic Wetland Classification System: An Overview and Modification to Better Meet the Needs of the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Technical Note No. 190–8–76.
- US Geological Survey, 2022. Deer Island, Oregon- Washington 7.5 Quadrangle
- US Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Services, 2023. Web Soil Survey.
- US Fish and Wildlife Service, 2023. National Wetland Inventory, Wetland Mapper https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html

# Appendix A

Figures









Source: USFWS, National Wetlands Inventory Wetlands Mapper (https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/)



National Wetlands Inventory Map Bozarth Property - Woodland, Washington FIGURE

3/20/2023



(https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx)



FIGURE Soil Survey Map

Bozarth Property - Woodland, Washington

4

3/20/2023



Source: Google Earth 2023



Recent Aerial Photograph

Bozarth Property - Woodland, Washington

FIGURE

3/20/2023



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc. 9450 SW Commerce Cirde, Suite 180 Wilsonville, Oregon 97070 Phone: (603) 570-0800 Fax (603) 670-0855 Gibbs & Olson, Inc. Survey and Sample point accuracy is sub-centimeter.

Boza

#### LEGEND

	Study Area Boundary (3,026,322 sf / 69.47 ac)	
	Wetland (Site Total 144,136 sf / 3.31 ac)	
	Resource Buffer (Site Total 378,188 sf / 8.68 ac)	
	Tax Lot Line	
·24·	Existing Contour	
NOTE See Fi Points	gures 6A-6C for Sample and Photo Points	
W arth Prope	etland Delineation Overview erty - Woodland, Washington	FIGURE
		2-9-2023



Survey and sample point accuracy is sub-centimeter. Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 180 Wilsonville, Oregon 97070 Phone: (503) 570-0800 Fax (503) 570-0855

#### LEGEND











Survey provided by Gibbs & Olson, Inc. Survey and Sample point accuracy is sub-centimeter.

50 100		<u>20</u> 0	
 Existing Conto	our		
 Tax Lot Line			
Photo Point			
Sample Point			
Resource Buf (Site Total 378	fer 3,188 sf / 8.6	68 ac)	
 Wetland (Site Total 144	4,136 sf / 3.3	31 ac)	
Study Area Bo (3,026,322 sf	oundary / 69.47 ac)		

SCALE IN FEET



Bozarth Property - Woodland, Washington



2-9-2023





Survey provided by Gibbs & Olson, Inc. Survey and sample point accuracy is sub-centimeter.

#### LEGEND







Relatively Undisturbed and Accessible Habitats within 1 km Milly Bozarth DCL #48 - Woodland, Washington









3-16-2023





Source: Washington Department of Ecology, Water Quality Atlas (https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/waterqualityatlas/wga/map)

303(d)-Listed Waters in the Basin

Bozarth Property - Woodland, Washington

FIGURE

3/23/2023

## **Appendix B**

## Wetland Determination Data Sheets



WF		RMINATION		RM - Weste	rn Mountains, Vall	evs and Coas	PHS # st Region	7645
Project/Site:	N Pekin Roa	d	City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	11/23	8/2022
Applicant/Owner: <b>T</b> I	ammell Crow C	Company	j;j;		State:	WA	Sampling Point:	1
Investigator(s):	TF/MS		Section, To	wnship, Range:	Section	n 14, Township 5	N, Range 1W	
Landform (hillslope, terra	ce. etc.:)	Slope	_ ,	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	1%
Subregion (LRR):		RA	Lat:	<b>45.91</b> 1	1 <b>2</b> Long:	-122.7664	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:		Caples si	- Itv clav loam		NWI Clas	ssification:	none -	
Are climatic/hvdrologic co	onditions on the site	e typical for this time	e of vear?	Yes	X No	(if no. exp	lain in Remarks)	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil <b>N</b> or	Hydrology N	significantly dist	turbed?	Are "Normal Circumstand	es" present? (Y/N)	Ŷ	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil <b>N</b> or	Hvdrology N	naturally proble	matic? If needed	. explain any answers in Re	marks.)		
°						,		
SUMMARY OF FIN	IDINGS – Atta	ach site map s	showing san	npling point	locations, transects	, important feat	ures, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetation P	resent? Yes	No	<u> </u>	Is Sampled Ar	ea within			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	<u> </u>	a Wetlar	nd? Yes_		No X	
Netland Hydrology Prese	ent? Yes	No	<u> </u>					
Remarks:								
EGETATION - US	e scientific na	ames of plants	S.	Indiactor	Deminance Test war	kabaati		
		% cover	Species?	Status	Dominance rest wor	KSHeet.		
ree Stratum (plot size	e: 30	)			Number of Dominant Spe	cies		
1 <b>Populus balsami</b>	fera	70	<u> </u>	FAC	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	2	(A)
2								
3					Total Number of Dominan	t		
4					Species Across All Strata:		4	(B)
		70	= Total Cover					
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(plot size: 15	)			Percent of Dominant Spec	cies		
1 Cornus alba		80	<u> </u>	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	50%	(A/B)
2 Symphoricarpos	albus	20	<u> </u>	FACU	Drevelence Index W/s	wheel he at		
з л					Total % Cover of	Multiply by	<i>r</i>	
4 5					OBL Species	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	<u>,                                    </u>	
		100	= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 =	0	
					FAC Species	x 3 =	0	
lerb Stratum (plot size	e: <u>5</u>	)			FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
1 Rubus ursinus		80	<u> </u>	FACU	UPL Species	x 5 =	0	
2					Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	0	(B)
3					Decusion of Index -			
4 5					Prevalence Index =E	3/A =		
5 5					Hydrophytic Vegetati	on Indicators:		
7					1	- Rapid Test for Hyd	rophytic Vegetatior	1
8					2	- Dominance Test is	>50%	
		80	= Total Cover			-Prevalence Index is	≤ 3.0 ¹	
					4	-Morphological Adap	tations ¹ (provide s	upporting
Voody Vine Stratum (	plot size:	)			c	lata in Remarks or or	a separate sheet	
1					5	5- Wetland Non-Vasc	ular Plants'	
2			- Total Course			roblematic Hydrophy	ruc Vegetation' (E)	(piain)
			- Total Cover		disturbed or problematic.	na wetiana nyarology	musi pe present, i	269111
					Hydrophytic			
	Stratum	20			Vegetation	Yes	No	X
% Bare Ground in Herb S					Procont?			

			PHS #	7645			Sampling Point:	1
Profile Descri	ption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to docume	ent the indicator	r or confirm the abse	nce of indicators.)		
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	% T	$1000^{\circ}$	Texture	Remark	s
0-11	10YR 2/2	100				Silt Loam		<u> </u>
11-14	10YR 3/2	60	7.5YR 3/4	10	с м	Silty Clay Loam	Medium	
11-14	10YR 4/2	30				Silty Clay Loam		
14-18	10YR 5/2	80	7 5VR 3/4		<u>с</u> м	Silty Clay Loam	Medium	
14-10	10110 3/2		7.511( 5/4				Medium	
						·		
						·		
'Type: C=Cond	centration, D=Depleti	ion, RM=Re	educed Matrix, CS=	Covered or Coa	ted Sand Grains.	India	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, N	/=Matrix. dric Soils ³ :
Hyune Son			an LNNS, unles	o otilei wise i		maica		
				Sanc	ay Redox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A1	
	Histic Epipedon (A2)			Strip	ped Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (TF2)
	Black Histic (A3)			Loan	ny Mucky Mineral (F1)	(except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow D	рагк Surface (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A4	4)		Loan	ny Gleyed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain i	n Remarks)
	Depleted Below Dark	k Surface (/	A11)	Depl	eted Matrix (F3)			
	Thick Dark Surface (	(A12)		Redo	ox Dark Surface (F6)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vec	etation and wetland
	Sandy Mucky Minera	al (S1)		Depl	eted Dark Surface (F7	)	hydrology must be present,	unless disturbed or
;	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	: (S4)		Redo	ox Depressions (F8)		problemati	с.
Restrictive I	Layer (if present)	):						
Туре:								
Depth (inches	s):					Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes	No <u>X</u>
HYDROLO Wetland Hy	GY drology Indicator							
		rs:						
Primary India	cators (minimum o	<b>rs:</b> of one rea	uired: check all t	hat apply)			Secondary Indicators (2	or more required)
Primary India	cators (minimum o Surface Water (A1)	<b>rs:</b> of one req	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wate	er stained Leaves (B9)	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I	or more required)
Primary India	cators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A	rs: of one req 2)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wate 1, 2,	er stained Leaves (B9) 4A, and 4B)	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B)
Primary India	cators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3)	r <b>s:</b> of one req 2)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt (	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11)	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 4A Drainage Patte	or more required) Leaves (B9) <b>A, and 4B)</b> rns (B10)
Primary India	cators (minimum c Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1)	<b>rs:</b> of one req 2)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt Aqua	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 4A Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa	or more required) Leaves (B9) <b>A, and 4B)</b> rns (B10) ater Table (C2)
Primary India	cators (minimum c Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I	rs: of one req 2) B2)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt Aqua Hydr	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ple on Aerial Imagery (C9
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3)	<b>rs:</b> of one req 2) B2)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Aqua Hydr	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo	(Except MLRA ) ) ng Living Roots (C3)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ole on Aerial Imagery (C9 osition (D2)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt ( Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron	(Except MLRA ) ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 4A Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquitar	or more required) Leaves (B9) <b>A, and 4B)</b> rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 osition (D2) rd (D3)
Primary India	cators (minimum c Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5)	rs: of one req 2) B2) B4)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt ( Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P	(Except MLRA ) ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ole on Aerial Imagery (C9 osition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5)
Primary India	cators (minimum c Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks	<b>rs:</b> of one req 2) B2) B4) (B6)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt Aqua Aqua Pres Rece Stun	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants	(Except MLRA ) ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Moo	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ole on Aerial Imagery (C9 osition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) (B6) Aerial Ima	uired; check all t gery (B7)	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt 0 Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants er (Explain in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 4A Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Moo Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 bition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) unmocks (D7)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated (	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8)	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt ( Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants rr (Explain in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mon Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 bition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations:	rs: of one req 2) B2) B4) (B6) n Aerial Ima Concave St	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8)	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt Aqua Aqua Oxid Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 rogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants rr (Explain in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mou Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ole on Aerial Imagery (C9 osition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations: Present? Yes	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave St	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No <b>X</b>	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt of Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants er (Explain in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 4A Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mod Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) <b>A, and 4B)</b> rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 bsition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b> ummocks (D7)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) n Aerial Ima Concave Si 	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt ( Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe Depth (inch Depth (inch	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants er (Explain in Remarks) mes): hes):	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mo Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 bistion (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7)
Field Obser Surface Water Water Table P Saturation Pree	cators (minimum c Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( <b>vations:</b> Present? Yes resent? Yes sent? Yes	rs: of one req 2) B2) 34) (B6) n Aerial Ima Concave Sc 	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe Depth (inch Depth (inch	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants er (Explain in Remarks) mes): 	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mon Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ole on Aerial Imagery (C9 osition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes sent? Yes y fringe)	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) n Aerial Ima Concave So 	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt ( Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe Depth (inch Depth (inch	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants or (Explain in Remarks) mes):	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 4A Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mod Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) <b>A, and 4B)</b> rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 bition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b> ummocks (D7) <b>No X</b>
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes sent? Yes sent? Yes y fringe)	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave Si  auge, moni	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X toring well, aerial p	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt ( Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe Depth (inch Depth (inch Depth (inch	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants er (Explain in Remarks) mes):	(Except MLRA ) ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mon Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 osition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes resent? Yes resent? Yes y fringe) rded Data (stream g	rs: of one req 2) B2) 34) (B6) n Aerial Ima Concave So  auge, moni	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X toring well, aerial p	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt ( Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe Depth (inch Depth (inch Depth (inch	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants er (Explain in Remarks) nes):	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mou Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 bition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes sent? Yes sent? Yes y fringe) roded Data (stream g	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave Si  auge, moni	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X toring well, aerial p	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt ( Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Recce Stun Othe Depth (inch Depth (inch Depth (inch hotos, previous i	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants or (Explain in Remarks) mes): 	(Except MLRA ) ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mon Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 osition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes sent? Yes sent? Yes y fringe) rded Data (stream g	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave Si  auge, moni	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> toring well, aerial p	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt Aqua Hydr Oxid Pres Rece Stun Othe Depth (inch Depth (inch Depth (inch	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants er (Explain in Remarks) nes):	(Except MLRA ) ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mou Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ole on Aerial Imagery (C9 osition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7)
Primary India	cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes sent? Yes y fringe) Irded Data (stream ga	rs: of one req 2) B2) 34) (B6) n Aerial Ima Concave So  auge, moni	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X toring well, aerial p	hat apply) Wate 1, 2, Salt Aqua Pres Rece Stun Othe Depth (inch Depth (inch Depth (inch	er stained Leaves (B9) <b>4A, and 4B)</b> Crust (B11) atic Invertebrates (B13 ogen Sulfide Odor (C1 ized Rhizospheres alo ence of Reduced Iron ent Iron Reduction in P ted or Stressed Plants er (Explain in Remarks) nes):	(Except MLRA ) )ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) lowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 Water stained I (MLRA1, 2, 44 Drainage Patte Dry-Season Wa Saturation Visit Geomorphic Po Shallow Aquita Fac-Neutral Te Raised Ant Mou Frost-Heave Hu	or more required) Leaves (B9) A, and 4B) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9 bition (D2) rd (D3) st (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7)

							_		
roject/Site:	N Pekin	Road	City/County:	Woo	odland/Cowlitz	Sampli	ng Date:	11/2	3/2022
oplicant/Owner:	Trammell Cro	w Company			State:	WA		Sampling Point:	2
vestigator(s):	MS	<u>3/TF</u>	Section, To	wnship, Range:	Sectio	n 14, Tow	nship 5N	I, Range 1W	
andform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.:)	Depressi	on	Local relief (co	oncave, convex, none):	con		Slope (%):	1%
ubregion (LRR):				45.91	12 Long:	-122.	/004	Datum:	WG584
oil Map Unit Name:		Capies s	lity clay loam		NWI Cla	ssification:		none	
re climatic/nydrologic	Soil N	e site typical for this tin	ne of year?	Yes	Are "Normal Circumstan	(	it no, expla	ain in Remarks)	
				uibeu :			( 1/IN)	1	
	3011				u, explain any answers in Re	marks.)			
UMMARY OF F	INDINGS –	Attach site map	showing sam	pling point	locations, transects	, importa	nt featu	ures, etc.	
ydrophytic Vegetatior	ו Present? Y	es X No	)	Is Sampled A	rea within				
ydric Soil Present?	Y	es X No	)	a Wetla	nd? Yes	X	I	No	
etland Hydrology Pre	esent? Y	es X No	)						
emarks:									
	loo ooiontifi	in names of plan	<u>+</u>						
EGETATION - C	JSe Scientin	absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wor	rksheet:			
		% cover	Species?	Status					
ree Stratum (plot s	size: 30	)			Number of Dominant Spe	ecies			
Populus balsa	mifera	65	<u> </u>	FAC	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:		2	(A)
					- Total Number of Domina	<b>-</b> t			
, 1					Species Across All Strata	н.		3	(B)
·		65	= Total Cover		·	-		•	(-)
apling/Shrub Stratum	n (plot size:	15 )			Percent of Dominant Spe	cies			
Cornus alba	. (piereizei	, 	х	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:		67%	(A/B)
Rubus ursinus	;	30	X	FACU		-			. ,
3					Prevalence Index W	orksheet:			
l					Total % Cover of	<u> </u>	Aultiply by:	<u> </u>	
					OBL Species		x 1 =	0	
		110	= Total Cover		FACW species		x 2 = x 3 =	0	
erb Stratum (plot s	size:	)			FACU Species		x 4 =	0	
l					UPL Species		x 5 =	0	
					Column Totals	0 (	A)	0	(B)
3									
					Prevalence Index =	B/A = _	#	DIV/0!	
·					Hydronbytic Vegetat	ion Indica	tors:		
7						1- Rapid Tes	t for Hvdro	ophytic Vegetatio	n
3					x	' 2- Dominanc	e Test is >	>50%	
		0	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence	e Index is :	≤ 3.0 ¹	
	(-1-t	`			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4-Morpholog	ical Adapt	ations ¹ (provide s	supporting
oody Vine Stratum	(piot size:	)				data in Rema	arks or on	a separate sheet	t)
,					·	5- Welland r Problematic	Hydronhyt	ial Plants	volain)
<u> </u>		0	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hvdric soil a	ind wetland h	nydroloav i	nust be present.	unless
					disturbed or problematic.			, .,	
					Hydrophytic				
Bare Ground in Her	5 Stratum	0			Vegetation	Vas	x	No	

Profile Descrip	otion: (Describe to f	the depth	needed to docume	nt the indi	cator or con	firm the abser	ce of indicators.)	
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	Kedo: %	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR 4/2	90	7.5YR 3/4	10	<u> </u>	м	Silt Loam	
4-14	10YR 4/2	80	5YR 4/6	20	с	M,PL	Silty Clay Loam	Medium
¹ Type: C=Conc	entration, D=Depleti	on, RM=R	educed Matrix, CS=	Covered or	Coated Sand	d Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soli i	ndicators: (Appli	icable to	all LRRS, unles	s otnerwi	ise noted.)	(05)	Indica	ators for Problematic Hydric Solls :
ŀ	Histosol (A1)				Sandy Redo	( (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)
r	HISTIC Epipedon (A2)				Stripped Mat	rix (S6)		Red Parent Material (1F2)
E	BIACK HISTIC (A3)	1			Loamy Muck	y minerai (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
H	Hydrogen Sulfide (A4	·)	A 1 1 )		Loamy Gleye	ed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in Remarks)
[	Depleted Below Dark	Surface (/	ATT)		Depieted Ma	uix (F3)		
	hick Dark Surface (/	A12)			Redox Dark	Surface (F6)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland
	Sandy Mucky Mineral	I (S1)			Depleted Dai	rk Surface (F7)		hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	(S4)			Redox Depre	essions (F8)		problematic.
Restrictive L	ayer (if present)	:						
Туре:					_			
Depth (inches	):				_		Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes X No
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd	GY drology Indicator	s:						
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd	GY drology Indicator	s:	uired: check all t					Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum o Surface Water (A1)	<b>s:</b> If one req	uired; check all t	hat apply)	Water staine	d Leaves (B9)	Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic	GY trology Indicator ators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) tigh Water Table (A2	s: f one req	uired; check all t	hat apply)	Water staine 1, 2, 4A, and	d Leaves (B9)	Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic	GY drology Indicator sators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3)	<b>s:</b> f one req 2)	uired; check all t	hat apply)	Water staine 1, 2, 4A, and Salt Crust (B	d Leaves (B9) / I <b>4B)</b> 11)	Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic	GY drology Indicator eators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Nater Marks (B1)	<b>s:</b> If one req	uired; check all t	hat apply)	Water staine 1, 2, 4A, and Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver	d Leaves (B9)   <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13)	Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)          Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic F	GY drology Indicator sators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B	<b>s:</b> f one req 2) 32)	uired; check all t	hat apply)	Water staine 1, 2, 4A, and Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1)	Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic F F F S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	GY drology Indicator sators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) digh Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Nater Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3)	<b>s:</b> <u>f one req</u> 2) 32)	juired; check all t	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi	d Leaves (B9)   <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1) zospheres alor	Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic F	GY drology Indicator eators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) digh Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B-	<b>s:</b> f one req 2) 32) 4)	juired; check all t	hat apply)	Water staine 1, 2, 4A, and Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi Presence of	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1) zospheres alor Reduced Iron (	Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	GY drology Indicator sators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B5)	<b>s:</b> f one req 2) 32) 4)	uired; check all t	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi Presence of Recent Iron F	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) Iffide Odor (C1) zospheres alon Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pla	Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic F	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- ron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (	<b>s:</b> <u>f one req</u> 2) 32) 4) B6)	juired; check all t	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi Presence of Recent Iron F Stunted or St	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1) zospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pla	(Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4) bowed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) X Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	GY drology Indicator eators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- ron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks ( nundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated (	<b>s:</b> f one req 2) 32) 4) B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	juired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8)	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi Presence of Recent Iron F Stunted or St Other (Explai	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) Itebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1) zospheres alon Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Plu tressed Plants in in Remarks)	Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4) bwed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic Field Obser	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- ron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks ( nundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated C vations:	<b>s:</b> <u>f one req</u> 2) 32) 4) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	juired; check all t agery (B7) urface (B8)	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi. Presence of I Recent Iron F Stunted or SI Other (Explai	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) ilfide Odor (C1) zospheres alon Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pla tressed Plants in in Remarks)	(Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4) wwed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic Field Observ Surface Water	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- ron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks ( nundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated O vations: Present? Yes	<b>s:</b> <u>f one req</u> 2) 32) 4) B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	juired; check all t agery (B7) urface (B8)	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi Presence of Recent Iron F Stunted or St Other (Explai (inches):	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1) zospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pli tressed Plants in in Remarks)	Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4) bwed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	GY drology Indicator sators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- ron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks ( nundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated C vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes	s: f one req 2) 32) 4) B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	uired; check all t agery (B7) urface (B8) No No	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi Presence of Recent Iron F Stunted or SI Other (Explai (inches): (inches):	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1) zospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Plu tressed Plants in in Remarks)	Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4) bwed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hyd	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) X Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic Field Obser Surface Water Water Table Pr Saturation Pres (includes capillar)	GY drology Indicator sators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- orn Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- orn Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks ( nundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated C vations: Present? Yes resent? Ye	s: f one req 2) 32) 4) B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No No No	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi Presence of Recent Iron F Stunted or St Other (Explai (inches): (inches): (inches):	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) ilfide Odor (C1) zospheres alon Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Ple tressed Plants in in Remarks)	Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4) wed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic S H S S Field Observ Surface Water Water Table Pr Saturation Pres (includes capillar Describe Reco	GY drology Indicator sators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) digh Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- ron Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- ron Deposits (B3) Surface Soil Cracks ( nundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated C vations: Present? Yes sent? Yes sent? Yes sent? Yes y fringe) rded Data (stream ga	s: f one req 2) 32) 4) B6) Aerial Ima Concave S  auge, moni	uired; check all ti agery (B7) urface (B8) No No No No	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi Presence of Recent Iron F Stunted or SI Other (Explai (inches): (inches): (inches): ous inspectio	d Leaves (B9) <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) lifide Odor (C1) zospheres alon Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Platic tressed Plants in in Remarks) ons), if available	Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4) wed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hyde	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indic Primary Indic S Field Observ Surface Water Water Table Pri Saturation Press (includes capillar) Describe Reco	GY drology Indicator sators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2 Saturation (A3) Nater Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B- orn Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks ( nundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated C vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes	s: f one req 2) 32) 4) B6) Aerial Ima Concave S  auge, moni	gery (B7) urface (B8) No No No itoring well, aerial pf	hat apply)	Water staine <b>1, 2, 4A, and</b> Salt Crust (B Aquatic Inver Hydrogen Su Oxidized Rhi Presence of Recent Iron F Stunted or St Other (Explai (inches): (inches): uinches): ous inspectio	d Leaves (B9) I <b>4B)</b> 11) tebrates (B13) Iffide Odor (C1) zospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pli- tressed Plants in in Remarks)	Except MLRA g Living Roots (C3) C4) wed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hyde	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

v	VETLAND		TION DATA FO	RM - Weste	ern Mountains. Vall	evs. and Coas	PHS # st Region	7645
Project/Site: N Pekin Road			City/County:	Woo	odland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	11/23	8/2022
plicant/Owner:	Trammell C	row Company	_		State:	WA	Sampling Point:	3
estigator(s):	-	TF/MS	Section, T	ownship, Range:	Section	n 14, Township 5	- N, Range 1W	
ndform (hillslope, t	terrace, etc.:)	5	Slope	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	1%
bregion (LRR):	. ,	LRR A	Lat:	45.91	12 Long:	-122.7664	Datum:	WGS84
il Map Unit Name [.]		Ca	 oles silty clay loam		NWI Clas	sification.	none -	
e climatic/hydrolog	ric conditions on	the site typical for	this time of year?	Yes	X No	(if no exp	lain in Remarks)	
e vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil N	or Hydrology	N significantly dis	sturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstanc	es" present? (Y/N)	<b>Y</b>	
	SoilN	- or Hydrology	N naturally proble	matic? If needer	explain any answers in Re	marks)		
						nunto.)		
UMMARY OF	FINDINGS -	- Attach site	map showing sa	npling point	locations, transects,	, important feat	ures, etc.	
drophytic Vegetati	ion Present?	Yes X	No	lo Sompled A	roo within			
dric Soil Present?		Yes	No X	a Wetla	nd? ^{Yes} _		No X	
etland Hydrology F	Present?	Yes	No X					
marks:								
EGETATION -	- Use scient	ific names of	plants.		1			
		abso % co	lute Dominant	Indicator Status	Dominance Test wor	ksheet:		
ee Stratum (ploi	t size:	<b>30</b> )			Number of Dominant Spec	cies		
Populus bals	amifera	^ ´ 7(	b x	FAC	That are OBL. FACW, or F	FAC:	3	(A)
Salix lasiandı	ra		5	FACW				( )
Acer macrop	hyllum	1	5	FACU	Total Number of Dominan	t		
	•				Species Across All Strata:		4	(B)
			<b>0</b> = Total Cover					
apling/Shrub Stratu	ım (plot size:	15 )			Percent of Dominant Spec	ies		
Cornus alba			x a	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	75%	(A/B)
Ribes sp		1	0	(FAC)				
Symphoricar	pos albus	1	0	FACU	Prevalence Index Wo	orksheet:		
					Total % Cover of	Multiply by	<u>y:</u>	
					OBL Species	x 1 =	0	
		8	<b>D</b> = Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 =		
arb Stratum (ploi	t size:	5)			FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
Rubus ursinu		, 7	n x	FACU	UPL Species	x5=	0	
Urtica dioica		20		FAC	Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	0	(B)
					-	()		
					Prevalence Index =B	3/A = 4	#DIV/0!	
					Hydrophytic Vegetati	on Indicators:		
					1	- Rapid Test for Hyd	rophytic Vegetatior	ı
					<b>X</b> 2	- Dominance Test is	>50%	
		90	<b>D</b> = Total Cover		3	-Prevalence Index is	$\leq 3.0^{1}$	
	(nlot size:	)			4	-iviorphological Adap	a apparate sheet)	upporting
and Vine Ctratum	<u> </u> (plot 5/26.	/			5	ata in Remarks of or	ular Plants ¹	
oody Vine Stratum						Problematic Hydrophy	/tic Vegetation ¹ (F)	(plain)
oody Vine Stratum						~		· ·····/
oody Vine Stratum			= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hvdric soil ar	nd wetland hvdrologv	must be present.	unless
oody Vine Stratum		0	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil ar disturbed or problematic.	nd wetland hydrology	must be present, u	unless
oody Vine Stratum	ark Strater	0	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil ar disturbed or problematic. <b>Hydrophytic</b>	nd wetland hydrology	must be present, u	unless

SOIL			PHS #	7	645	-		Sampling Point: <u>3</u>
Profile Descri Depth	ption: (Describe to Matrix	the depth	needed to docu	ment the inc Red	<b>licator or co</b> ox Features	onfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)	
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-11	10YR 2/2	100					Silty Clay Loam	
11-18	10YR 3/2	90	7.5YR 3/4	10	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	Medium
¹ Type: C=Cond	centration, D=Deplet	ion, RM=R	educed Matrix, C	S=Covered of	or Coated Sa	nd Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Appl	licable to	all LRRs, unl	ess otherv	vise noted	.)	Indica	ators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
	Histosol (A1)				Sandy Red	ox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)
	Histic Epipedon (A2)	1			Stripped Ma	atrix (S6)		Red Parent Material (TF2)
	Black Histic (A3)				Loamy Muc	ky Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)			Loamy Gle	yed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in Remarks)
	Depleted Below Darl	k Surface (/	A11)		Depleted M	latrix (F3)		
	Thick Dark Surface (	(A12)			 Redox Darl	k Surface (F6)		
	Sandy Mucky Minera	al (S1)			- Depleted D	ark Surface (F7)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	: (S4)			 Redox Dep	ressions (F8)		problematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if present)	):						
Type:								
Depth (inches	s):				_		Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes No X
Pomorko:	·				_		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
HYDROLO	GY							
Wetland Hy	drology Indicato	rs:						
Primary Indi	cators (minimum o	of one req	uired; check a	ll that apply	/)			Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
	Surface Water (A1)				Water stain	ed Leaves (B9) <b>(</b>	Except MLRA	Water stained Leaves (B9)
	High Water Table (A	2)			1, 2, 4A, ar	nd 4B)		(MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
	Saturation (A3)				Salt Crust (	B11)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
	Water Marks (B1)				_Aquatic Inv	ertebrates (B13)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	Sediment Deposits (	B2)			_Hydrogen S	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9
	Drift Deposits (B3)				Oxidized R	hizospheres alon	g Living Roots (C3)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
·	Algal Mat or Crust (E	34)			_Presence o	f Reduced Iron (	C4)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	Iron Deposits (B5)	(D0)			- Recent Iror	Reduction in Plo	wed Solls (C6)	Fac-Neutral Test (D5)
	Surface Soll Cracks	(B6)			- Other (Evel	Stressed Plants (	DT) (LRR A)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
	Sparsely Vegetated	Concave S	urface (B8)			an in Remarks)		
Eield Obser	vations:						1	
	Present? Ves		No Y	Dont	(inches);			
Mater Table D	Flesent? Yes			_ Depu	i (inches).		Watland Lyd	rology Brocont?
Soturation Pro	sont? Vos			Depti	h (inches):	>18	wettand Hyd	
(includes capillar	y fringe)		NO X	Depu	n (inches).	~10		
Describe Reco	orded Data (stream g	auge, moni	itoring well, aeria	l photos, pre	vious inspect	ions), if available	:	
Remarks:								

oject/Site:	N Pek	kin Road			City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sam	oling Date:	1	1/23/2022
oplicant/Owner	Trammell	Crow Cor	mpany	-	eng, eeung:		State [.]	WA	July Date.	Sampling Po	pint: 4
vestigator(s):		MS/TF			Section, Tc	wnship, Range:	Sectio	n 14. To	- wnship 5	N. Range 1	w
andform (hillslope, to	errace. etc.:)		Depr	essio	, n	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none):	со	ncave	Slope (	(%): <b>1%</b>
ubregion (LRR):	, ,				Lat:	45.91 ⁴	12 Long:	-12	2.7664	Dat	um: WGS84
oil Map Unit Name:			Cap	les sil	ty clay loam		NWI Cla	ssification	:	non	e
e climatic/hydrologi	ic conditions or	n the site ty	pical for th	nis time	e of year?	Yes	X No		(if no, exp	lain in Remar	ks)
e vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil N	or Hy	drology	Ν	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstan	ces" prese	 nt? (Y/N)	Y	
e vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil N	– or Hy	drology	N	naturally proble	matic? If needed	, explain any answers in Re	emarks.)			
		-									
UMMARY OF	FINDINGS	– Attacl	<u>n site n</u>	nap s	howing sam	ipling point	locations, transects	, impor	tant feat	ures, etc.	
ydrophytic Vegetatio	on Present?	Yes _	<u> </u>	_ No		Is Sampled Ar	ea within	v			
ydric Soll Present?		Yes _	<u> </u>	- ^{NO}		a Wetlar	nd? Yes	X	-	No	
etland Hydrology P	resent?	Yes	<u> </u>	_ ^{No}							
∍marks:											
EGETATION -	Use scien	tific nam	nes of r	blants							
			absolu	ute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wor	rksheet:			
oo Stratum (plat		`	% cov	/er	Species?	Status	Number of Demission (Or				
<u>ee stratum</u> (piot	size.	)					That are OPL EACW or			2	(A)
				—			That are OBL, FACW, OF	FAC.			(A)
·							Total Number of Dominar	nt			
1				_			Species Across All Strata	:	_	2	(B)
			0		= Total Cover						
apling/Shrub Stratu	<u>m</u> (plot size	e: <b>15</b>	_)				Percent of Dominant Spe	cies			
Cornus alba			80		<u> </u>	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:		100%	(A/B)
Rubus ursinu	<u>s</u>		10			FACU					
3							Prevalence Index We	orksheet	:		
• 							Total % Cover of		Multiply b	<u>y:</u>	
			90		- Total Covor				_ X1=	0	
				—			FAC Species		x3=	0	_
<u>erb Stratum</u> (plot	size:	5)					FACU Species		x 4 =	0	
Carex obnupt	a		30		X	OBL	UPL Species		x 5 =	0	
							Column Totals	0	(A)	0	(B)
3				—						"DN (/A)	
							Prevalence Index =	B/A =		#DIV/0!	
·				—			Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion India	ators:		
7				—				1- Rapid T	est for Hyd	rophytic Vege	tation
3							X	2- Domina	nce Test is	>50%	
			30		= Total Cover			3-Prevaler	nce Index is	$\leq 3.0^{1}$	
	(plat aiza)		<b>`</b>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4-Morphol	ogical Adap	otations' (prov	ide supporting
oody Vine Stratum	(piot size:		_)					data in Re	marks or or	1 a separate s	heet)
				—				o- weiland Problemat	ic Hydrophy	ular Plants vtic Vegetation	1 ¹ (Evolain)
<u> </u>			0	—	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil a	ind wetlan	d hvdroloav	/ must be pres	ent. unless
				—			disturbed or problematic.		,3)	F. 30	, -
							Hydrophytic				
Poro Cround in Ho	rh Stratum	7	70				Vocatation	Voc	· · · · ·		No

SOIL			PHS #	76	645			Sampling Point:4
Profile Descri	ption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to docume	nt the indi	icator or co	nfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)	
Depth (Inches)	Matrix	0/	Color (moint)	Redo	x Features	1 a a ²	Texture	Domorko
		<u>%</u>	Color (moist)	%	Туре	LOC	Texture	Remarks
0-4	101R 3/2							
4-15	10YR 3/1	90	7.5YR 4/6	10	<u> </u>	M,PL		
						·		
					·			
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Deplet	tion, RM=R	educed Matrix, CS=	Covered or	r Coated Sar	nd Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (App	licable to	all LRRs, unless	s otherw	ise noted.	)	Indic	ators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
	Histosol (A1)				Sandy Red	ox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)
	Histic Epipedon (A2)	)			Stripped Ma	atrix (S6)		Red Parent Material (TF2)
	Black Histic (A3)				Loamy Muc	ky Mineral (F1) (e	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)			Loamy Gley	ed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in Remarks)
	Depleted Below Dar	k Surface (	A11)		Depleted M	atrix (F3)		
	Thick Dark Surface	(A12)			Redox Dark	Surface (F6)		
	Sandy Mucky Minera	al (S1)			Depleted Da	ark Surface (F7)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	(S4)			Redox Dep	ressions (F8)		hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if present	):						
Type:								
Depth (inches	s):				_		Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes X No
Remarks:					_			
HYDROLO	GY							
Wetland Hy	drology Indicato	rs:						
Primary Indi	cators (minimum	of one rec	uired; check all th	nat apply	)			Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
	Surface Water (A1)				, Water stain	ed Leaves (B9) (	Except MLRA	Water stained Leaves (B9)
	High Water Table (A	(2)			, 2, 4A, an	d 4B)	•	(MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
	Saturation (A3)	(2)			Salt Crust (	B11)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
	Water Marks (B1)					ertebrates (B13)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	Sediment Deposits (	(B2)			Hydrogen S	ulfide Odor (C1)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	Drift Deposits (B3)	02)		Y			a Living Roots (C3)	Catal ation visible on Achai magery (00
	Algal Mat or Crust (E	24)			Drosonco o	f Poducod Iron ((		Shallow Aquitard (D2)
	Iron Denosite (B5)	(+(			Recent Iron	Reduction in Plo	wed Soils (C6)	Eac-Neutral Test (D5)
	Surface Soil Cracks	(B6)			Stunted or 9	Stressed Plants (		Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (I BR A)
		(DU)	acry (P7)		Othor (Evol	oin in Romarka)		
	Sparsely Vegetated		urface (B8)					
		Concave S						
Field Obser	vations:							
Surface Water	Present? Yes		No	Depth	(inches):			
Water Table P	resent? Yes		No	Depth	(inches):		Wetland Hyd	Irology Present?
Saturation Pre (includes capilla	sent? Yes y fringe)		No	Depth	(inches):			Yes X No
Describe Reco	rded Data (stream g	auge, mon	itoring well, aerial ph	otos, previ	ious inspect	ions), if available	:	
Remarks:								
1	WETLAND	DETERMINATIO	N DATA FOR	RM - Westei	rn Mountains, Vall	eys, and Coa	st Region	/645
-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------	-----------
Project/Site:	N Pek	in Road	City/County:	Wood	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	11/23	/2022
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell	Crow Company			State:	WA	Sampling Point:	5
Investigator(s):		MS/TF	Section, To	wnship, Range:	Section	n 14, Township 5	N, Range 1W	
Landform (hillslope,	terrace, etc.:)	Flat		Local relief (con	cave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	1%
Subregion (LRR):		LRR A	Lat:	45.911	8 Long:	-122.7648	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name	:	Cate	o silt loam		NWI Clas	ssification:	none	
Are climatic/hydrolog	gic conditions or	n the site typical for this tir	me of year?	Yes	X No	(if no, exp	lain in Remarks)	
Are vegetation N	Soil N	or Hydrology	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstanc	ces" present? (Y/N)	Y	
Are vegetation N	Soil N	or Hydrology N	naturally probler	matic? If needed,	explain any answers in Re	marks.)		
		Attach aita man		nling noint l	antiona transacta	important foot	uree ete	
		- Allach sile map	showing san	iping point i	ocations, transects	, important lea	ures, etc.	
			· · ·	Is Sampled Are	ea within			
	Drocont2	Vec N		a Wetlan	d? ^{res} _		NO <u>A</u>	
Demarke:	Present?		0 <u> </u>					
Remarks:								
l								
VEGETATION	- Use scien	tific names of plan	its.					
		absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wor	ksheet:		
Tree Stratum (plo	ot size.	) % COVEL	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Spe	cies		
1		,			That are OBL. FACW. or	FAC:	1	(A)
2					- , - ,			( )
3					Total Number of Dominan	t		
4					Species Across All Strata:	·	1	(B)
		0	= Total Cover					
Sapling/Shrub Strate	<u>um</u> (plot size	:)			Percent of Dominant Spec	cies		
1					That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	100%	(A/B)
2								
3					Prevalence Index Wo	orksheet:		
45					OBL Species		<u>y:</u>	
5			= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 =	0	
					FAC Species	x 3 =	0	
Herb Stratum (plo	ot size:	5)			FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
1 Lolium perer	nne	80	<u> </u>	FAC	UPL Species	x 5 =	0	
2					Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	0	(B)
3					Durana la dan - D	N/A -	4DIV//01	
4					Prevalence Index =E	3/A =		
6					Hydrophytic Vegetati	on Indicators:		
7					1	I- Rapid Test for Hyd	rophytic Vegetatior	1
8					<b>X</b> 2	2- Dominance Test is	>50%	
		80	= Total Cover		3	3-Prevalence Index is	≤ 3.0 ¹	
	. (plot size:	)			4	I-Morphological Adap	itations' (provide s	upporting
1	<u>n</u> (piot size.	)				ata in Remarks or or	n a separate sneet _. ular Plants ¹	
2						Problematic Hydrophy	/tic Vegetation ¹ (Fi	(plain)
		0	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and	nd wetland hydrology	must be present, i	Inless
					disturbed or problematic.	, ,	. ,	
% Bare Ground in L	lerh Stratum	20			Hydrophytic	Yes Y	No	
	GID GUALUIII					103 A		
					Present?			

SOIL			PHS #	7645	_		Sampling Point:		5
Profile Descri	ption: (Describe to	the depth r	eeded to docume	nt the indicator or c	onfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)			
Depth	Matrix	_		Redox Features					
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	<u>%</u> Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Rema	rks	
0-14	10YR 3/3	100				Silt Loam			
¹ Type: C=Cond	centration, D=Depleti	on, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=0	Covered or Coated S	and Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining,	M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Appl	icable to	all LRRs, unless	s otherwise noted	d.)	Indica	ators for Problematic Hy	/dric Soils ³	³ :
I	Histosol (A1)			Sandy Re	dox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A	10)	
I	Histic Epipedon (A2)			Stripped N	/latrix (S6)		Red Parent M	aterial (TF2)	
	Black Histic (A3)			Loamy Mu	ucky Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow	Dark Surface	(TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A4	4)		Loamy Gle	eyed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain	in Remarks)	
ı	Depleted Below Dark	surface (A	.11)	Depleted I	Matrix (F3)				
	Thick Dark Surface (	A12)		 Redox Da	rk Surface (F6)				
	Sandy Mucky Minera	l (S1)		Depleted I	Dark Surface (F7)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic ve	getation and	wetland
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	(S4)		Redox De	pressions (F8)		problema	tic.	bed of
Restrictive I	Layer (if present)	:							
Type:									
Depth (inches	;):					Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes	No	х
Pomarke:	·								
HYDROLO	GY drology Indicator	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
мецала пу	urology indicator	S.							
Primary Indic	cators (minimum o	of one requ	uired; check all th	nat apply)	(50)		Secondary Indicators (2	2 or more re	quired)
	Surface Water (A1)				ined Leaves (B9) ( and 4B)	Except MLRA	Water stained	Leaves (B9)	
I	High Water Table (A	2)		1, 2, <del>1</del> 0, 0			(INEIXAI, 2, 4		
;	Saturation (A3)			Salt Crust	(B11)		Drainage Patte	erns (B10)	
	water Marks (B1) Sodimont Doposits (I	B2)		Aquatic in	Sulfide Oder (C1)		Dry-Season W	ible on Aprial	2) Umagany (C0
`	Drift Deposits (B3)	DZ)			Suilide Odol (CT)	a Livina Roots (C3)	Saturation vis	Die on Aeria	inagery (Ca
	Algel Mat or Crust (B	4)		Oxidized I	of Reduced Iron (		GeoInorphic P	ard $(D3)$	
"	Iron Deposits (B5)			Recent Irc	on Reduction in Pla	owed Soils (C6)	Eac-Neutral Te	est (D5)	
	Surface Soil Cracks	(B6)		Stunted or	Stressed Plants (	D1) <b>(LRR A)</b>	Raised Ant Mo	ounds (D6) <b>(L</b>	RR A)
	Inundation Visible on	Aerial Imag	jery (B7)	Other (Exp	olain in Remarks)		Frost-Heave H	lummocks (D	)7)
:	Sparsely Vegetated	Concave Su	rface (B8)		,				,
Field Obser	vations:								
Surface Water	Present? Yes		No X	Depth (inches):	n/a				
Water Table P	resent? Yes		No X	Depth (inches):	>14	Wetland Hyd	rology Present?		
Saturation Pres	sent? Yes		No X	Depth (inches):	>14		Yes	No	х
(includes capillar	y fringe)								
Describe Reco	rded Data (stream g	auge, monit	oring well, aerial ph	otos, previous inspec	ctions), if available	:			
Remarks:									

v	NETLAND	DETER	MINATIO	N DATA FOF	M - Wester؟	rn Mountains, Vall	leys, and Coa	st Region	
oject/Site:	N Pek	kin Road		City/County:	Wood	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	11/2	3/2022
plicant/Owner:	Trammell	Crow Con	npany			State:	WA	Sampling Point:	6
estigator(s):		MS/TF		Section, To	wnship, Range:	Sectio	n 14, Township 5	N, Range 1W	
ndform (hillslope,	terrace, etc.:)		Flat		Local relief (con	cave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	1%
pregion (LRR):		LRR A	۱ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	Lat:	45.901	9 Long:	-122.7636	Datum:	WGS84
I Map Unit Name:	:		Newberg f	ine sandy loam	1	NWI Cla	ssification:		
e climatic/hydrolog	gic conditions or	n the site typ	pical for this tin	ne of year?	Yes	No	(if no, exp	lain in Remarks)	
e vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil N	or Hyd	drology <u>N</u>	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstand	ces" present? (Y/N)	<u> </u>	
e vegetation N	Soil N	or Hyd	drology <u>N</u>	naturally probler	natic? If needed,	explain any answers in Re	marks.)		
	FINDINGS	– Attack	h site man	showing sam	nling point l	ocations transects	important feat	tures etc	
drophytic Vegetati	ion Present?	Yes	X Nr				, important iou		
/dric Soil Present?		Yes —	No	x	Is Sampled Are	ea within A2 Yes		No X	
etland Hydrology F	Present?	Yes	Nc		a wellali	ur		<u></u>	
marks:				<u> </u>					
inano.									
EGETATION ·	- Use scien	tific nam	ies of plan	ts.					
			absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wor	ksheet:		
ee Stratum (plo	it size:	)	% cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Spe	ries		
<u> </u>		/				That are OBL. FACW. or	FAC:	1	(A)
						Total Number of Dominar	ıt		
						Species Across All Strata	:	1	(B)
			0	= Total Cover					
pling/Shrub Stratu	um (plot size	e:	)			Percent of Dominant Spe	cies		
						That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	100%	(A/B)
						Prevalence Index Wo	orksheet:		
						OBL Species	<u>wuitipiy b</u>	<u>y:</u> 0	
			0	= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 =	0	
						FAC Species	x 3 =	0	
erb Stratum (plo	ot size:	<b>5</b> )				FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
Lolium peren	nne		65	X	FAC	UPL Species	x 5 =	0	
						Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	0	(B)
						Drovalance Indox -	2/4 -	#DIV/01	
						Flevalence index -L			
						Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion Indicators:		
							1- Rapid Test for Hyd	rophytic Vegetatio	n
						<b>X</b>	2- Dominance Test is	>50%	
			65	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence Index is	$\leq 3.0^1$	
	(plot sizo:		)				4-Morphological Adap	tations' (provide s	supporting
oody Vine Stratum			_)				ata in Remarks or or	1 a separate sheet	:)
						`	Problematic Hydrophy	uiai Fiants vtic Vegetation ¹ (F	volain)
			0	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hvdric soil a	nd wetland hydrology	must be present.	unless
						disturbed or problematic.			
						Hydrophytic			
Dava Organistic Li	ark Ctrations	-	16			Vegetation	Vac V	N -	

SOIL			PH	s #	7645				Sampling Poi	nt:	6
Profile Descri	ption: (Describe to	the depth r	needed to	document t	he indicato	or or confi	rm the absen	ce of indicators.)			
Depth	Matrix				Redox Fe	atures	2				
(Inches)	Color (moist)		Color (	noist)	%	Туре'	Loc	Texture	Ren	narks	
0-11	10YR 3/3	100						Silt Loam			
11-16	10YR 3/2	100						Fine Sandy Loam			
¹ Type: C=Con	centration D=Deple	tion RM=Re	educed Ma	trix CS=Cov	ered or Coa	ated Sand	Grains		² Location: PL=Pore Lining	M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (App	licable to	all LRRs	unless of	therwise	noted.)		Indica	ators for Problematic	Hvdric Soils	s ³ :
	Histosol (A1)			, unicee e	San	dy Redox	(85)	indict	2 cm Muck	(A10)	
	Histosof (AT)	<b>`</b>			San		(33)		2 cill Muck	(AIU) Motorial (TE2)	N N
		)			Suip		X(30)				) - (TE40)
	Black Histic (A3)	0			Loai	ту миску		except MLRA 1)	Very Shallo	W Dark Surfac	e (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)			Loai	my Gleyec	Matrix (F2)		Other (expla	ain in Remarks	5)
	Depleted Below Dar	k Surface (A	A11)		Dep	leted Matr	ix (F3)				
	Thick Dark Surface	(A12)			Red	ox Dark S	urface (F6)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic	vegetation and	d wetland
	Sandy Mucky Miner	al (S1)			Dep	leted Dark	Surface (F7)		hydrology must be prese	nt, unless dist	urbed or
	Sandy Gleyed Matri	x (S4)			Red	ox Depres	sions (F8)		probler	natic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if present	):									
Type:											
Depth (inches	s):							Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes	No	Х
Remarks:											
HYDROLO	GY										
Wetland Hy	drology Indicato	ors:									
Primary India	cators (minimum	of one req	uired; ch	eck all that	apply)				Secondary Indicators	(2 or more i	required)
	Surface Water (A1)				Wat	er stained	Leaves (B9) (	Except MLRA	Water stain	ed Leaves (B9	9)
	High Water Table (A	<b>\</b> 2)			1, 2,	4A, and	4B)		(MLRA1, 2	, 4A, and 4B)	
	Saturation (A3)				Salt	Crust (B1	1)		Drainage Pa	atterns (B10)	
	Water Marks (B1)				Aqu	atic Invert	ebrates (B13)		Dry-Season	Water Table	(C2)
	Sediment Deposits	(B2)			Hyd	rogen Sulf	fide Odor (C1)		Saturation \	/isible on Aeria	al Imagery (C9)
	Drift Deposits (B3)				Oxic	lized Rhiz	ospheres alon	g Living Roots (C3)	Geomorphi	Position (D2)	)
	Algal Mat or Crust (I	B4)			Pres	sence of R	educed Iron (	C4)	Shallow Aq	uitard (D3)	
	Iron Deposits (B5)				Rec	ent Iron R	eduction in Plo	owed Soils (C6)	Fac-Neutral	Test (D5)	
	Surface Soil Cracks	(B6)			Stur	nted or Str	essed Plants (	(D1) <b>(LRR A)</b>	Raised Ant	Mounds (D6)	(LRR A)
	Inundation Visible o	n Aerial Ima	gery (B7)		Othe	er (Explair	in Remarks)		Frost-Heave	e Hummocks (	D7)
	Sparsely Vegetated	Concave Su	urface (B8)								
Field Obser	vations:										
Surface Water	Present? Yes		No	х	Depth (incl	nes):	n/a				
Water Table P	resent? Yes		No	x	Depth (incl	nes):	>16	Wetland Hvd	rology Present?		
Saturation Pre	sent? Yes		No	x	Depth (incl	nes):	>16		Yes	No	х
(includes capillar	ry fringe)				1 (	, <u> </u>	-				
Describe Reco	orded Data (stream g	gauge, monit	toring well,	aerial photo	s, previous	inspection	ıs), if available	:			
Remarks:											

N		RMINATION		RM - Weste	rn Mountains \	/allevs an	d Coast	PHS#_	7645
Project/Site:	N Pekin Road		City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Samp	Sampling Date:		2022
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell Crow Co	mpany			Sta	te: WA	S	ampling Point:	7
Investigator(s):	CT/TF		Section, To	wnship, Range:		Sect. 2	3, T 5N, R 1	w	
Landform (hillslope,	terrace, etc.:)	depressio	- ´	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none):	cor	icave	Slope (%):	1%
Subregion (LRR):		4	Lat:	45.908	35 Loi	ng: -122	2.7624	–	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name	:	Caples si	- Ity clay loam		NWI	Classification:		PFOA	
Are climatic/hydroloc	gic conditions on the site ty	pical for this time	e of year?	Yes	x	No	(if no, explair	n in Remarks)	
Are vegetation N	I Soil <b>N</b> or Hy	drology N	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circums	stances" preser	t? (Y/N)	Ŷ	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil N or Hy	vdrology N	naturally probler	natic? If needed	, explain any answers i	n Remarks.)			
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS - Attac	h site map s	showing sam	pling point	locations, transe	cts, import	ant featur	es, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetati	tion Present? Yes	X No							
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X No		a Wetlar	ea within id?	′es X	No	D	
Wetland Hydrology F	Present? Yes	X No							
Remarks:									
VEGETATION ·	<ul> <li>Use scientific nan</li> </ul>	nes of plant	S.						
		absolute % cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test	worksheet:			
Tree Stratum (plo	ot size: <b>30</b> )				Number of Dominant	Species			
1 Populus bals	samifera	30	X	FAC	That are OBL, FACW	, or FAC:		2 (	(A)
2									
3					Total Number of Dom	ninant			
4					Species Across All St	trata:		2(	В)
		30	= Total Cover						
Sapling/Shrub Stratu	um (plot size: 15	_)			Percent of Dominant	Species			
1 Cornus alba			<u> </u>	FACW	That are OBL, FACW	, or FAC:	10	0%	A/B)
2 Rubus armer	niacus	1	<u> </u>	FAC	Brovalance Index	Workshoot			
3 					Total % Cover of	worksneet:	Multiply by:		
5					OBL Species		x 1 =	- 0	
		71	= Total Cover		FACW species		x 2 =	0	
					FAC Species		x 3 =	0	
Herb Stratum (plo	ot size:)				FACU Species		x 4 =	0	
1					UPL Species		x 5 =	0	D)
2					Column Totals		(A)	(	В)
4					Prevalence Inde	ex =B/A =	#D	IV/0!	
5									
6					Hydrophytic Vege	etation Indic	ators:		
7						1- Rapid Te	est for Hydrop	hytic Vegetation	
8					<u> </u>	2- Dominar	ice Test is >5	0%	
		0	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence	ce Index is ≤ 3	3.0 ¹	
Woody Vine Stret	o (plot size: 5	)				4-Morpholo	gical Adaptati	ions' (provide si	upporting
1 Rubus ursini		-′ 1		FACU		5- Wetland	Non-Vascula	separate sneet) r Plants ¹	
2		<u> </u>				Problematio	Hydrophytic	Vegetation ¹ (Ex	plain)
		1	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric s	oil and wetland	hydrology mu	ust be present, u	inless
					disturbed or problema	atic.	27	- /	
% Bare Ground in H	lerh Stratum	00			Hydrophytic Vegetation	۷۵۹	x	No	
					Present?	163			
Remarks:									

SOIL			PHS #	764	45			Sampling Point: 7
Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to docume	nt the indic	ator or co	nfirm the abser	ce of indicators.)	
Depth (In share)	Matrix	0/		Redox	Features	1 2	Tautura	Demerke
(incries)				70	Туре	LOC		Remarks
/	7.51R 3/2	-100						
/-12	7.5YR 3/2	90	7.5YR 4/6				Silt Loam	
			7.5YR 4/6	5	<u> </u>	M	Silt Loam	Medium
12-18	7.5YR 3/2	80	7.5YR 4/4	15	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	Coarse
			7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M		Medium
						·		
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Deplet	on, RM=R	educed Matrix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated Sar	nd Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Appl	icable to	all LRRs, unless	s otherwis	se noted.	)	Indica	ators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
	Histosol (A1)				Sandy Redo	ox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)
	Histic Epipedon (A2)				Stripped Ma	ıtrix (S6)		Red Parent Material (TF2)
	Black Histic (A3)			L	_oamy Mucl	ky Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)		ı	_oamv Glev	ed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in Remarks)
	Depleted Below Dark	, Surface (	A11)	'	Depleted M	atrix (E3)		
			ATT)	'				
	INICK Dark Surface (	A12)		<b>^</b> _	Redox Dark	Surrace (F6)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland
	Sandy Mucky Minera	l (S1)		[	Depleted Da	ark Surface (F7)		hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	(S4)		F	Redox Depr	essions (F8)		problematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if present)	:						
Type [.]								
Denth (inche	z).				-		Hydric Soil Pres	ant? Yas X No
Bopar (mono					-			
HYDROLC Wetland Hy	IGY drology Indicator	′s:						
Primary Indi	cators (minimum o	of one rec	quired; check all th	nat apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
	Surface Water (A1)			١	Water staine	ed Leaves (B9)	Except MLRA	Water stained Leaves (B9)
	High Water Table (A	2)		1	1, 2, 4A, an	d 4B)		(MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
	Saturation (A3)	,		S	Salt Crust (E	311)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
	Water Marks (B1)				Aquatic Inve	ertebrates (B13)		Drv-Season Water Table (C2)
	Sediment Deposits (	B2)			Hydrogen S	ulfide Odor (C1)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9
	Drift Deposits (B3)	<i></i> )		<u> </u>	Dvidized Rh		a Livina Roots (C3)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
	Algel Met er Cruet (P	4)				E Deduced Iron (		Shallow Aquitard (D2)
		4)		'		Reduced IIOII (	04)	Shallow Aquitard (DS)
	Iron Deposits (B5)	(5.0)		r				
	Surface Soil Cracks	(B6)			Stunted or S	stressed Plants	D1) (LRR A)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
	Inundation Visible on	Aerial Ima	agery (B7)	(	Other (Expla	ain in Remarks)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
	Sparsely Vegetated	Concave S	urface (B8)					
Field Obser	vations:							
Surface Wate	Present? Yes		NoX	Depth (	(inches):	N/A		
Water Table F	Present? Yes		No X	Depth (	(inches):	>18	Wetland Hvd	rology Present?
Saturation Pre	sent? Yes		No X	Depth (	(inches):	>18		Yes X No
(includes capilla	iy ininge)							
Describe Reco	orded Data (stream g	auge, mon	itoring well, aerial ph	otos, previo	ous inspecti	ons), if available	:	
Remarks:								

Ň	VETI AND DET	FRMINATION		RM - Weste	rn Mountains, Vall	evs and Coas	PHS#_	7645
Proiect/Site:	N Pekin Ro	ad	Citv/Countv:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	e: <b>12/6/2022</b>	
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell Crow	Company	- , - ,		State:	WA	Sampling Point:	8
nvestigator(s):	TF/C	<u>т</u>	Section. To	wnship, Range:		Sect. 23. T 5N. R	1W _	-
andform (hillslope	terrace etc.)	Slope	-	Local relief (cor	cave convex none).	none	Slope (%)	2%
Subregion (LRR):	LR	RA	Lat:	45.908		-122.7624	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Man I Init Name		Canles si	- Ity clay loam		NWI Clas	sification:		
		ite typical for this time	a of year?	Ves	No		in in Remarks)	
	Soil <b>N</b>	r Hydrology <b>N</b>	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstanc	(II NO, explo	Y	
Are vegetation N	Soil <b>N</b> o	r Hydrology N	naturally probler	natic? If needed	, explain any answers in Re	marks.)	<u> </u>	
		tach sito man s	-	nling point	locations transacts	important foat	uros oto	
lydrophytic Vegetat	ion Present? Yes	X No	snowing san				1103, 610.	
lydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	X	Is Sampled Ar	ea within Magazian Yes	1	No X	
Vetland Hvdrology F	Present? Yes	No	X		_			
emarks:								
EGETATION	- Use scientific r	names of plants	s.					
		absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wor	ksheet:		
		% cover	Species?	Status				
ree Stratum (plo	ot size: 30	)			Number of Dominant Spe	cies		
Populus bals	amifera	70	<u> </u>	FAC	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	3(	A)
3					Total Number of Dominan	t	<b>F</b>	D)
•			- Total Caver		Species Across All Strata:		5(	D)
			= Total Cover					
apling/Shrub Stratu	<u>um</u> (plot size: <u>1</u>	5)			Percent of Dominant Spec	cies		
Cornus alba			<u> </u>		That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	60% (	А/В)
<u>Crataegus do</u>	bugiasii	20	<u> </u>	FAC	Brovalance Index Wa	rkahaati		
, 1					Total % Cover of	Multiply by:		
5					OBL Species	<u>watapiy by:</u> x 1 =	— o	
		50	= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 =	0	
					FAC Species	x 3 =	0	
<u>erb Stratum</u> (plo	ot size: 5	)			FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
Polystichum	munitum	5	<u> </u>	FACU	UPL Species	x 5 =	0	
					Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	<b>0</b> (	В)
·					Prevalence Index =E	3/A = <b>#</b>	DIV/0!	
						on Indicators		
						- Rapid Test for Hydro	nhytic Vocatation	
3					<b>X</b>	- Dominance Test is >	50%	
		5	= Total Cover			- Prevalence Index is s	3.0 ¹	
					4	-Morphological Adapta	ations ¹ (provide su	upporting
oody Vine Stratum	n (plot size:	5)			c	lata in Remarks or on	a separate sheet)	
Rubus ursinu	us	80	<u> </u>	FACU	5	5- Wetland Non-Vascu	lar Plants ¹	
2 Hedera helix		10		FACU	F	Problematic Hydrophyt	c Vegetation ¹ (Ex	plain)
		90	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and disturbed or problematic	nd wetland hydrology r	nust be present, ι	inless
					Invulopilyuc			
Bare Ground in H	erb Stratum	85			Vegetation	Yes X	No	

	PHS # _	7645		Sampling Point:	8
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth	needed to docume	nt the indicator or confirm t	the absence of indicators.)		
Depth Matrix		Redox Features	Lee ² Testure	Demeric	
	Color (moist)	<u>%</u> Type	Loc Texture	Remarks	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$-\frac{10-16}{$	10YR 3/4				
		<u> </u>			
		<u> </u>			
		<u> </u>			
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=0	Covered or Coated Sand Grai	ins.	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to	all LRRs, unless	s otherwise noted.)	Indica	ators for Problematic Hydric So	IIS":
Histosol (A1)		Sandy Redox (S5)	)	2 cm Muck (A10)	
Histic Epipedon (A2)		Stripped Matrix (S	6)	Red Parent Material (TF	2)
Black Histic (A3)		Loamy Mucky Min	eral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surfa	ace (TF12)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed Ma	trix (F2)	Other (explain in Remar	ks)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A	(11)	Depleted Matrix (F	-3)		
Thick Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Surfac	ce (F6)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vogotation a	and wotland
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark Su	rface (F7)	hydrology must be present, unless di	sturbed or
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depression	ns (F8)	problematic.	
Restrictive Layer (if present):					
Туре:					
Depth (inches):			Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes No	х
Remarks:					
HYDROLOGY					
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:					
Primary Indicators (minimum of one req	uirad, abaal, all th				
Surface Water (A1)	ulled, check all tr	at apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more	e required)
	uired, check all tr	at apply) Water stained Lea	ives (B9) (Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I	e required) 39)
High Water Table (A2)		at apply) Water stained Lea 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	ives (B9) (Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E	e required) 39) <b>3)</b>
High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3)	uneo, check an u	hat apply) Water stained Lea 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11)	ives (B9) (Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10)	e required) 39) <b>3)</b>
High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1)	uneo, check an u	mat apply)           Water stained Lea           1, 2, 4A, and 4B)           Salt Crust (B11)           Aquatic Invertebra	ives (B9) (Except MLRA ites (B13)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E Drainage Patterns (B10 Dry-Season Water Tabl	e required) 39) 3) ) e (C2)
High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2)	ured, check ar ti	Mat apply) Water stained Lea 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebra Hydrogen Sulfide (	ives (B9) <b>(Except MLRA</b> ites (B13) Odor (C1)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E Drainage Patterns (B10 Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae	e required) 39) 3) ) e (C2) erial Imagery (C9)
High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3)	ured, check ar tr	Mat apply) Water stained Lea 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebra Hydrogen Sulfide ( Oxidized Rhizosph	ives (B9) <b>(Except MLRA</b> ites (B13) Odor (C1) neres along Living Roots (C3)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E Drainage Patterns (B10 Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D	e required) 39) 3) ) e (C2) erial Imagery (C9) 2)
High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	ured, check ar ti	Mat apply) Water stained Lea I, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebra Hydrogen Sulfide G Oxidized Rhizosph Presence of Redu	ttes (B9) <b>(Except MLRA</b> ttes (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D) Shallow Aquitard (D3)	e required) 39) 3) ) e (C2) arial Imagery (C9) 2)
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)	ured, check ar ti	At apply) Water stained Lea 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebra Hydrogen Sulfide ( Oxidized Rhizosph Presence of Reduc	ttes (B9) <b>(Except MLRA</b> ttes (B13) Odor (C1) neres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E Drainage Patterns (B10 Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5)	e required) 39) 3) ) e (C2) rial Imagery (C9) 2)
High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	ured, check ar t	At apply) Water stained Lea I, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebra Hydrogen Sulfide 0 Oxidized Rhizosph Presence of Reduc Recent Iron Reduc Stunted or Stresse	ttes (B9) <b>(Except MLRA</b> ttes (B13) Odor (C1) neres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ed Plants (D1) <b>(LRR A)</b>	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E Drainage Patterns (B10 Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6	e required) 39) 3) e (C2) erial Imagery (C9) 2) (LRR A)
High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima	jery (B7)	Water stained Lea 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebra Hydrogen Sulfide ( Oxidized Rhizosph Presence of Redu Recent Iron Reduc Stunted or Stresse Other (Explain in F	ives (B9) <b>(Except MLRA</b> tes (B13) Odor (C1) neres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ed Plants (D1) <b>(LRR A)</b> Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) Frost-Heave Hummocks	e required) 39) 3) e (C2) erial Imagery (C9) 2) (LRR A) s (D7)
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave So	gery (B7) Irface (B8)	At apply) Water stained Lea 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebra Hydrogen Sulfide ( Oxidized Rhizosph Presence of Reduc Recent Iron Reduc Stunted or Stresse Other (Explain in F	ives (B9) <b>(Except MLRA</b> ites (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ed Plants (D1) <b>(LRR A)</b> Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) Frost-Heave Hummocks	e required) 39) 3) e (C2) brial Imagery (C9) (LRR A) s (D7)
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su	gery (B7) Irface (B8)	At apply) Water stained Lea 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebra Hydrogen Sulfide 0 Oxidized Rhizosph Presence of Reduc Recent Iron Reduc Stunted or Stresse Other (Explain in F	ives (B9) <b>(Except MLRA</b> Ites (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ed Plants (D1) <b>(LRR A)</b> Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E Drainage Patterns (B10 Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6 Frost-Heave Hummocks	e required) 39) 3) e (C2) rial Imagery (C9) 2) (LRR A) s (D7)
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave St         Field Observations:         Surface Water Present? Yes	gery (B7) Irface (B8)	At apply) Water stained Lea 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebra Hydrogen Sulfide 0 Oxidized Rhizosph Presence of Reduc Recent Iron Reduc Stunted or Stresse Other (Explain in F	ives (B9) <b>(Except MLRA</b> ites (B13) Odor (C1) neres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ed Plants (D1) <b>(LRR A)</b> Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E Drainage Patterns (B10 Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) Frost-Heave Hummocks	e required) 39) 3) e (C2) erial Imagery (C9) 2) (LRR A) s (D7)
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave So         Field Observations:         Surface Water Present? Yes         Water Table Present? Yes	gery (B7) Irface (B8) No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>	mat apply)         Water stained Lea         1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Salt Crust (B11)         Aquatic Invertebra         Hydrogen Sulfide (         Oxidized Rhizosph         Presence of Reduct         Recent Iron Reduct         Stunted or Stresse         Other (Explain in F         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):	tes (B9) (Except MLRA tes (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ad Plants (D1) (LRR A) Remarks) >16 Wetland Hyd	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) Frost-Heave Hummocks	e required) 39) 3) e (C2) arial Imagery (C9) 2) (LRR A) s (D7)
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave St         Field Observations:         Surface Water Present? Yes         Water Table Present? Yes         Saturation Present? Yes         Saturation Present? Yes	gery (B7) Irface (B8) No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>	mat apply)         Water stained Lea         1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Salt Crust (B11)         Aquatic Invertebra         Hydrogen Sulfide (         Oxidized Rhizosph         Presence of Reduct         Recent Iron Reduct         Stunted or Stresse         Other (Explain in F         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):	Aves (B9) (Except MLRA Ites (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Remarks) >16 >16 Wetland Hyd	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) Frost-Heave Hummocks	<b>e required)</b> 39) 3) e (C2) srial Imagery (C9) (LRR A) s (D7) X
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave St         Field Observations:         Surface Water Present? Yes         Water Table Present? Yes         (includes capillary fringe)	gery (B7) Irface (B8) No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>	Mater stained Lea         1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Salt Crust (B11)         Aquatic Invertebra         Hydrogen Sulfide (         Oxidized Rhizosph         Presence of Reduct         Recent Iron Reduct         Stunted or Stresse         Other (Explain in F         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):	Aves (B9) (Except MLRA tes (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ad Plants (D1) (LRR A) Remarks) >16 >16 Yetland Hyd	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) Frost-Heave Hummocks	<u>e required)</u> 39) 3) e (C2) erial Imagery (C9) 2) (LRR A) s (D7) X
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su         Field Observations:         Surface Water Present? Yes         Water Table Present? Yes         Gaturation Present? Yes         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	gery (B7) Irface (B8) No X No X No X oring well, aerial ph	mat apply)         Water stained Lea         1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Salt Crust (B11)         Aquatic Invertebra         Hydrogen Sulfide (         Oxidized Rhizosph         Presence of Reduct         Recent Iron Reduct         Stunted or Stresse         Other (Explain in F         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         State (inches):         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):	Aves (B9) (Except MLRA Ites (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) Ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ad Plants (D1) (LRR A) Remarks) >16 >16 State State S	Secondary Indicators (2 or more         Water stained Leaves (I         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E         Drainage Patterns (B10         Dry-Season Water Table         Saturation Visible on Ae         Geomorphic Position (D         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6)         Frost-Heave Hummocks         Yes         Yes	<u>e required)</u> 39) 3) e (C2) brial Imagery (C9) (2) (LRR A) s (D7) X
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave St         Field Observations:         Surface Water Present? Yes         Water Table Present? Yes         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	gery (B7) Irface (B8) No X No X No X oring well, aerial ph	mat apply)         Water stained Lea         1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Salt Crust (B11)         Aquatic Invertebra         Hydrogen Sulfide (         Oxidized Rhizospt         Presence of Reduct         Stunted or Stresse         Other (Explain in F         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Dotos, previous inspections), if	Aves (B9) (Except MLRA Ites (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ad Plants (D1) (LRR A) Remarks) >16 >16 Yetland Hyd f available:	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) Frost-Heave Hummocks	<u>e required)</u> 39) 3) e (C2) brial Imagery (C9) (LRR A) s (D7) X
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave So         Field Observations:         Surface Water Present? Yes         Water Table Present? Yes         Saturation Present? Yes         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, moni	gery (B7) Irface (B8) No X No X No X oring well, aerial ph	mat apply)         Water stained Lea         1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Salt Crust (B11)         Aquatic Invertebra         Hydrogen Sulfide (         Oxidized Rhizosph         Presence of Reduct         Recent Iron Reduct         Stunted or Stresse         Other (Explain in F         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):	Aves (B9) (Except MLRA Ites (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Remarks) >16 >16 >16 f available:	Secondary Indicators (2 or more         Water stained Leaves (I         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E         Drainage Patterns (B10         Dry-Season Water Tabl         Saturation Visible on Ae         Geomorphic Position (D         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6)         Frost-Heave Hummocks	<u>e required)</u> 39) 3) e (C2) rial Imagery (C9) 2) (LRR A) s (D7) X
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave Su         Field Observations:         Surface Water Present? Yes         Water Table Present? Yes         Saturation Present? Yes         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit         Remarks:	gery (B7) Irface (B8) No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> ioring well, aerial ph	mat apply)         Water stained Lea         1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Salt Crust (B11)         Aquatic Invertebra         Hydrogen Sulfide (         Oxidized Rhizosph         Presence of Reduct         Recent Iron Reduct         Stunted or Stresset         Other (Explain in F         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Stores, previous inspections), it	Aves (B9) (Except MLRA Ates (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) Ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ad Plants (D1) (LRR A) Remarks) >16 >16 >16 f available:	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) Frost-Heave Hummocks	<u>e required)</u> 39) 3) e (C2) brial Imagery (C9) (2) (LRR A) s (D7) X
High Water Table (A2)         Saturation (A3)         Water Marks (B1)         Sediment Deposits (B2)         Drift Deposits (B3)         Algal Mat or Crust (B4)         Iron Deposits (B5)         Surface Soil Cracks (B6)         Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima         Sparsely Vegetated Concave St         Field Observations:         Surface Water Present? Yes         Water Table Present? Yes         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, moni         Remarks:	gery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X ioring well, aerial ph	mat apply)         Water stained Lea         1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Salt Crust (B11)         Aquatic Invertebra         Hydrogen Sulfide (Invertebra         Oxidized Rhizosph         Presence of Reduct         Recent Iron Reduct         Stunted or Stresse         Other (Explain in F         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Depth (inches):         Store, previous inspections), if	Aves (B9) (Except MLRA Ites (B13) Odor (C1) heres along Living Roots (C3) ced Iron (C4) ction in Plowed Soils (C6) ad Plants (D1) (LRR A) Remarks) >16 >16 Yetland Hyd f available:	Secondary Indicators (2 or more Water stained Leaves (I (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4E) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Tabl Saturation Visible on Ae Geomorphic Position (D Shallow Aquitard (D3) Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) Frost-Heave Hummocks	<u>e required)</u> 39) 3) e (C2) brial Imagery (C9) (2) (LRR A) s (D7) X

	WETLAND DETE	RMINATIO	N DATA FOR	RM - Weste	rn Mountains, Val	levs, and Coas	PHS # _	7645
Project/Site:	N Pekin Road		City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	12/6/	2022
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell Crow Co	mpany			State:	WA	Sampling Point:	9
Investigator(s):	CT/TF		Section, To	wnship, Range:		Sect. 13, T 5N, F	- 1W	
Landform (hillslope,	terrace, etc.:)	Swale/Depre	– ssion	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none):	concave	Slope (%):	3%
Subregion (LRR):	LRR	A .	Lat:	45.912	22 Long:	-122.7615	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name		Clato	silt loam		NWI Cla	ssification:	PFOA	
Are climatic/hydrolo	gic conditions on the site t	ypical for this tim	e of year?	Yes	X No	(if no, expl	ain in Remarks)	
Are vegetation	Soil N or H	ydrology N	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstand	ces" present? (Y/N)	Y	
Are vegetation	Soil N or H	ydrology N	naturally probler	matic? If needed	, explain any answers in Re	marks.)		
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS – Attac	ch site map	showing sam	pling point	locations, transects	, important feat	ures, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegeta	tion Present? Yes	X No		ls Sampled Ar	oo within			
Hydric Soil Present	? Yes	X No		a Wetlar	nd? Yes_	x	No	
Wetland Hydrology	Present? Yes	X No						
Remarks:								
VEGETATION	- Use scientific nai	mes of plant	S.	les d'a stan	Dentinen Test	J b 4.		
		absolute % cover	Dominant Species?	Status	Dominance Test wor	KSNeet:		
Tree Stratum (plo	ot size:	)	<u> </u>		Number of Dominant Spe	cies		
1					That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	1 (	A)
2								
3					Total Number of Dominar	nt		
4					Species Across All Strata	:	1(	B)
		0	= Total Cover					
Sapling/Shrub Strat	um (plot size: 15	_)			Percent of Dominant Spe	cies		
1 Cornus alba		75	<u> </u>	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	<u>100%</u> (	A/B)
2					Prevalence Index W	orkshoot.		
4					Total % Cover of	Multiply by	:	
5					OBL Species	x 1 =	0	
		75	= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 =	0	
					FAC Species	x 3 =	0	
Herb Stratum (plo		)			FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
2					OPL Species -	x 5 =		B)
3					Column rotais	(A)	(	5)
4					Prevalence Index =	3/A = #	DIV/0!	
5								
6					Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion Indicators:		
7					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1- Rapid Test for Hydr	ophytic Vegetation	
8					<u> </u>	2- Dominance Test is :	>50%	
		0	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence Index is 4-Morphological Adapt	≤ 3.0' ations ¹ (provide si	Innorting
Woody Vine Stratur	m (plot size:	)				data in Remarks or on	a separate sheet)	pporting
1						5- Wetland Non-Vascu	llar Plants ¹	
2						Problematic Hydrophy	tic Vegetation ¹ (Ex	plain)
		0	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil a disturbed or problematic.	nd wetland hydrology	must be present, ι	Inless
					Hydrophytic			
% Bare Ground in F	lerb Stratum	100			Vegetation	Yes X	No	
Remarks:					וופסטוני			

SOIL			PHS #	764	5	_		Sampling Point: 9
Profile Descri	iption: (Describe to Matrix	the depth	needed to docume	ent the indica Redox	ator or co Features	nfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)	
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-2	7.5YR 2.5/2	100	i				Silt Loam	
2-4	7.5YR 3/2	98	7.5YR 4/4	2	с	M	Silt Loam	Fine
4-18	7.5YR 4/2	90	7.5YR 4/6	10	С	M	Silt Loam	Medium
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Depleti	on, RM=R	educed Matrix, CS=	Covered or C	Coated Sa	nd Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Appl	icable to	all LRRs, unles	s otherwis	e noted	.)	Indic	ators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
	Histosol (A1)			S	andy Red	ox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)
	Histic Epipedon (A2)			S	tripped Ma	atrix (S6)		Red Parent Material (TF2)
	Black Histic (A3)			L	oamv Muo	kv Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A4	1)			, namy Glev	ved Matrix (F2)	,	Other (explain in Remarks)
	Depleted Below Dark	· / : Surface ()	A11)		enleted M	atrix (E3)		
	Thick Dark Surface (	A 12)		<b>v</b>	odov Dor	(FG)		
		A 12)						³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland
		(84)		D				hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	(54)		R	edox Dep	ressions (F8)	1	problematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if present)	:						
Type:								
Depth (inches	s):						Hydric Soil Pre	sent? Yes X No
Remarks:								
HYDROLO	IGY							
wetland Hy	drology indicator	'S:						
Primary Indi	cators (minimum c	of one req	uired; check all t	hat apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
	Surface Water (A1)			N	/ater stain	ed Leaves (B9) (	Except MLRA	Water stained Leaves (B9)
	High Water Table (A	2)		1,	2, 4A, ar	id 4B)		(MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
	Saturation (A3)			S	alt Crust (	B11)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
X	Water Marks (B1)			A	quatic Inv	ertebrates (B13)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	Sediment Deposits (B	32)		н	ydrogen S	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9
	Drift Deposits (B3)			0	xidized R	hizospheres alon	g Living Roots (C3)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)
	Algal Mat or Crust (B	4)		P	resence o	f Reduced Iron (0	C4)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	Iron Deposits (B5)			R	ecent Iror	Reduction in Plo	owed Soils (C6)	X Fac-Neutral Test (D5)
	Surface Soil Cracks (	(B6)		S	tunted or	Stressed Plants (	D1) <b>(LRR A)</b>	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
	Inundation Visible on	Aerial Ima	agery (B7)	0	ther (Expl	ain in Remarks)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
<u> </u>	Sparsely Vegetated (	Concave S	urface (B8)					
Field Obser	vations:							
Surface Water	Present? Yes		No <u>X</u>	Depth (ii	nches):	N/A		
Water Table P	resent? Yes		No X	Depth (ii	nches):	>18	Wetland Hyd	drology Present?
Saturation Pre (includes capilla	sent? Yes ry fringe)		No <u>X</u>	Depth (ii	nches):	>18		Yes X No
Describe Reco	orded Data (stream ga	auge, mon	itoring well, aerial pl	notos, previou	us inspect	ions), if available	:	
Remarks:								

	WETLAND DETI		I DATA FOR	RM - Weste	rn Mountains. Vall	evs. and Coas	PHS # t Region	7645	
Project/Site:	N Pekin Roa	d	City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	12/6/2022		
pplicant/Owner:	Trammell Crow C	Company			State:	WA	Sampling Point:	10	
vestigator(s):	TF/CT		Section. To	wnship. Range:		Sect. 13. T 5N. R	1W		
andform (hillslope	terrace etc.)	Slope	-	Local relief (cor	cave convex none).	none	Slope (%):	5%	
ubregion (LRR):		2 A	Lat [.]	45 912		-122 7615	— Datum:	WGS84	
ablegion (Errit).		Clata	- Lui						
on Map Onit Name	3					(if a sum la			
e climatic/nydrolo		e typical for this time	e or year?	Yes		(If no, expla	ain in Remarks)		
e vegetation			-	urbed ?	Are Normal Circumstance	ces present? (Y/N)			
e vegetation	Soil or	Hydrology	_naturally probler	natic? If needed	, explain any answers in Re	marks.)			
UMMARY OF	FINDINGS – Atta	ach site map s	showing sam	pling point	locations, transects	, important featu	ures, etc.		
drophytic Vegeta	tion Present? Yes	No	X						
dric Soil Present?	7 Yes	No		Is Sampled Ar	ea within Yes		No X		
etland Hydrology	Present? Yes	No	X	a vvetiai	iur				
		10	<u> </u>						
marks:									
EGETATION	- Use scientific na	ames of plants	s.						
		absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wor	ksheet:			
		% cover	Species?	Status					
ee Stratum (plo	ot size: 30	_)			Number of Dominant Spe	cies			
Populus bals	samifera	65	<u> </u>	FAC	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	3	(A)	
					Total Number of Dominar	ht			
					Species Across All Strata	: 	6	(B)	
		65	= Total Cover						
pling/Shrub Strat	um (plot size: 15	)			Percent of Dominant Spe	cies			
Crataegus de	ouglasii	25	<u> </u>	FAC	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	50%	(A/B)	
Symphorical	rpos albus	20	<u> </u>	FACU					
Cornus alba		15	<u> </u>	FACW	Prevalence Index Wo	orksheet:			
					Total % Cover of	Multiply by:			
					OBL Species	x 1 =	0		
		60	= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 =	0		
erb Stratum (pl	ot size: 5	)			FACU Species	x 4 =			
Hedera helix		_′ 	x	FACU	UPL Species	x 5 =	0		
Pteridium ad	nuilinum	20	<u> </u>	FACU	Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	0	(B)	
						(*)		(-)	
					Prevalence Index =	3/A = <b>#</b>	DIV/0!		
					Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion Indicators:			
						1- Rapid Test for Hydro	phytic Vegetation	n	
i					2	2- Dominance Test is >	•50%		
		100	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence Index is s	≤ 3.0 ¹		
	<i></i>	<u>`</u>			4	1-Morphological Adapt	ations' (provide s	upporting	
oody Vine Stratun	<u>n</u> (plot size:	)			0	data in Remarks or on	a separate sheet	)	
						5- Wetland Non-Vascu	lar Plants '		
					F	Problematic Hydrophyt	ic Vegetation ¹ (E	xplain)	
		0	= Total Cover		'Indicators of hydric soil a disturbed or problematic	nd wetland hydrology r	nust be present,	unless	
					Hydrophytic				
Bare Ground in H	lerb Stratum	0			Vegetation	Yes	No	Х	

SOIL			PHS #	7	645	_		Sampling Point:	10
Profile Descri Depth	ption: (Describe to Matrix	the depth	needed to docu	iment the inc	<b>licator or co</b> ox Features	onfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)		
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist	) %	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remark	S
0-10	10YR 2/2	100					Silty Clay Loam		
10-16	10YR 3/2	90	10YR 3/4	10	С	м	Silt Loam	Medium	
17 0.0								2	
Type: C=Cond	centration, D=Deplet	ion, RM=Re	all LPRs up		or Coated Sa	and Grains.	Indica	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, N	l=Matrix.
Hyune Son			all LKK5, ull		Sandy Pod	h <b>y</b> 10y (95)	muice	2 cm Muck (A1)	
	Histic Eninedon (A2)				- Stripped M	lotrix (S6)		2 Chi Muck (Ah	J) terial (TE2)
	Black Histic (A3)				_ Loamy Mu	cky Mineral (E1) (	Avcent MI RA 1)		ark Surface (TE12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)			– Loamy Gle	oved Matrix (F2)	ACCEPT MERA T	Other (explain i	n Remarks)
	Depleted Below Darl	· <i>)</i> k Surface ( <i>)</i>	A11)		- Depleted N	/Journautix (F2)			in tomanoy
	Thick Dark Surface (	(A12)	,		- Redox Dar	k Surface (F6)			
	Sandv Muckv Minera	al (S1)			Depleted D	Dark Surface (F7)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic veg	etation and wetland
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	(S4)			–     ' Redox Dep	pressions (F8)		hydrology must be present, i problemation	Inless disturbed or C.
Restrictive	Layer (if present)	):			-				
Type [.]									
Depth (inches	s):				_		Hvdric Soil Pres	ent? Yes	No X
Pomarka:	·						.,		
HYDROLO	GY								
Wetland Hy	drology Indicato	rs:							
Primary Indi	cators (minimum o	of one req	uired; check a	all that apply	/)			Secondary Indicators (2	or more required)
	Surface Water (A1)				Water stair	ned Leaves (B9) (I nd 4B)	Except MLRA	Water stained L	eaves (B9)
	High Water Table (A	.2)			014 Omi-tu	(044)		(meixer, 2, 4/	(, and 40)
	Saturation (AS)				- Aquatic Inv	(DII) (ertebrates (B13)		Drainage Palle	$\frac{115}{100} (D10)$
	Sediment Deposits (	B2)			- Hvdrogen S	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Saturation Visit	ble on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	Drift Deposits (B3)	,			Oxidized R	hizospheres along	g Living Roots (C3)	X Geomorphic Po	sition (D2)
	Algal Mat or Crust (E	34)			Presence of	of Reduced Iron (C	24)	Shallow Aquitar	⁻ d (D3)
	Iron Deposits (B5)				Recent Iron	n Reduction in Plo	wed Soils (C6)	Fac-Neutral Te	st (D5)
	Surface Soil Cracks	(B6)			_Stunted or	Stressed Plants (I	D1) <b>(LRR A)</b>	Raised Ant Mou	unds (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b>
	Inundation Visible or	n Aerial Ima	igery (B7)		_Other (Exp	lain in Remarks)		Frost-Heave Hu	ımmocks (D7)
	Sparsely Vegetated	Concave S	urface (B8)						
Field Obser	vations:								
Surface Water	Present? Yes		No <u>X</u>	Dept	h (inches):				
Water Table P	resent? Yes		No X	Depti	h (inches):	>16	Wetland Hydr	rology Present?	
(includes capillar	sent? Yes y fringe)			Depti	n (Inches):	>16		res	
Describe Reco	rded Data (stream g	auge, moni	toring well, aeria	al photos, pre	vious inspec	tions), if available:			
Remarks:									

v		RMINATION		RM - Weste	rn Mountains, Val	levs and Coa	PHS # st Region	7645
Project/Site:	N Pekin Road		City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date	: 12/6/	2022
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell Crow Co	ompany			State:	WA	Sampling Point:	11
Investigator(s):	TF/CT		Section, To	wnship, Range:		Sect. 14, T 5N,	R 1W	
Landform (hillslope,	terrace, etc.:)	Slope	_	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none):	concave	Slope (%):	3%
Subregion (LRR):	LRR	A	Lat:	45.91	<b>21</b> Long:	-122.7626	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:	:	Clato	- silt loam		NWI Cla	ssification:	PFOA	
Are climatic/hydrolog	gic conditions on the site t	ypical for this time	e of year?	Yes	X No	(if no, ex	plain in Remarks)	
Are vegetation N	Soil <b>N</b> or H	ydrology N	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstand	ces" present? (Y/N)	Y	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil N or H	ydrology N	naturally probler	matic? If needed	l, explain any answers in Re	emarks.)		
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS - Attac	ch site map s	showing sam	pling point	locations, transects	, important fea	itures, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetati	ion Present? Yes	X No		lo Sompled A	roo within			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X No		a Wetla	nd? Yes	x	No	
Wetland Hydrology F	Present? Yes	X No						
Remarks:								
VEGETATION -	<ul> <li>Use scientific nar</li> </ul>	mes of plants	S.					
		absolute % cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test wor	Ksheet:		
Tree Stratum (plo	t size: 30	)	<u> </u>		Number of Dominant Spe	cies		
1 Salix lasiand	ra	25	X	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	2	(A)
2								
3					Total Number of Dominar	nt		
4					Species Across All Strata	:	2	(B)
		25	= Total Cover					
Sapling/Shrub Stratu	<u>ım</u> (plot size: 15	_)			Percent of Dominant Spe	cies		
1 Cornus alba		60	<u> </u>	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	100%	(A/B)
2 Rubus armen	nacus	10			Prevalence Index Wo	orksheet:		
4					Total % Cover of	Multiply I	ov:	
5					OBL Species	x 1 =	= 0	
		70	= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 =	= 0	
<i>.</i>					FAC Species	x 3 =	= 0	
Herb Stratum (plo		)			FACU Species	x 4 =	=	
2					UPL Species	X5=	= <u> </u>	D)
3					- Column Totals	(<)		0)
4					Prevalence Index =	B/A =	#DIV/0!	
5								
6					Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion Indicators:		
7					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1- Rapid Test for Hy	drophytic Vegetation	
8					<u> </u>	2- Dominance Test i	s >50%	
		0	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence Index i 4-Morphological Ada	s ≤ 3.0' intations ¹ (provide si	innorting
Woody Vine Stratum	(plot size:	)				data in Remarks or c	on a separate sheet)	apporting
1						5- Wetland Non-Vas	cular Plants ¹	
2						Problematic Hydroph	nytic Vegetation ¹ (Ex	plain)
		0	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil a	nd wetland hydrolog	y must be present, ι	inless
					aisturbed or problematic.			
1								
% Bare Ground in He	erb Stratum	100			Vegetation	Yes X	No	

SOIL			PHS #	764	5	-		Sampling Point: 11
Profile Descri	iption: (Describe to Matrix	the depth	needed to docume	nt the indica	ator or co	nfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)	
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-7	10YR 2/1	100		·			Silt Loam	
7-12	10YR 4/1	90	10YR 3/6	10	c	M	Silty Clay Loam	Medium
12-16	10VR 4/1	75	10YR 3/6	25	<u>с</u>	M	Silty Clay Loam	Medium-Coarse
			10110 3/0		•			Medium-oourse
				<u> </u>				
				·				
				<u> </u>				
				·				
				·				
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Deplet	ion, RM=R	educed Matrix, CS=0	Covered or C	Coated Sa	nd Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (App	licable to	all LRRs, unless	s otherwis	e noted	.)	Indica	ators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
	Histosol (A1)			s	andy Red	ox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)
	Histic Epipedon (A2)	)		s	tripped Ma	atrix (S6)		Red Parent Material (TF2)
	Black Histic (A3)			L	oamy Muc	ky Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)		L	oamy Gley	ed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in Remarks)
	Depleted Below Dar	k Surface (/	A11)	XD	epleted M	atrix (F3)		
	Thick Dark Surface	(A12)		R	ledox Darl	(Surface (F6)		
	Sandy Mucky Minera	al (S1)		D	epleted D	ark Surface (F7)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland
	Sandy Gleved Matrix	(S4)		R	ledox Dep	ressions (F8)		nydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
Postrictivo	l aver (if present)	· ·				. ,	1	
-	Layer (ii present,	).						
Type:								
Depth (inches	s):						Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks:								
	<b>A</b> )/							
HYDROLO								
wetland Hy	drology indicato	rs:						
Primary Indi	cators (minimum o	of one req	uired; check all th	nat apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
	Surface Water (A1)			V	Vater stain	ed Leaves (B9) <b>(</b>	Except MLRA	Water stained Leaves (B9)
	High Water Table (A	2)		1	, 2, 4A, ar	nd 4B)		(MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
	Saturation (A3)			s	alt Crust (	B11)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
	Water Marks (B1)			A	quatic Inv	ertebrates (B13)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	Sediment Deposits (	B2)		н	lydrogen S	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	Drift Deposits (B3)			C	xidized R	hizospheres alon	g Living Roots (C3)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)
	Algal Mat or Crust (E	34)		P	resence o	f Reduced Iron (0	C4)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	Iron Deposits (B5)			R	ecent Iron	Reduction in Plo	owed Soils (C6)	X Fac-Neutral Test (D5)
	Surface Soil Cracks	(B6)		s	tunted or	Stressed Plants (	D1) <b>(LRR A)</b>	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
	Inundation Visible or	n Aerial Ima	igery (B7)	c	ther (Expl	ain in Remarks)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
X	Sparsely Vegetated	Concave S	urface (B8)					
Field Obser	vations:							
Surface Water	Present? Yes		No X	Depth (i	nches):			
Water Table P	Present? Yes		No X	Denth (i	nches).	>16	Wetland Hvd	rology Present?
Saturation Pre	sent? Ves			Depth (i	nches):	>16		
(includes capilla	ry fringe)			Deptil (i	nones).			
Describe Reco	orded Data (stream o	auge. mon	itoring well. aerial ph	iotos. previo	us inspect	ions), if available	<u>.</u>	
			aona pr	,		,,		
Remarks [.]								
n cilian 3.								

Ň		TERMINATION		RM - Weste	rn Mountains, Vall	levs and Coast	PHS#_	7645
Project/Site:	N Pekin R	oad	City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	12/6/	2022
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell Crov	v Company	- , - ,		State:	WA s	Sampling Point:	12
nvestigator(s):	CT/	TF	Section. To	wnship, Range:		Sect. 14. T 5N. R	1W _	
andform (hillslope	terrace etc.)	Slope	-	Local relief (cor	cave convex none).	none	Slope (%):	5%
Subregion (I RR):	L	RR A	Lat.	45.912		-122.7626	– Datum:	WGS84
Soil Man Linit Nama		Clato	- silt loam		NWI Clar		- none	110004
			sint iodili	Vaa			n in Romarka)	
			oignificantly dist	res		(II NO, explai		
re vegetation N	Soil N	or Hydrology N	naturally probler	natic? If needed	, explain any answers in Re	marks.)	<u> </u>	
		ttach site man s	-	nling noint	locations transacts	important foatu	ras ata	
	rindings – A		nowing san		iocations, transects	, important leatu	ies, elc.	
ludio Coll Dresser	ion Present? Tes			Is Sampled Ar	ea within		- <b>V</b>	
iyaric Soli Present?		s No	<u> </u>	a Wetlar	nd? res_	N	0	
Vetland Hydrology F	Present? Yes	s No	<u> </u>					
≀emarks:								
	- lleo sciontific	names of plants						
LOLIATION	- Ose scientific	absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wor	ksheet [.]		
		% cover	Species?	Status		Noneet.		
ree Stratum (plo	ot size: 30	)			Number of Dominant Spe	cies		
1 Populus bals	samifera	30	<u> </u>	FAC	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	4 (	A)
Quercus gar	ryana	20	<u> </u>	FACU				
Salix lasiand	lra	10		FACW	Total Number of Dominan	t		
4					Species Across All Strata	: 	5 (	B)
		60	= Total Cover					
apling/Shrub Stratu	um (plot size:	<b>15</b> )			Percent of Dominant Spec	cies		
Cornus alba		25	<u> </u>	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC: 8	<b>:0%</b> (	A/B)
<u>Rubus armer</u>	niacus	10	<u> </u>	FAC				
3					Prevalence Index Wo	orksheet:		
+					Total % Cover of	Multiply by:		
,			- Total Cover		EACW species	X 1 -		
					FAC Species	x 2 =	0	
<u>erb Stratum</u> (plo	ot size: 5	)			FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
Urtica dioica		50	<u> </u>	FAC	UPL Species	x 5 =	0	
2					Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	0 (	В)
3								
l					Prevalence Index =E	3/A = <b>#</b>	0IV/0!	
。					Hydrophytic Vegetati	ion Indicators:		
7						1- Rapid Test for Hydro	phytic Vegetation	
3					<b>X</b>	2- Dominance Test is >	50%	
		50	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence Index is ≤	3.0 ¹	
	(-1-4:	E \			4	1-Morphological Adapta	tions ¹ (provide su	ipporting
oody Vine Stratum	<u>1</u> (piot size:	<u>ت</u> )		<b>F</b> 4011		data in Remarks or on a	separate sheet)	
Rubus ursinu	us	2		FACU		o- wetland Non-Vascula	ar Plants'	
<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>			- Total Carra			-roblematic Hydrophytic	vegetation' (Ex	piain) ploss
			- Total Cover		disturbed or problematic.	na weliana nyarology m	usi pe present, u	111855
					Hydrophytic			
					Vegetation	Yes X	No	
Bare Ground in H	lerb Stratum	50			Present?			

SOIL			PH	S #	7645				Sampling Poir	nt:	12
Profile Descri	iption: (Describe to	the depth r	needed to	document t	he indicator of	or confir	m the absen	ce of indicators.)			
Depth	Matrix				Redox Featu	ures					
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (n	noist)	% Ту	pe'	Loc ²	Texture	Ren	narks	
0-3	7.5YR 3/2	100						Silt Loam			
3-20	7.5YR 4/2	100						Silt Loam			
									. <u> </u>		
									- <u></u>		
									- <u></u>		
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Deple	tion, RM=Re	educed Mat	rix, CS=Cov	ered or Coate	d Sand (	Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining	, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (App	licable to	all LRRs	, unless of	therwise no	oted.)		India	cators for Problematic	Hydric Soils	³ :
	Histosol (A1)				Sandy	Redox (	S5)		2 cm Muck	(A10)	
	Histic Epipedon (A2	)			Strippe	ed Matrix	(S6)		Red Parent	Material (TF2)	)
	Black Histic (A3)				Loamy	/ Mucky I	Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow	w Dark Surface	e (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)			Loamy	/ Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Other (expla	in in Remarks	;)
	Depleted Below Dar	k Surface (A	A11)		Deplet	ed Matrix	x (F3)				
	Thick Dark Surface	(A12)			Redox	Dark Su	urface (F6)				
	Sandy Mucky Minera	al (S1)			Deplet	ed Dark	Surface (F7)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic	vegetation and	d wetland urbed or
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	x (S4)			Redox	Depress	sions (F8)		problem	natic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if present	):									
Type:											
Depth (inches	s):							Hydric Soil Pre	sent? Yes	No	х
Remarks:	·							,			
rtomanto.											
HYDROLO	GY										
Wetland Hy	drology Indicato	ors:									
Primary Indi	cators (minimum	of one requ	uired; che	ck all that	apply)				Secondary Indicators	(2 or more r	equired)
	Surface Water (A1)				Water	stained	Leaves (B9) <b>(</b>	Except MLRA	Water staine	ed Leaves (B9	)
	High Water Table (A	<b>\</b> 2)			1, 2, 4	A, and 4	B)		(MLRA1, 2	4A, and 4B)	
	Saturation (A3)				Salt C	rust (B11	1)		Drainage Pa	atterns (B10)	
	Water Marks (B1)				Aquati	c Inverte	brates (B13)		Dry-Season	Water Table (	(C2)
	Sediment Deposits	(B2)			Hydrog	gen Sulfi	de Odor (C1)		Saturation V	isible on Aeria	al Imagery (C9)
	Drift Deposits (B3)				Oxidiz	ed Rhizo	spheres along	g Living Roots (C3)	Geomorphic	Position (D2)	
	Algal Mat or Crust (I	34)			Preser	nce of Re	educed Iron (C	24)	Shallow Aqu	uitard (D3)	
	Iron Deposits (B5)				Recen	t Iron Re	duction in Plo	wed Soils (C6)	Fac-Neutral	Test (D5)	
	Surface Soil Cracks	(B6)			Stunte	d or Stre	essed Plants (	D1) (LRR A)	Raised Ant	Mounds (D6) (	
	Inundation Visible of	n Aeriai Imaç	gery (B7)		Other	(Explain	in Remarks)		Frost-Heave	e Hummocks (	D7)
	Sparsely vegetated	Concave SL	Inace (B8)								
Field Obser	vations:										
Surface Water	Present? Yes		No	<u>x</u>	Depth (inches	s):					
Water Table P	Present? Yes		No	<u>x</u>	Depth (inches	s):	>20	Wetland Hyd	drology Present?		
Saturation Pre (includes capilla	esent? Yes ry fringe)		No	<u>x</u>	Depth (inches	s):	>20		Yes	No	<u>x</u>
Describe Reco	orded Data (stream g	gauge, monit	toring well,	aerial photos	s, previous ins	spections	s), if available	:			
Remarks:											

N		RMINATION		RM - Weste	rn Mountains, Val	levs and Coa	PHS #	7645
Project/Site:		1	City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date	· 12/6	2022
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell Crow C	ompany	ony, oounty.		State:	WA	Sampling Point:	13
Investigator(s):	TF/CT	•	Section To	wnship Range		Sect. 13. T 5N.	R 1W	
Landform (billslope	terrace etc.)	Flat		l ocal relief (cor		none	Slope (%):	1%
Subregion (LRR):		A	Lat:	45.911	10 Long [.]	-122.7601	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Man Unit Name		Clato	 silt loam		NWI Cla	ssification:		
Are climatic/hydrolog	aic conditions on the site	typical for this tim	e of year?	Yes	X No.	(if no, ex	rolain in Remarks)	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil <b>N</b> or F	lydrology N	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstan	ces" present? (Y/N)	Y	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil N or H	lydrology N	naturally proble	matic? If needed	, explain any answers in Re	emarks.)		
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS – Atta	ch site map	showing san	pling point	locations, transects	, important fea	atures, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion Present? Yes	X No	•	1	· · · · · ·	•		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	x	Is Sampled Ar	rea within Yes		No X	
Wetland Hydrology F	Present? Yes	No	X					
Remarks:								
/EGETATION	<ul> <li>Use scientific na</li> </ul>	mes of plant	S.					
		absolute % cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test wor	rksheet:		
<u>Free Stratum</u> (plo	ot size:	)	<u> </u>		Number of Dominant Spe	ecies		
1					That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	1	(A)
2								
3		. <u> </u>			Total Number of Dominar	nt		
4					Species Across All Strata		1	(B)
		0	= Total Cover					
Sapling/Shrub Stratu	um (plot size:	_)			Percent of Dominant Spe	cies		
1					That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	100%	(A/B)
2		·			Drevelan as Index W			
3		·			Total % Cover of	Multiply	b <i>y</i> :	
+ 5					OBL Species	x 1	= <b>0</b>	
		0	= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 :	= 0	
					FAC Species	x 3 :	= 0	
lerb Stratum (plo	ot size: 5	)			FACU Species	x 4 :	= <u> </u>	
1 <u>Schedonorus</u>	s arundinaceus	90	<u> </u>	FAC	UPL Species	x 5 :	= <u>0</u>	-
2		·			Column Totals	(A)	0	В)
۵		·			Prevalence Index =	B/A =	#DIV/01	
5								
6					Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion Indicators:		
7						1- Rapid Test for Hy	drophytic Vegetatior	1
8					<u> </u>	2- Dominance Test i	s >50%	
		90	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence Index	is ≤ 3.0 ¹	
	, (plot size:	)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4-Morphological Ada	aptations' (provide s	upporting
1	<u>    (piot size:</u>	)				5- Wetland Non-Vas	scular Plants ¹	
•		·				Problematic Hvdrop	hytic Vegetation ¹ (E)	(plain)
2		0	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil a	ind wetland hydrolog	y must be present, i	, inless
2					disturbed or problematic	, ,	. ,	
2								
2	erh Stratum	10			Hydrophytic Vegetation	Yee V	No	

I

SOIL			PHS #	764	45	_		Sampling Point:	13
Profile Descri Depth	ption: (Describe to Matrix	the depth	needed to docume	nt the indic Redox	ator or co Features	nfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)		
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-16	10YR 3/2	100					Silt Loam		
16-18	10YR 5/2	95	7.5YR 5/6	5	С	М	Silt Loam	Fine	
						. <u> </u>			
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Deplet	ion, RM=R	educed Matrix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated Sa	nd Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=	Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (App	icable to	all LRRs, unless	s otherwis	se noted.	.)	Indic	ators for Problematic Hydr	ic Soils ³ :
	Histosol (A1)				Sandy Rede	ox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)	
	Histic Epipedon (A2)				Stripped Ma	atrix (S6)		Red Parent Mater	ial (TF2)
	Black Histic (A3)			^L	oamy Muc	ky Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)		l	oamy Gley	ed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in	Remarks)
	Depleted Below Darl	s Surface (A	A11)		Depleted M	atrix (F3)			
	Thick Dark Surface (	A12)		F	Redox Dark	(Surface (F6)			
	Sandy Mucky Minera	ıl (S1)			Depleted D	ark Surface (F7)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic veget	ation and wetland
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	: (S4)		F	Redox Dep	ressions (F8)		problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if present)	:							
Туре:					-				
Depth (inches	s):				-		Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes	No X
HYDROLO	GY								
Wetland Hy	drology Indicato	rs:							
Primary Indi	cators (minimum o	of one req	uired; check all th	nat apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or	more required)
	Surface Water (A1)			1	Water stain	ed Leaves (B9) (	Except MLRA	Water stained Le	aves (B9)
	High Water Table (A	2)		1	l, 2, 4A, an	id 4B)		(MLRA1, 2, 4A, a	and 4B)
	Saturation (A3)				Salt Crust (	B11)		Drainage Patterns	s (B10)
	Water Marks (B1)				Aquatic Inv	ertebrates (B13)		Dry-Season Wate	er Table (C2)
	Sediment Deposits (	B2)		H	Hydrogen S	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Saturation Visible	on Aerial Imagery (C9
	Drift Deposits (B3)				Dxidized RI	hizospheres alon	g Living Roots (C3)	Geomorphic Posi	tion (D2)
	Algal Mat or Crust (E	34)		F	Presence o	f Reduced Iron (C	C4)	Shallow Aquitard	(D3)
	Iron Deposits (B5)			F	Recent Iron	Reduction in Plo	owed Soils (C6)	Fac-Neutral Test	(D5)
	Surface Soil Cracks	(B6)			Stunted or S	Stressed Plants (	D1) <b>(LRR A)</b>	Raised Ant Moun	ds (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b>
	Inundation Visible or	Aerial Ima	igery (B7)	0	Other (Expl	ain in Remarks)		Frost-Heave Hum	mocks (D7)
	Sparsely Vegetated	Concave S	urface (B8)						
Field Obser	vations:								
Surface Water	Present? Yes		No <u>X</u>	Depth (	inches):				
Water Table P	resent? Yes		No <u>X</u>	Depth (	inches):	>18	Wetland Hyd	rology Present?	
Saturation Pre (includes capilla	sent? Yes y fringe)		No X	Depth (	inches):	>18		Yes	No X
Describe Reco	rded Data (stream g	auge, mon	toring well, aerial ph	iotos, previo	ous inspect	ions), if available	:		
Remarks:									

		RMINATION		RM - Weste	rn Mountains, Val	levs and Coas	PHS #	7645
Project/Site:	N Pekin Road		City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	12/6	/2022
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell Crow Co	mpany	j, j.		State:	WA	Sampling Point:	14
Investigator(s):	TF/CT		Section. To	wnship, Range:	·	Sect. 24. T 5N. F	. 1W	
Landform (hillslope.	terrace, etc.;)	Slope		Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	2%
Subregion (LRR):		4	Lat:	45.909	93 Long:	-122.7609	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name	e:	Caples si	- Itv clav loam		NWI Cla	ssification:	none -	
Are climatic/hvdrolo	paic conditions on the site to	pical for this time	e of vear?	Yes	X No	(if no. expl	ain in Remarks)	
Are vegetation	N Soil N or Hy	drology N	significantly dis	turbed?	Are "Normal Circumstan	ces" present? (Y/N)	Ŷ	
Are vegetation	N Soil N or Hy	vdrology N	naturally proble	matic? If needed	l, explain any answers in Re	emarks.)		
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS – Attac	h site map s	showing san	npling point	locations, transects	s, important feat	ures, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegeta	tion Present? Yes	X No						
Hydric Soil Present	? Yes	No	x	Is Sampled Ar a Wetlar	rea within nd? ^{Yes}		No X	
Wetland Hydrology	Present? Yes	No	X					
Remarks:	-							
VEGETATION	- Use scientific nam	nes of plants	s.		-			
		absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wo	rksheet:		
Tree Stratum (pl	ot size.	% cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Spe			
1	,				That are OBL_FACW_or	FAC [.]	1	(A)
2								(**)
3					Total Number of Domina	nt		
4					Species Across All Strata	i:	1	(B)
		0	= Total Cover					
Sapling/Shrub Strat	tum (plot size:	_)			Percent of Dominant Spe	cies		
1					That are OBL, FACW, or	r FAC:	100%	(A/B)
2								
3					Prevalence Index W	orksheet:		
4					Total % Cover of	Multiply by	<u>:</u>	
5					OBL Species	x 1 =	0	
			= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 = x 3 =	0	
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (pl	ot size: 5 )				FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
1 Schedonoru	s arundinaceus	95	X	FAC	UPL Species	x 5 =	0	
2					Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	0	(B)
3								
4					Prevalence Index =	B/A = #	DIV/0!	
5								
6					Hydrophytic Vegetat	tion Indicators:		
8					<u> </u>	2 Dominance Test for Hydr	opnytic vegetatior	1
·		95	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence Index is	≤ 3.0 ¹	
						4-Morphological Adapt	tations ¹ (provide s	upporting
Woody Vine Stratur	m (plot size:	)				data in Remarks or on	a separate sheet	1
1						5- Wetland Non-Vascu	ular Plants ¹	
2					1	Problematic Hydrophy	tic Vegetation ¹ (Ex	xplain)
		0	= Total Cover		'Indicators of hydric soil a disturbed or problematic.	and wetland hydrology	must be present, i	Inless
	Lash Otractions	F			Hydrophytic	Vee Y	NJ -	
™ Bare Ground in F	Herd Stratum	5			Present?	res X	NO	
Remarks:								
Remarks:								

			-	7043				Sampling Point.	14
Profile Descri	ption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to docume	ent the indicat	tor or con	firm the abse	nce of indicators.)		
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%			Texture	Remarks	
0-16	7 5YR 3/2	100			Турс		Silt Loam	Remarks	
16 10	10VR 4/1	72				M	Silty Clay Loam	Madium	
10-10	101K 4/1		101R 4/4			N		Medium Cooreo	
			10TR 3/4		<u> </u>	N		Medium-Coarse	
			10YR 5/1		<u>R</u>	M		Coarse	
¹ Type: C=Cono	centration, D=Depleti	ion, RM=R	educed Matrix, CS=	Covered or Co	pated San	d Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=M	latrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Appl	icable to	all LRRs, unles	s otherwise	e noted.)		Indica	ators for Problematic Hydri	c Soils³:
	Histosol (A1)			Sar	ndy Redo	x (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)	
	Histic Epipedon (A2)			Stri	ripped Mat	rix (S6)		Red Parent Materi	al (TF2)
	Black Histic (A3)			Loa	amy Muck	y Mineral (F1)	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A4	4)		Loa	amy Gleve	ed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in R	emarks)
	Depleted Below Dark	Surface ()	A11)	Dei	pleted Ma	trix (F3)			,
	' Thick Dark Surface (	A12)	,	'	' dox Dark	Surface (E6)			
	Sandy Musky Minara	1 (01)						³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegeta	tion and wetland
		(01)		Del				hydrology must be present, unle	ess disturbed or
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	(S4)		Ree	aox Depre	essions (F8)		problematic.	
Restrictive I	Layer (if present)	:							
Type:									
Depth (inches	s):						Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes	No X
Pomorko:									
HYDROLO Wetland Hy	IGY drology Indicator	rs:							
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum c	r <b>s:</b> of one req	uired; check all ti	hat apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or	more required)
HYDROLO Wetland Hyd Primary Indid	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum c Surface Water (A1)	r <b>s:</b> of one req	uired; check all ti	hat apply) Wa	ater staine	d Leaves (B9)	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea	more required)ves (B9)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum c Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A	r <b>s:</b> of one req 2)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wa 1, 2	ater staine 2, 4A, and	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b>	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum c Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3)	r <b>s:</b> of one req 2)	uired; check all ti	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal	ater staine <b>2, 4A, anc</b> It Crust (B	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 11)	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns	more required) ves (B9) nd <b>4B)</b> (B10)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1)	r <b>s:</b> of one req 2)	uired; check all tl	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aq	ater staine <b>2, 4A, anc</b> It Crust (B uatic Inve	rd Leaves (B9) <b>i 4B)</b> :11) rtebrates (B13)	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water	more required) ves (B9) nd <b>4B)</b> (B10) Table (C2)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I	r <b>s:</b> of one req 2) B2)	juired; check all t	hat apply) Wa Sal Aqu Hyo	ater staine <b>2, 4A, anc</b> It Crust (B uatic Inve drogen Su	d Leaves (B9) <b>I 4B)</b> i11) rtebrates (B13) ulfide Odor (C1	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible	more required) ves (B9) <b>nd 4B)</b> (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3)	r <b>s:</b> of one req 2) B2)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyo Oxi	ater staine <b>2, 4A, anc</b> It Crust (B uatic Inve drogen Su idized Rhi	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 111) rtebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1 zospheres alor	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum c Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B	r <b>s:</b> of one req 2) B2)	uired; check all t	hat apply)  Sal Aqu Hyo Oxi Pre	ater staine 2, 4A, anc It Crust (B uatic Inver drogen Su idized Rhi esence of	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 11) rtebrates (B13) ulfide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron (	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard (	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5)	r <b>s:</b> of one req 2) B2) H4)	uired; check all t	hat apply) Wa Sal Aqu Hyo Oxi Pre Rev	ater staine 2, 4A, and It Crust (B uatic Inver drogen Su idized Rhi esence of ecent Iron I	d Leaves (B9) <b>i 4B)</b> i11) rtebrates (B13) ilfide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl	(Except MLRA ) Ig Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test (	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (	rs: of one req 2) B2) 44) (B6)	juired; check all t	hat apply) — Wa 1, 2 _ Sal _ Aqu _ Oxi _ Oxi _ Pre _ Reu Stu	ater staine 2, 4A, and It Crust (B uatic Inve drogen Su idized Rhi esence of scent Iron I unted or S	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 11) rtebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test ( Raised Ant Mound	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks of Inundation Visible on	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) Aerial Ima	juired; check all t	hat apply) — Wa 1, 2 _ Sal _ Aqu Hyc _ Oxi _ Pre _ Rea _ Stu _ Oth	ater staine 2, 4A, and It Crust (B uatic Inve drogen Su idized Rhi esence of scent Iron I unted or S her (Expla	d Leaves (B9) <b>I 4B)</b> (11) rtebrates (B13) ulfide Odor (C1) izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ing Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test ( Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Hum	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A) nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks of Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated (	rs: of one req 2) B2) 4) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	uired; check all ti gery (B7)	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyo Oxi Pre Ret Stu Oth	ater staine 2, 4A, and lt Crust (B uatic Inver drogen Su idized Rhi esence of scent Iron I unted or S her (Expla	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 111) rtebrates (B13) ulfide Odor (C1 zospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test ( Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A) nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks of Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated (	r <b>s:</b> 2) B2) (B6) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	juired; check all ti igery (B7) urface (B8)	hat apply) — Wa 1, 2 Sal — Aqu — Hyo Oxi — Pre — Reu Stu — Oth	ater staine 2, 4A, anc It Crust (B uatic Inver drogen Su drogen Su	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> I11) Itebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) Ing Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test (I Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr	more required) ves (B9) <b>nd 4B)</b> (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b> nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks of Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated of vations:	r <b>s:</b> 2) B2) (B6) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8)	hat apply) Sal Sal Aqu Oxi Pre Stu Oth	ater staine 2, 4A, and It Crust (B uatic Inver drogen Su idized Rhi esence of scent Iron I unted or S her (Expla	d Leaves (B9) <b>i 4B)</b> i11) rtebrates (B13) ilfide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test (I Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A) nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks of Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated of vations: Present? Yes	rs: of one req 2) B2) (B6) (B6) (Aerial Ima Concave S	uired; check all ti igery (B7) urface (B8) No <u>X</u>	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyc Oxi Oxi Stu Stu Oth	ater staine 2, 4A, and 2, 4A, and 2, 4A, and 1, and	d Leaves (B9) <b>i 4B)</b> i11) rtebrates (B13) ilfide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test (I Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr	more required) ves (B9) <b>nd 4B)</b> (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b> nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	Cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks of Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated (C Vations: Present? Yes	rs: of one req 2) B2) 44) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	uired; check all t gery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyc Oxi Oxi Oxi Depth (inc Depth (inc	ater staine 2, 4A, and 2, 4A, and 1t Crust (B uatic Inve drogen Su idized Rhi esence of scent Iron I unted or S her (Expla ches): ches):	ed Leaves (B9) <b>I 4B)</b> (11) rtebrates (B13) ulfide Odor (C1) izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks) >18	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test ( Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A) nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	Cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks of Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated (C Vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes sent? Yes ry fringe)	rs: of one req 2) B2) 4) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	ujired; check all t ngery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyc Oxi Pre Rec Stu Oth Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc	ater staine 2, 4A, and 1t Crust (B uatic Invei drogen Su idized Rhi esence of scent Iron I unted or S her (Expla ches): ches):	ed Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 111) rtebrates (B13) ulfide Odor (C1) izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks) >18 >18 >18	(Except MLRA ing Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test ( Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr rology Present? Yes	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A) nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks of Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated (C vations: Present? Yes Sent? Yes ry fringe) proded Data (stream geter the second stream	rs: of one req 2) B2) 4) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	ujired; check all ti ngery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X itoring well, aerial ph	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyc Oxi Pre Red Stu Oth Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc	ater staine <b>2, 4A, anc</b> It Crust (B uatic Inver drogen Su idized Rhi esence of cent Iron I unted or S her (Expla ches): ches): ches): s inspectio	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 111) rtebrates (B13) ulfide Odor (C1) izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks) >18 >18 ons), if available	(Except MLRA ing Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test ( Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr rology Present? Yes	<u>more required)</u> ves (B9) <b>nd 4B)</b> (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b> nocks (D7) No X
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks of Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated of Vations: Present? Yes sent? Yes sent? Yes ry fringe) Orded Data (stream gates)	rs: of one req 2) B2) 4) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S  auge, moni	ujired; check all t ngery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X itoring well, aerial ph	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyo Oxi Pre Ret Stu Oth Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc	ater staine <b>2, 4A, anc</b> It Crust (B uatic Inver drogen Su idized Rhi esence of cont Iron I unted or S her (Expla ches): ches): ches): s inspectic	ed Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 111) rtebrates (B13) ulfide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks) >18 >18 ons), if available	(Except MLRA ) 1 g Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test ( Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr rology Present? Yes I	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A) nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated of <b>vations:</b> Present? Yes resent? Yes resent? Yes resent? Yes resent? Yes rorded Data (stream ga	rs: of one req 2) B2) 44) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S  auge, moni	ujuired; check all t ngery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X itoring well, aerial ph	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyo Oxi Or Pre Ret Stu Oth Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc	ater staine 2, 4A, anc 2, 4A, anc 2, 4A, anc 2, 4A, anc 3, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40, 40	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 111) rtebrates (B13) ulfide Odor (C1 zospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks) <b>&gt;18</b> <b>&gt;18</b> ons), if available	(Except MLRA ) Ing Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydri States of the second se	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test (I Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr rology Present? Yes I	<u>more required)</u> ves (B9) <b>nd 4B)</b> (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) <b>(LRR A)</b> mocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated of <b>vations:</b> Present? Yes Present? Yes Present? Yes Sent? Yes Sent? Yes Sent? Yes Proded Data (stream gates)	rs: of one req 2) B2) 44) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S  auge, moni	Igery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X Itoring well, aerial pt	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyo Oxi Or Pre Ret Stu Oth Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc	ater staine 2, 4A, anc 2, 4A, anc 2, 4A, anc 2, 4A, anc 4 drogen SL drogen S	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 111) rtebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks) >18 >18 ons), if available	(Except MLRA ) Ing Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydri ::	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a) Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test (I Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr rology Present? Yes I	<u>more required)</u> ves (B9) <b>nd 4B)</b> (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A) nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India 	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks ( Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated of vations: Present? Yes Present? Yes Sent? Yes sent? Yes ry fringe) orded Data (stream ga	rs: of one req 2) B2) 44) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S  auge, moni	igery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X itoring well, aerial ph	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyo Oxi Orre Stu Oth Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc	ater staine 2, 4A, anc 2, 4A, anc 1t Crust (B uatic Inver drogen Su idized Rhi esence of sence of sence of seches is ches): - ches): - s inspectic	ed Leaves (B9) 1 4B) 111) rtebrates (B13) 1fide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks) >18 >18 >18 ons), if available	(Except MLRA ) Ing Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr 2:	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test (I Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr	<u>more required)</u> ves (B9) <b>nd 4B)</b> (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A) nocks (D7)
HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India 	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (I Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks ( Inundation Visible on Sparsely Vegetated ( vations: Present? Yes tresent? Yes sent? Yes sent? Yes ry fringe) orded Data (stream ga	rs: of one req 2) B2) 44) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S  auge, moni	Igery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X Itoring well, aerial pf	hat apply) Wa 1, 2 Sal Aqu Hyo Oxi Oxi Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc Depth (inc	ater staine 2, 4A, anc It Crust (B uatic Inver drogen Su idized Rhi esence of sence	d Leaves (B9) <b>1 4B)</b> 111) rtebrates (B13) Ilfide Odor (C1 izospheres alor Reduced Iron ( Reduction in Pl tressed Plants in in Remarks) >18 >18 >18 ons), if available	(Except MLRA ) Ing Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr S:	Secondary Indicators (2 or Water stained Lea (MLRA1, 2, 4A, a Drainage Patterns Dry-Season Water Saturation Visible Geomorphic Positi Shallow Aquitard ( Fac-Neutral Test (I Raised Ant Mound Frost-Heave Humr rology Present? Yes I	more required) ves (B9) nd 4B) (B10) Table (C2) on Aerial Imagery (C on (D2) D3) D5) s (D6) (LRR A) nocks (D7)

v		ρμινατιών	Ι ΠΑΤΑ ΕΩΕ	RM - Weste	rn Mountains, Vall	evs and Coast	PHS # _	7645
■ Project/Site:	N Pekin Road		City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date	12/6/	2022
Applicant/Owner	Trammell Crow Co	mpany	ony, oounty.		State:	WA S	Sampling Point:	15
Investigator(s):	CT/TE		Section To	wnshin Range		Sect 24 T 5N R	1W	
Landform (billslope t		Swale	-	Local relief (cor	cave convex none).	concave	Slope (%):	2%
Subregion (LRR):			Lat [.]	45 909		-122 7609		WGS84
Soil Man Unit Name:		Canles si	- Ity clay loam		NIWI Clas	sification:	- none	
Are climatic/bydrolog	ic conditions on the site tw	voical for this time	a of year?	Ves	<b>Y</b> No	/if no evola	n in Remarks)	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil <b>N</b> or Hy	drology <b>N</b>	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstance	ces" present? (Y/N)	Y	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil N or Hy	rdrology N	naturally probler	matic? If needed	, explain any answers in Re	marks.)	<u> </u>	
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS – Attac	h site map s	howing sam	pling point	locations, transects	, important featu	res, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetatio	on Present? Yes	X No		le Sampled Ar	oo within			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X No		a Wetlar	nd? ^{Yes}	<b>X</b> N	o	
Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes	X No						
Remarks:								
	Liso scientific nan	nos of plante						
		absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wor	ksheet [.]		
		% cover	Species?	Status				
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (plot	size:)				Number of Dominant Spe	cies		
1					That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	1 (	A)
2								
3					Total Number of Dominan	t		
4					Species Across All Strata		1(	В)
		0	= Total Cover					
Sapling/Shrub Stratu	m (plot size:	_)			Percent of Dominant Spec	cies		
1					That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC: 1	<b>00%</b> (	A/B)
2								
3					Prevalence index wo	orksneet:		
4					OBL Species		- 0	
·		0	= Total Cover		EACW species	x 2 =		
					FAC Species	x 3 =	0	
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (plot	t size: 5)				FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
1 Phalaris arun	dinacea	100	Χ	FACW	UPL Species	x 5 =	0	
2 Carex sp		2		(FAC)	Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	(	3)
3 Cirsium arver	nse	2		FAC				
4					Prevalence Index =	3/A = <b>#</b>	0IV/0!	
5					Lludrophutia Vagatati	on Indiantara		
0 						Ion Indicators:	abutia Vagatatian	
8					×	- Rapiu Test iol Hydro 2- Dominance Test is >	50%	
·		104	= Total Cover			$3$ -Prevalence Index is $\leq$	3.0 ¹	
						I-Morphological Adapta	tions ¹ (provide su	pporting
Woody Vine Stratum	(plot size:	_)				data in Remarks or on a	separate sheet)	
1						5- Wetland Non-Vascul	ar Plants ¹	
2					F	Problematic Hydrophytic	vegetation ¹ (Ex	olain)
		0	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil a	nd wetland hydrology m	iust be present, u	nless
					usuived or proplematic.			
					Hydrophytic			
% Bare Ground in He	erb Stratum	0			Hydrophytic Vegetation	Yes X	No	

The unidentified Carex is presumed to have an indicator status of FAC or wetter.

SOIL			PHS #	7645	;	-		Sampling Point: 1	5
Profile Descri Depth	iption: (Describe to Matrix	the depth	needed to docume	ent the indicat Redox F	t <b>or or co</b> eatures	nfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)		
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-8	7.5YR 3/2	100					Silt Loam		
8-18	7.5YR 3/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	С	М	Silt Loam	Medium	
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Deplet	ion, RM=Re	educed Matrix, CS=	Covered or Co	oated Sar	nd Grains.	lu ali a	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soli	Indicators: (App	licable to	all LRRS, unles	s otnerwise	notea.	.)	Indic	ators for Problematic Hydric Solis :	
	Histosol (A1)			Sa	ndy Redo	ox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)	
	Histic Epipedon (A2)			Str	ipped Ma	atrix (S6)		Red Parent Material (TF2)	
	Black Histic (A3)			Lo:	amy Muc	ky Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (T	F12)
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)		Lo:	amy Gley	/ed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in Remarks)	
	Depleted Below Dar	k Surface ( <i>I</i>	A11)	De	pleted M	atrix (F3)			
	Thick Dark Surface	(A12)		Re	dox Dark	surface (F6)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and we	tland
	Sandy Mucky Minera	al (S1)		De	pleted Da	ark Surface (F7)		hydrology must be present, unless disturbe	ed or
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	(S4)		Re	dox Depi	ressions (F8)	1	problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if present)	):							
Type:									
Depth (inches	s):						Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes <u>X</u> No	
HYDROLO	GY								
Wetland Hy	drology Indicato	rs:							
Primary Indi	cators (minimum	of one req	uired; check all t	hat apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ	uired)
	Surface Water (A1)			Wa	ater stain	ed Leaves (B9) (	Except MLRA	Water stained Leaves (B9)	
	High Water Table (A	2)		1,	2, 4A, an	ia 4B)		(MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
	Saturation (A3)			Sa	lt Crust (I	B11)		Drainage Patterns (B10)	
	Water Marks (B1)			Aq	uatic Inve	ertebrates (B13)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	1
	Sediment Deposits (	B2)		Ну	drogen S	Sulfide Odor (C1)	- Liniar Deete (00)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Im	hagery (C9)
	Algol Mat or Crust (E3)	24)		Ox		f Reduced Iron (		Shallow Aquitard (D2)	
	Iron Deposits (B5)	) 		FR	cent Iron	Reduction in Plo	owed Soils (C6)	X Fac-Neutral Test (D5)	
	Surface Soil Cracks	(B6)		Stu	unted or S	Stressed Plants (	D1) (LRR A)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LR	RA)
	Inundation Visible or	n Aerial Ima	gery (B7)	Otl	her (Expl	ain in Remarks)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	,
	Sparsely Vegetated	Concave S	urface (B8)						
Field Obser	vations:								
Surface Water	Present? Yes		No X	Depth (in	ches):	N/A			
Water Table P	resent? Yes		No X	Depth (in	ches):	>18	Wetland Hyd	rology Present?	
Saturation Pre (includes capilla	sent? Yes ry fringe)		No <u>X</u>	Depth (in	ches):	>18		Yes X No	
Describe Reco	orded Data (stream g	auge, moni	toring well, aerial pl	hotos, previous	s inspecti	ions), if available	:		
Remarks:									

Ň	WETLAND	DETERM		I DATA FOR	RM - Weste	rn Mountains. V	/allevs. a	nd Coas	PHS # t Region	7645
Project/Site:	N Peki	n Road		City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	San	pling Date:	12/	6/2022
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell C	row Compa	any			Sta	te: WA		Sampling Point:	16
Investigator(s):		CT/TF		Section, To	wnship, Range:		Sect.		1W	
Landform (hillslope,	terrace, etc.:)		Slope	-	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none):		none	Slope (%):	2%
Subregion (LRR):	, ,	LRR A		Lat:	45.909	<b>)3</b> Lor	na: -1	22.7609	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name			Clato	- silt loam			Classification	ı.	 none	
Are climatic/hydrolog	nic conditions on	the site typica	al for this time	e of vear?	Yes	<b>X</b>	No	(if no expla	ain in Remarks)	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil <b>N</b>	or Hydrol	ogv <b>N</b>	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circums	tances" pres	(, e, e, e), e,	Y	
Are vegetation N	Soil N	or Hydrol	ogy <u>N</u>	_ naturally proble	matic? If needed	, explain any answers ir	n Remarks.)			-
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS -	- Attach s	ite map s	howing sam	pling point	locations, transe	cts, impo	rtant featu	ıres, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion Present?	Yes	X No		le Sampled Ar	oa within				
Hydric Soil Present?	)	Yes	No	Χ	a Wetlar	nd? Y	es		No <u>X</u>	-
Wetland Hydrology F	Present?	Yes	No	X						
Remarks:										
VEGETATION	- Use scient	ific names	of plants	S.						
		a	absolute % cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test v	worksheet			
Tree Stratum (plo	ot size:	) —				Number of Dominant	Species			
1						That are OBL, FACW	, or FAC:		3	(A)
2							,			,
3						Total Number of Dom	inant			
4						Species Across All St	rata:		3	(B)
			0	= Total Cover						-
Sapling/Shrub Stratu	um (plot size:	)				Percent of Dominant	Species			
1		,				That are OBL, FACW	, or FAC:	1	100%	(A/B)
2										• • •
3						Prevalence Index	Workshee	t:		
4						Total % Cover of		Multiply by:	_	
5						OBL Species		x 1 =	0	-
		_	0	= Total Cover		FACW species		x 2 =	0	-
Llark Stratum (DC	nt sizo:	<b>5</b> )				FAC Species		_ x3=		-
1 Phalarie arur	ndinacea	/	40	¥	FACW			X4= 	0	-
2 Carex sn			25	<u> </u>	(FAC)		0	(A)	0	- (B)
3 Agrostis sp			25	<u> </u>	(FAC)					(-)
4 Cirsium arve	nse		5		FAC	Prevalence Inde	ex =B/A =	#	DIV/0!	
5 						Hydrophytic Vege	tation Indi	cators:		
7							1- Rapid	Test for Hydro	phytic Vegetatio	on
8							2- Domin	ance Test is >	•50%	
			95	= Total Cover			3-Prevale 4-Morpho	nce Index is ≤ logical Adapta	≤ 3.0 ¹ ations ¹ (provide	supporting
Woody Vine Stratum	<u>n</u> (plot size:	<b>5</b> )					data in R	emarks or on	a separate shee	t)
1 Rubus ursin	us		2		FACU		5- Wetlar	id Non-Vascu	lar Plants ¹	
2							Problema	tic Hydrophyt	ic Vegetation ¹ (E	Explain)
			2	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric so disturbed or problema	oil and wetlar ttic.	nd hydrology r	nust be present	unless
% Bare Ground in H	erb Stratum	5				Vegetation Present?	Ye	s <u>X</u>	No	
Remarks:						1 1030111:				

The unidentified Carex and Agrostis are presumed to have an indicator status of FAC or wetter.

SOIL			PH	S #	764	15	_		Sampling	g Point:	16
Profile Description: Depth	(Describe to Matrix	the depth	needed to	document	<b>t the indic</b> Redox	<b>ator or co</b> Features	nfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)			
(Inches) C	olor (moist)	%	Color (n	noist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
0-10 7	.5YR 3/2	100						Silt Loam			
10-20 7	7.5YR 3/2	95	7.5YR	4/6	5	С	М	Silt Loam	Medium		
¹ Type: C=Concentra	ion. D=Deplet	tion. RM=Re	educed Mat	rix. CS=C	overed or (	Coated Sa	nd Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore	Lining, M=Mat	rix.
Hydric Soil Indic	ators: (App	licable to	all LRRs	. unless	otherwis	se noted.	.)	Indic	ators for Problem	atic Hvdric S	Soils ³ :
Histos	ol (A1)			•	S	andv Red	, ox (S5)		2 cm I	Muck (A10)	
Histic	Epipedon (A2)	)		-		stripped Ma	atrix (S6)		Red P	arent Material	(TF2)
Black	Histic (A3)	, ,		-		.oamv Muc	kv Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Verv S	Shallow Dark S	urface (TF12)
Hvdro	nen Sulfide (A	4)		-		oamy Glev	ved Matrix (F2)		Other	(explain in Ren	narks)
Deplet	ed Below Dar	k Surface (/	A11)	-		epleted M	atrix (F3)		0	(explain in ten	iano)
Thick	Dark Surface	(A12)	,	-	F	Redox Dark	(Surface (F6)				
Sandy	Mucky Miner	al (S1)		-	 		ark Surface (F7)		³ Indicators of hydrop	ohytic vegetatio	n and wetland
Sandy	Gleved Matrix	(S4)		-	F	Redox Dep	ressions (F8)		hydrology must be	present, unless	disturbed or
					<u> </u>			1	P		
	(ii present	):									
Туре:											
Depth (inches):								Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Yes	No	×
HYDROLOGY											
Wetland Hydrolo	gy Indicato	rs:									
Primary Indicators	(minimum	of one req	uired; che	ck all tha	at apply)				Secondary Indic	ators (2 or m	ore required)
Surfac	e Water (A1)			_	v	Vater stain	ed Leaves (B9) <b>(</b>	Except MLRA	Water	stained Leave	s (B9)
High V	Vater Table (A	(2)			1	, 2, 4A, an	id 4B)		(MLR	A1, 2, 4A, and	4B)
Satura	tion (A3)			_	s	Salt Crust (	B11)		Draina	age Patterns (B	10)
Water	Marks (B1)			_	A	quatic Inv	ertebrates (B13)		Dry-Se	eason Water Ta	able (C2)
Sedim	ent Deposits (	(B2)		_	F	lydrogen S	Sulfide Odor (C1)		Satura	ation Visible on	Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift D	eposits (B3)			-	0	Dxidized RI	hizospheres alon	g Living Roots (C3)	Geom	orphic Position	(D2)
Algal M	Mat or Crust (E	34)		-	F	Presence o	f Reduced Iron (C	C4)	Shallo	w Aquitard (D3	)
Iron D	eposits (B5)			-	F	Recent Iron	Reduction in Plo	owed Soils (C6)	X Fac-N	leutral Test (D5	
Surfac	e Soll Cracks	(B6)	aom ( (DZ)	-		otunted or a	Stressed Plants (	D1) (LRR A)		a Ant Mounds (	D6) (LRR A)
Illulua Sparse			urface (B8)	-		uiei (⊏xpi	an in Remarks)		FIOSI-		CKS(DT)
Opais		Concave of						1			
Field Observatio	ns:		No	v	Danth (		NI/A				
Surface water Prese	ent? Yes			<u>×</u>		incnes):	<u>N/A</u>	Matlenal Live			
Vvater Table Present	? Yes			×	Depth (	incnes):	>20	wetland Hyd	rology Present?	No	<b>v</b>
(includes capillary fringe	e)			<u> </u>	Depth (	inches):	~20		Tes		<u> </u>
Describe Recorded [	Data (stream g	jauge, moni	toring well,	aerial pho	tos, previo	us inspect	ions), if available	:			
Remarks:											

Ň	VETLAND DETER			RM - Weste	rn Mountains, Vall	evs. and Coas	PHS #	7645
Project/Site:	oject/Site: N Pekin Road		City/County: Woor		dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	12/6	/2022
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell Crow Co	mpany			State:	WA	Sampling Point:	17
Investigator(s):	TF/CT		Section, To	wnship, Range:		Sect. 24, T 5N, F	R 1W	
andform (hillslope, terrace, etc) Slope			•	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	2%
Subregion (LRR):			Lat:	45.908	35 Long:	-122.7612	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name	:	Newbera fir	- ne sandv loarr		NWI Clas	ssification:	PFOA	
Are climatic/hvdrolog	oil Map Unit Name: <b>NewDerg Til</b>			Yes	X No	(if no exp	ain in Remarks)	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil <b>N</b> or Hy	rdrology <b>N</b>	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstance	ces" present? (Y/N)	Y	
Are vegetation N	Soil <b>N</b> or Hy	drology N	naturally probler	matic? If needed	, explain any answers in Re	marks.)		
	EINDINGS – Attac	h site man s	howing sam	nolina point	locations transects	important feat	ures etc	
lydrophytic Vegetat	ion Present? Yes	X No				, important roat		
lydric Soil Present?	Yes -	X No		Is Sampled Ar a Wetlar	ea within Nd? Yes	х	No	
Vetland Hydrology F	Present? Yes	X No			-			
Remarks:								
EGETATION	- Use scientific nan	nes of plants	6.					
		absolute % cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test wor	ksheet:		
ree Stratum (plo	ot size: )		<u> </u>		Number of Dominant Spe	cies		
1					That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	2	(A)
2								
3					Total Number of Dominan	t		
4					Species Across All Strata	:	2	(B)
		0	= Total Cover					
apling/Shrub Stratu	um (plot size: 15	_)			Percent of Dominant Spec	cies		
Salix sp		90	X	(FAC)	That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	100%	(A/B)
Cornus alba		10		FACW				
3					Prevalence Index Wo	orksheet:		
l					Total % Cover of	Multiply by	<u>/:</u>	
					OBL Species	x 1 =	0	
		100	= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 = x 3 =	0	
erb Stratum (plo	ot size: <b>5</b> )				FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
Urtica dioica		10	X	FAC	UPL Species	x 5 =	0	
2					Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	0	(B)
3								
1					Prevalence Index =E	3/A =	#DIV/0!	
<u> </u>					Hydrophytic Vegetati	on Indicators:		
						I- Rapid Test for Hydi	ophytic Vegetation	ו
			- Total Cover			2- Dominance Test is	>50%	
		10	- Total Cover		2	revalence index is I-Morphological Adap	≤ 3.0 tations ¹ (provide s	upporting
oody Vine Stratum	n (plot size: <b>5</b>	)				lata in Remarks or or	n a separate sheet	)
1 Rubus ursinu	us	2		FACU		5- Wetland Non-Vasc	ular Plants ¹	
2					F	Problematic Hydrophy	tic Vegetation ¹ (E	xplain)
		2	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil a disturbed or problematic.	nd wetland hydrology	must be present,	unless
	erb Stratum	90			Hydrophytic Vegetation	Yes X	No	
o bare Ground in H								

The unidentified Salix is presumed to have an indicator status of FAC or wetter.

						-				
Profile Descri	ption: (Describe to Matrix	the depth	needed to doc	ument the inc	licator or co	nfirm the abse	nce of indicators.)			
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mois	t) %	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-10	10YR 3/1	100		<u> </u>			Silty Clay Loam			
10-16	10YR 3/1	70	10YR 3/6	10	C	M	Silty Clay Loam	Medium		
			10YR 5/2	20		M	Silty Clay Loam	Medium-Coarse		
							<u></u>			
					_					
						·	·			
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Deplet	ion, RM=R	educed Matrix,	CS=Covered of	or Coated Sar	nd Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Appl	icable to	all LRRs, ur	less otherv	vise noted.	.)	Indica	ators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		
	Histosol (A1)				Sandy Redo	ox (S5)		2 cm Muck (A10)		
	Histic Epipedon (A2)	1			Stripped Ma	atrix (S6)		Red Parent Material (TF2)		
	Black Histic (A3)				– Loamy Muc	ky Mineral (F1)	(except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)		
	Hvdrogen Sulfide (A	4)			Loamv Glev	ved Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in Remarks)		
	Depleted Below Dark	k Surface ()	A11)	X	Depleted M	atrix (F3)				
	' Thick Dark Surface (	Δ12)	1		–     ' Redox Dark	(Surface (E6)				
	Sondy Musky Minora	( <u>61</u> )			- Doplotod D	ork Surface (F7		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland		
	Sandy Mucky Minera	(S1)			- Redox Depi			hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or		
De e fail e filme		. (34)						problematic.		
Restrictive	Layer (if present)	):								
Type:					_					
Depth (inches	s):				_		Hydric Soil Pres	resent? Yes X No		
Remarks:										
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy	GY drology Indicator	rs:								
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum o	r <b>s:</b> of one req	juired; check	all that apply	/)			Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum o Surface Water (A1)	rs: of one req	juired; check	all that apply	/) 	ed Leaves (B9)	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum o Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A	rs: of one req 2)	juired; check	all that apply	/) Water stain 1, 2, 4A, an	ed Leaves (B9) Id <b>4B)</b>	Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3)	<b>rs:</b> of one req 2)	juired; check	all that apply	/) Water stain 1, 2, 4A, an Salt Crust (I	ed Leaves (B9) <b>d 4B)</b> B11)	Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	<b>GY</b> drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1)	rs: of one req 2)	juired; check	all that apply	/) Water stain 1, 2, 4A, an Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve	ed Leaves (B9) I <b>d 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13)	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Soturation Visible on Assiel Imagen (		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (	r <b>s:</b> of one req 2) B2)	juired; check	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S	ed Leaves (B9) <b>d 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits ( Drift Deposits (B3)	rs: of one req 2) B2)	juired; check	all that apply	/) Vater stain Salt Crust (I Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Aquatic Inve Oxidized Rf	ed Leaves (B9) <b>Id 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alor	(Except MLRA	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B5)	<b>rs:</b> 2) B2) 34)	juired; check	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rł Presence of	ed Leaves (B9) I <b>d 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron (	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) C4) owned Seile (C6)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) X Eas Neutral Text (D5)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits ( Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5)	<b>rs:</b> <u>of one req</u> 2) B2) B2) (B6)	juired; check	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rf Presence of Recent Iron	ed Leaves (B9) Id <b>4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LER A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) X Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Painad Art Moundo (D6) (LBB A)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits ( Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks	<b>rs:</b> 2) B2) B2) (B6)	juired; check	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rł Presence of Recent Iron Stunted of S	ed Leaves (B9) <b>d 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron of Reduced Iron of Reduction in P Stressed Plants	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated of	rs: of one req 2) B2) B4) (B6) n Aerial Ima Concave S	juired; check	all that apply	/) Water stain 1, 2, 4A, an Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rł Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Expla	ed Leaves (B9) <b>id 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated ( vations:	rs: of one req 2) B2) B4) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	juired; check Igery (B7) urface (B8)	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rh Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Expla	ed Leaves (B9) <b>d 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India Primary India Primary India Primary India Primary India Primary India	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated of vations:	rs: 2) B2) 34) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	juired; check ngery (B7) urface (B8)	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rt Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Expl:	ed Leaves (B9) <b>Id 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron ( Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks)	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) X Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India Primary India Field Obser Surface Water Water Table P	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated of vations: Present? Yes	rs: of one req 2) B2) 34) (B6) 1 Aerial Ima Concave S	iuired; check igery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rt Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Explain (inches):	ed Leaves (B9) <b>d 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alor f Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks) >16	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hvdi	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) X Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India Primary India Field Obser Surface Water Water Table P Saturation Pre (includes canilla)	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated of vations: Present? Yes Sent? Yes Sent? Yes Sent? Yes	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	igery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X No X	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rł Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Explain (inches): n (inches):	ed Leaves (B9) <b>id 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks) >16 >16	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydi	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India Primary India Prima	GY drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated of vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes ry fringe)	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) (B6) Concave S	uired; check ugery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rh Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Explain other (Explain (inches): n (inches):	ed Leaves (B9) d 4B) B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks) >16 >16	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India Primary India Prima	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits ( Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated of vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes resent? Yes ry fringe)	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	uired; check ugery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X No X itoring well, aer	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rh Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Explain (inches): n (inches): n (inches):	ed Leaves (B9) d 4B) B11) ertebrates (B13) Bulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks) >16 >16 >16	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) X Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) rology Present? Yes X No		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India Primary India Prima	drology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated of Vations: Present? Yes Present? Yes Sent? Yes sent? Yes sent? Yes orded Data (stream g	rs: of one req 2) B2) 34) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S  auge, moni	ujuired; check ngery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X itoring well, aer	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rh Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Explain other (Explain (inches): n (inches): n (inches):	ed Leaves (B9) <b>id 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 hizospheres alor f Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks) <b>&gt;16</b> <b>&gt;16</b> <b>&gt;16</b> ions), if available	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C X Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) X Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) rology Present? Yes X No		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India Primary India Prima	Arrology Indicator cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated of <b>vations:</b> Present? Yes tresent? Yes tresent? Yes sent? Yes sy fringe) Orded Data (stream g	rs: of one req 2) B2) B4) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S	Igery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X No X	all that apply	/) Water stain 1, 2, 4A, an Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rł Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Explain (inches): n (inches): n (inches): n (inches):	ed Leaves (B9) <b>id 4B)</b> B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks) <b>&gt;16</b> <b>&gt;16</b> <b>&gt;16</b> <b>ions</b> ), if available	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hyde a:	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water stained Leaves (B9)         (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (0         X       Geomorphic Position (D2)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         X       Fac-Neutral Test (D5)         Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)         Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
Remarks: HYDROLO Wetland Hy Primary India Primary India Prima	Cators (minimum of Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits ( Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks Inundation Visible or Sparsely Vegetated of vations: Present? Yes resent? Yes resent? Yes ry fringe) Drded Data (stream g	rs: of one req 2) B2) B2) (B6) (B6) Aerial Ima Concave S  auge, moni	uired; check agery (B7) urface (B8) No X No X No X itoring well, aer	all that apply	/) Water stain <b>1, 2, 4A, an</b> Salt Crust (I Aquatic Inve Hydrogen S Oxidized Rł Presence of Recent Iron Stunted or S Other (Explain (inches): n (inches): n (inches):	ed Leaves (B9) d 4B) B11) ertebrates (B13) Sulfide Odor (C1 nizospheres alou f Reduced Iron ( Reduction in P Stressed Plants ain in Remarks) >16 >16 ions), if available	(Except MLRA ) ng Living Roots (C3) (C4) owed Soils (C6) (D1) (LRR A) Wetland Hydr a:	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Water stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA1, 2, 4A, and 4B) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (0 X Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) X Fac-Neutral Test (D5) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) rology Present? Yes X No		

v		RMINATION		RM - Wester	rn Mountains, Val	levs and Coas	t Region	7645
Project/Site: N Pekin Road			City/County:	Woo	dland/Cowlitz	Sampling Date:	12/6/2022	
Applicant/Owner:	Trammell Crow Co	ompany			State:	WA	Sampling Point:	18
Investigator(s):	CT/TF		Section, To	wnship, Range:		 Sect. 24, T 5N, F	. 1W	
Landform (hillslope.	errace. etc.:)	Slope	- ,	Local relief (cor	ncave. convex. none):	none	Slope (%):	2%
Subregion (LRR):	LRR	A	Lat:	45.908		-122.7612	Datum:	WGS84
Soil Man I Init Name		Newberg fi	- ne sandv loam		NWI Cla	essification:		
	ic conditions on the site t	hunical for this time	a of year?	Vos	¥ No.	(if no evol	ain in Remarks)	
Are vegetation <b>N</b>	Soil <b>N</b> or H	vdrology <b>N</b>	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Normal Circumstan	(II II0, 000	Y	
Are vegetation N	Soil or H	ydrology N	naturally probler	natic? If needed	, explain any answers in Re	emarks.)	<u> </u>	
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS - Attac	ch site map s	showing sam	pling point	locations, transects	s, important feat	ures, etc.	
lydrophytic Vegetati	on Present? Yes	X No						
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No	X	Is Sampled Ar	ea within Magazian Yes		No X	
vetland Hvdrology F	· Present? Yes	 No		u monum				
Remarks:	•							
tomanto.								
EGETATION -	Use scientific na	mes of plants	s.					
		absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wo	rksheet:		
		% cover	Species?	Status				
ree Stratum (plo		)			Number of Dominant Spe	ecies		• `
1					That are OBL, FACW, or	FAC:	(	A)
2					Total Number of Domina	ot		
4					Species Across All Strata	a.	3 (	B)
-		0	= Total Cover				(	0)
Sopling/Shrub Stratu	m (plot sizo: <b>15</b>	)			Porcent of Dominant Spo			
1 Salix sn		/ 100	x	(FAC)	That are OBL_EACW_o		67%	A/R)
2 Rubus armen	niacus	100	<u> </u>	FAC				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
3					Prevalence Index W	orksheet:		
4					Total % Cover of	Multiply by	:	
5					OBL Species	x 1 =	0	
		110	= Total Cover		FACW species	x 2 =	0	
		、 、			FAC Species	x 3 =	0	
lerb Stratum (plo	t size: <b>5</b>	)	v	540	FACU Species	x 4 =	0	
			<u> </u>	FAC	UPL Species	x 5 =		D)
3					Column Totals	<b>0</b> (A)	(	D)
4					Prevalence Index =	B/A = #	DIV/0!	
5								
6					Hydrophytic Vegeta	ion Indicators:		
7						1- Rapid Test for Hydr	ophytic Vegetation	
8					<u> </u>	2- Dominance Test is	>50%	
		20	= Total Cover			3-Prevalence Index is	≤ 3.0 ¹	
Veedu Vinne Ot t	(nlot size: E	)				4-Morphological Adap	ations (provide su	ipporting
1 Rubus ursing		′	Y	FACU		aata in Remarks or on	a separate sheet)	
2	13			FACU		Problematic Hydrophy	tic Vegetation ¹ (Ev	nlain)
		5	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil a	and wetland hydrology	must be present	nless
					disturbed or problematic.			
					-			
		00			Hydrophytic	V		

SOIL			PHS #	764	45			Sampling Poin	.t:	18	
Profile Descri	iption: (Describe to Matrix	the depth	needed to docume	nt the indic Redox	ator or cor	nfirm the absen	ce of indicators.)				
(Inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Rem	arks		
0-8	7.5YR 3/2	100					Silt Loam				
8-12	7.5YR 4/2	100					Silt Loam	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
12-20	7 5YR 5/2	90	7 5VR 4/6	10		M	Silt Loam				
								·			
						·					
						·					
								·			
¹ Type: C=Con	centration, D=Deplet	ion, RM=Re	educed Matrix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated Sar	nd Grains.		² Location: PL=Pore Lining,	M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Appl	icable to	all LRRs, unless	s otherwis	se noted.)	)	India	cators for Problematic H	lydric Soils ³	•	
	Histosol (A1)			8	Sandy Redo	ox (S5)		2 cm Muck (	A10)		
	Histic Epipedon (A2)				Stripped Ma	trix (S6)		Red Parent Material (TF2)			
	Black Histic (A3)			L	.oamy Mucł	ky Mineral (F1) (	except MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
	Hydrogen Sulfide (A	4)		L	oamy Gley	ed Matrix (F2)		Other (explain in Remarks)			
	Depleted Below Dark	k Surface (A	A11)		Depleted Ma	atrix (F3)					
	Thick Dark Surface (	A12)		F	Redox Dark	Surface (F6)					
	Sandy Mucky Minera	ll (S1)			Depleted Da	ark Surface (F7)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic v	egetation and	wetland	
	Sandy Gleyed Matrix	(S4)		Redox Depressions (F8)				nyarology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
Restrictive	l aver (if present)	•				. ,					
Turne	Layer (ii present)	•									
Type:	、				-				N.	V	
Depth (inches	s)				-		Hydric Soli Pre			<u> </u>	
Remarks:											
Wotland Hy	VG T										
	diology indicator										
Primary Indi	cators (minimum o	of one req	uired; check all th	nat apply)				Secondary Indicators	(2 or more re	quired)	
	Surface Water (A1)				Vater staine	ed Leaves (B9) <b>(</b> d 4 <b>P</b> )	Except MLRA	Water staine	d Leaves (B9)		
	High Water Table (A	2)			, 2, 4A, an	u 4D)		(WILKA1, 2,	4A, and 4D)		
	Saturation (A3)				Salt Crust (E	311)		Drainage Pa	tterns (B10)		
	Water Marks (B1)			A	Aquatic Inve	ertebrates (B13)		Dry-Season	Water Table (C	;2)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)				ŀ	lydrogen S	ulfide Odor (C1)		Saturation V	isible on Aerial	Imagery (C9)	
	Drift Deposits (B3)			(	Dxidized Rh	izospheres alon	g Living Roots (C3)	Geomorphic	Position (D2)		
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)					Reduced Iron (0	C4)	Shallow Aqu	itard (D3)		
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Reduction in Plo	wed Soils (C6)	Fac-Neutral	Test (D5)		
	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					Stressed Plants (	D1) <b>(LRR A)</b>	Raised Ant M	Nounds (D6) (L	RR A)	
	Inundation Visible on	Aerial Ima	gery (B7)	(	Other (Expla	ain in Remarks)		Frost-Heave	Hummocks (D	7)	
	Sparsely Vegetated	Concave St	ипасе (В8)								
Field Obser	vations:										
Surface Water	r Present? Yes		No <u>X</u>	Depth (	inches):	N/A					
Water Table P	Present? Yes		No <u>X</u>	Depth (	inches):	>20	Wetland Hyd	drology Present?			
Saturation Pre	esent? Yes		No <u>X</u>	Depth (	inches):	>20		Yes	No	Х	
(includes capilla	ry fringe)										
Describe Reco	orded Data (stream g	auge, moni	toring well, aerial ph	otos, previc	ous inspecti	ons), if available	:				
Remarks:											

# **Appendix C**

Study Area Photos (ground level)





#### Photo A

Looking northeast at Sample Points 8 and 7 on the southwestern boundary of Wetland A.

Photo taken December 6, 2022.

#### Photo B

Looking southwest at Sample Points 18 and 17 on the southeastern boundary of Wetland A

Photo taken December 6, 2022.







Pacific Habitat Services, Inc. 9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 180 Wilsonville, OR 97070



#### Photo C

Looking south at Sample Points 14, 15, and 16, which characterize the northwestern boundary of Wetland A.

Photo taken December 6, 2022.

#### Photo D

Looking west at Sample Points 15 and 16 in the northeastern portion of Wetland A.

Photo taken December 6, 2022.







Pacific Habitat Services, Inc. 9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 180 Wilsonville, OR 97070



## Photo E

Looking west along the northern boundary of Wetland A.

Photo taken December 6, 2022.

#### Photo F

Looking southeast across the southeastern portion of the study area.

Photo taken December 6, 2022.







Pacific Habitat Services, Inc. 9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 180 Wilsonville, OR 97070



## Photo G

Looking northwest at Sample Points 9 and 10.

Photo taken December 6, 2022.

# Photo H

Looking south at Sample Points 11 and 12.

Photo taken December 6, 2022.







Pacific Habitat Services, Inc. 9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 180 Wilsonville, OR 97070



#### Photo I

Looking westat sample Point 6.

Photo taken November 23, 2022.

## Photo J

Looking south at Sample Points 13.

Photo taken December 6, 2022.







Pacific Habitat Services, Inc. 9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 180 Wilsonville, OR 97070



## Photo K

Looking northwest at Sample Point 2.

Photo taken November 23, 2022.

# Photo L

Looking west at Sample Points 4.

Photo taken November 23, 2022.







Pacific Habitat Services, Inc. 9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 180 Wilsonville, OR 97070



# Photo M

Looking west at Sample Point 5.

Photo taken November 23, 2022.

7645 3/20/2023



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc. 9450 SW Commerce Circle, Suite 180 Wilsonville, OR 97070
# **Appendix D**

# Wetland Rating Forms



# **RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington**

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY _____ (based on functions ____ or special characteristics ____)

### 1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

Category II – Total score = 20 - 22

**X** Category III – Total score = 16 - 19

**Category IV** – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	lı Wa	mprov ater Qu	'ing uality	H	ydrolo	gic		Habita	t	
					Circle t	he ap	propr	iate ra	tings	
Site Potential	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	
Landscape Potential	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	М	L	
Value	Н	Μ	L	н	М	L	Н	Μ	L	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings		6			5			5		16

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

9 = H,H,H 8 = H,H,M 7 = H,H,L 7 = H,M,M 6 = H,M,L 6 = M,M,M 5 = H,L,L 5 = M,M,L 4 = M,L,L

3 = L,L,L

### 2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY	
Estuarine	Ι	II
Wetland of High Conservation Value		I
Bog		Ι
Mature Forest		I
Old Growth Forest		Ι
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II
Interdunal	terdunal I II III	
None of the above		

# Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

### **Depressional Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	6A
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	n/a
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	n/a
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	7
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	n/a
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	8
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	9
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	n/a

### **Riverine Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

### Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

### Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of <b>dense, rigid</b> trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants ( <i>can be added to figure above</i> )	S 4.1	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

## HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

**NO** – go to 2 **YES** – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts perthousand)?

**NO - Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)** *If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for Riverine wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an* **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

**NO** – go to 3 **YES** – The wetland class is **Flats** *If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for* **Depressional** *wetlands.* 

Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?
 __The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size;
 __At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

NO – go to 4

o 4 YES – The wetland class is Lake Fringe (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - _____The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),
  - _____The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,

____The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

<mark>NO</mark> – go to 5

**YES –** The wetland class is **Slope** 

**NOTE**: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - _____The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,
  - ____The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

Wetland name or number <u>A</u>

**NO** – go to 6 **YES** – The wetland class is **Riverine NOTE**: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.* 

NO – go to 7

YES – The wetland class is Depressional

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO – go to 8

YES – The wetland class is Depressional

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

**NOTE**: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit	HGM class to
being rated	use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream	Depressional
within boundary of depression	
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other	Treat as
class of freshwater wetland	ESTUARINE

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS		
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve wa	ter quality	
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?		
D 1.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland:		
Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (	no outlet).	
	points = 3	
Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing	g outlet.	2
	points = 2	
Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing	points = 1	
Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch.	points = 1	
D 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions). Yes	s = 4 No = 0	0
D 1.3. Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cow	vardin classes):	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 95% of area	points = 5	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > $\frac{1}{2}$ of area	points = 3	5
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > $\frac{1}{10}$ of area	points = 1	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants <1/10 of area	points = 0	
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation:		
This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.		
Area seasonally ponded is > $\frac{1}{2}$ total area of wetland	points = 4	0
Area seasonally ponded is > ¼ total area of wetland	points = 2	
Area seasonally ponded is < ¼ total area of wetland	points = 0	
Total for D 1 Add the points in the l	ooxes above	7

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H X 6-11 = M 0-5 = L

Record the rating on the first page

D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?		
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0	
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	1	
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0	0	
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? SourceYes = 1 No = 0		
Total for D 2Add the points in the boxes above	1	

**Rating of Landscape Potential** If score is: <u>3 or 4 = H X 1 or 2 = M</u> 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality ( <i>answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which the unit is found</i> )? Yes = 2 No = 0	
Total for D 3 Add the points in the boxes above	1
Rating of Value       If score is:       2-4 = H_X_1 = M_0 = L       Record the rating on the first page	

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS			
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradation			
D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?			
D 4.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> : Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) points = 4 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outletpoints = 2 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch points = 1 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0	2		
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part. Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outletpoints = 7Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet	0		
D 4.3. <u>Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed</u> : <i>Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the wetland to the area of the wetland unit itself.</i> The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit points = 5 The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit points = 3 The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit points = 0 Entire wetland is in the Flats class points = 5	0		
Total for D 4     Add the points in the boxes above	2		
<b>Rating of Site Potential</b> If score is: $12-16 = H$ $6-11 = M$ $X$ $0-5 = L$ Record the rating on the	first page		
D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?			
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0		
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes = 1 No = 0	1		
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? Yes = 1 No = 0	1		
Total for D 5Add the points in the boxes above	2		
Rating of Landscape PotentialIf score is:3 = H_X_1 or 2 = M0 = LRecord the rating on the	first page		
D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?			
<ul> <li>D 6.1. <u>The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems</u>. <i>Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points</i>. <u>Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met</u>. The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds):</li> <li>Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of unit. points = 2</li> <li>Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient. points = 1</li> <li>Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin. points = 1</li> </ul>	0		
water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. <i>Explain why</i> points = 0			
points = 0			
D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? Yes = 2 No = 0	0		
Total for D 6Add the points in the boxes above	0		
Rating of Value If score is:2-4 = H1 = MX0 = LRecord the rating on the	first page		

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide importanthabitat	
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?	
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.        Aquatic bed       4 structures or more: points = 4        X Emergent       3 structures: points = 2        X Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover)       2 structures: points = 1        X Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover)       1 structure: points = 0         If the unit has a Forested class, check if:      X The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover)         that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon       10 structures	4
H 1.2. Hydroperiods         Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods).	0
H 1.3. Richness of plant species Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² . Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle If you counted: > 19 species 5 - 19 species <pre></pre>	2
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. <i>If you</i> <i>have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.</i> None = 0 points All three diagrams in this row are HIGH = 3points	2

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
X Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) <b>and/or</b> overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	
Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree	1
slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	
At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated <i>(structures for egg-laying by amphibians)</i>	
Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants ( <i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i> )	
Total for H 1Add the points in the boxes above	9

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___15-18 = H__X_7-14 = M___0-6 = L

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?	
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit).	
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat+ [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]=%	
If total accessible habitat is:	
$> 1/_{3}$ (33.3%) of 1km Polygon points = 3	0
20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2	
10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1	
< 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.	
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat+ [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]=_%	
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3	0
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2	0
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1	
Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If	
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (- 2)	-2
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0	
Total for H 2Add the points in the boxes above	-2
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:4-6 = H1-3 = MX < 1 = L	he first page

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?		
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose onl	ly the highest score	
that applies to the wetland being rated.		
Site meets ANY of the following criteria:	points = 2	
<ul> <li>It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the st</li> </ul>	ate or federal lists)	
<ul> <li>It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species</li> </ul>		1
<ul> <li>It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natura</li> </ul>	Resources	
<ul> <li>It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensiv</li> </ul>	re plan, in a	
Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan		
Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m	points = 1	
Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	
Rating of Value If score is: 2 = H X 1 = M 0 = L	Record the rating on th	ne first page

### **WDFW Priority Habitats**

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf</u> or access the list from here: <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/</u>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: *NOTE: This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.* 

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 see web link above*).
- **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 see web link above*).
- **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

**Note:** All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015

# **RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington**

 Name of wetland (or ID #):
 Wetland B
 Date of site visit:
 12/6/23

 Rated by
 Craig Tumer
 Trained by Ecology?__Yes___No Date of training_____

HGM Class used for rating <u>Depressional</u> Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y X N

**NOTE**: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined). Source of base aerial photo/map _____

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY _____(based on functions ____or special characteristics ____)

### 1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

Category II – Total score = 20 - 22

**Category III** – Total score = 16 - 19

**X** Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	l Wa	mprov ater Qu	ving uality	H	ydrolc	ogic		Habita	at	
					Circle t	the ap	propr	riate ra	tings	
Site Potential	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	
Landscape Potential	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	
Value	н	Μ	L	н	М	L	Н	Μ	L	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings		6			5			4		15

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

9 = H,H,H 8 = H,H,M 7 = H,H,L 7 = H,M,M 6 = H,M,L 6 = M,M,M 5 = H,L,L 5 = M,M,L 4 = M,L,L

3 = L,L,L

### 2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY		
Estuarine	I II		
Wetland of High Conservation Value	I		
Bog	Ι		
Mature Forest	I		
Old Growth Forest	I		
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II	
Interdunal	I II III IV		
None of the above			

# Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

### **Depressional Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	6B
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	n/a
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	n/a
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	7
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	n/a
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	8
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	9
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	n/a

### **Riverine Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen canture of man of 202(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	P 2 1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

### Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

### Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of <b>dense, rigid</b> trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants ( <i>can be added to figure above</i> )	S 4.1	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

## HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

**NO** – go to 2 **YES** – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts perthousand)?

**NO - Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)** *If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for Riverine wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an* **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

NO – go to 3 YES – The wetland class is Flats *If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for Depressional wetlands.* 

Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?
 __The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size;
 __At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

NO – go to 4

yesYes - The wetland class is Lake Fringe (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - _____The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),
  - _____The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,

____The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

<mark>NO</mark> – go to 5

**YES –** The wetland class is **Slope** 

**NOTE**: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - _____The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,
  - ____The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

Wetland name or number <u>B</u>

**NO** – go to 6 **YES** – The wetland class is **Riverine NOTE**: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.* 

NO – go to 7

YES – The wetland class is Depressional

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO – go to 8

YES – The wetland class is Depressional

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

**NOTE**: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit	HGM class to
being rated	use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream	Depressional
within boundary of depression	
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other	Treat as
class of freshwater wetland	ESTUARINE

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS		
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve wa	ter quality	
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?		
D 1.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland:		
Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (	no outlet).	
	points = 3	
Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing	g outlet.	3
	points = 2	
Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing	points = 1	
Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch.	points = 1	
D 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions). Yes	s = 4 No = 0	0
D 1.3. Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cow	vardin classes):	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 95% of area	points = 5	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > $\frac{1}{2}$ of area	points = 3	5
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > $\frac{1}{10}$ of area	points = 1	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants $<^{1}/_{10}$ of area	points = 0	
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation:		
This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.		
Area seasonally ponded is $> \frac{1}{2}$ total area of wetland	points = 4	2
Area seasonally ponded is > ¼ total area of wetland	points = 2	
Area seasonally ponded is < ¼ total area of wetland	points = 0	
Total for D 1     Add the points in the b	ooxes above	10

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___12-16 = H_X_6-11 = M___0-5 = L

Record the rating on the first page

D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?		
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0	
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	1	
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0	0	
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? SourceYes = 1 No = 0		
Total for D 2Add the points in the boxes above	1	

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 or 4 = H X 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?		
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	0	
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1	
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality ( <i>answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which the unit is found</i> )? Yes = 2 No = 0		
Total for D 3Add the points in the boxes above	0	
Rating of Value       If score is:       2-4 = H       X       1 = M       0 = L       Record the rating on the first page		

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS				
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradation				
D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?				
D 4.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> : Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) points = 4 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outletpoints = 2 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch points = 1 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0	4			
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part.Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outletpoints = 7Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet	7			
D 4.3. <u>Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed</u> : <i>Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the wetland to the area of the wetland unit itself.</i> The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit points = 5 The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit points = 3 The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit points = 0 Entire wetland is in the Flats class points = 5	0			
Total for D 4Add the points in the boxes above	11			
Rating of Site Potential If score is:       12-16 = H       X       6-11 = M       0-5 = L       Record the rating on the provided	first page			
D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?				
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0			
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes = 1 No = 0	0			
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? Yes = 1 No = 0	1			
Total for D 5Add the points in the boxes above	1			
Rating of Landscape Potential       If score is:3 = H_X_1 or 2 = M0 = L       Record the rating on the provided of the state of the	first page			
D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?				
<ul> <li>D 6.1. <u>The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems</u>. <i>Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. <u>Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met.</u> The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds):</i></li> <li>Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of unit. points = 2</li> <li>Surface flooding problems are in a sub basin father down gradient</li> </ul>	0			
<ul> <li>Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient.</li> <li>Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin.</li> <li>points = 1</li> </ul>	0			
The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. <i>Explain why</i> points = 0 There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland.				
P(G) lies the site been identified as important for flood starses or flood services in a matrix flood starses of flood starses of flood starses in a matrix flood starses of				
V 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? Yes = 2 No = 0	0			
Total for D 6Add the points in the boxes above	0			
Rating of Value If score is:       2-4 = H       1 = M       X       0 = L       Record the rating on the provided	first page			

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat	
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?	
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.        Aquatic bed       4 structures or more: points = 4        Bergent       3 structures: points = 2        Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover)       2 structures: points = 1        X Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover)       1 structure: points = 0         If the unit has a Forested class, check if:      The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover)         that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon       1 structures	0
H 1 2 Hydroneriods	
Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods).        Permanently flooded or inundated       4 or more types present: points = 3        Seasonally flooded or inundated       3 types present: points = 2        Occasionally flooded or inundated       2 types present: points = 1        Saturated only       1 type present: points = 0        Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland       2 points        Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland       2 points        Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland       2 points	1
H 1.3. Richness of plant species         Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² .         Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle         If you counted: > 19 species       points = 2         5 - 19 species       points = 1         < 5 species	1
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. <i>If you</i> <i>have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.</i> None = 0 points All three diagrams in this row are <b>HIGH</b> = 3points	0

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
X_Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) <b>and/or</b> overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	
Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	3
<ul> <li>X At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (<i>structures for egg-laying by amphibians</i>)</li> <li>X Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (<i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i>)</li> </ul>	
Tatal for 111	-
Add the points in the boxes above	5

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___15-18 = H____7-14 = M__X_0-6 = L

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?	
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit).	
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat+ [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]=%	
If total accessible habitat is:	
$> 1/_{3}$ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3	0
20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2	
10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1	
< 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.	
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat+ [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]=_%	
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3	0
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2	0
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1	
Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If	
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (- 2)	-2
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0	
Total for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above	-2
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:4-6 = H1-3 = MX < 1 = L Record the rating on the second secon	he first page

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?	_
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose only the highest score	
that applies to the wetland being rated.	
Site meets ANY of the following criteria: points = 2	
<ul> <li>It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page)</li> </ul>	
— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists)	
<ul> <li>It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species</li> </ul>	1
<ul> <li>It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources</li> </ul>	
— It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a	
Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan	
Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m points = 1	
Site does not meet any of the criteria above points = 0	
Rating of Value If score is:       2 = H       X       1 = M       0 = L       Record the rating on	the first page

### **WDFW Priority Habitats**

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf</u> or access the list from here: <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/</u>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: *NOTE: This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.* 

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 see web link above*).
- **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 see web link above*).
- **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

**Note:** All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015

# **RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington**

 Name of wetland (or ID #):
 Wetland C
 Date of site visit: 12/6/23

 Rated by
 Craig Tumer
 Trained by Ecology? Yes No Date of training

HGM Class used for rating <u>Depressional</u> Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y X N

**NOTE:** Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined). Source of base aerial photo/map _____

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY _____ (based on functions ____ or special characteristics ____)

### 1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

Category II – Total score = 20 - 22

**Category III** – Total score = 16 - 19

**X** Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality		Hydrologic			Habitat				
					Circle t	the ap	propr	riate ra	tings	
Site Potential	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	
Landscape Potential	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	
Value	н	Μ	L	н	М	L	Н	Μ	L	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings		6			5			4		15

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

9 = H,H,H 8 = H,H,M 7 = H,H,L 7 = H,M,M 6 = H,M,L 6 = M,M,M 5 = H,L,L 5 = M,M,L 4 = M,L,L

3 = L,L,L

### 2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY	
Estuarine	Ι	II
Wetland of High Conservation Value		I
Bog		Ι
Mature Forest		Ι
Old Growth Forest		Ι
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II
Interdunal	I II III IV	
None of the above		

# Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

### **Depressional Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	6C
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	n/a
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	n/a
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	7
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	n/a
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	8
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	9
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	n/a

### **Riverine Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen canture of man of 202(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	P 2 1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

### Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

### Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of <b>dense, rigid</b> trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants ( <i>can be added to figure above</i> )	S 4.1	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

## HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

**NO** – go to 2 **YES** – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts perthousand)?

**NO - Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)** *If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for Riverine wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an* **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

**NO** – go to 3 **YES** – The wetland class is **Flats** *If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for* **Depressional** *wetlands.* 

Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?
 __The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size;
 __At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

NO – go to 4

yesYes - The wetland class is Lake Fringe (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - _____The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),
  - _____The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,

____The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

<mark>NO</mark> – go to 5

**YES –** The wetland class is **Slope** 

**NOTE**: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - _____The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,
  - ____The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

Wetland name or number <u>C</u>

**NO** – go to 6 **YES** – The wetland class is **Riverine NOTE**: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.* 

NO – go to 7

YES – The wetland class is Depressional

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO – go to 8

YES – The wetland class is Depressional

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

**NOTE**: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit	HGM class to
being rated	use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream	Depressional
within boundary of depression	
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other	Treat as
class of freshwater wetland	ESTUARINE

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality				
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?				
D 1.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> : Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet). points = 3 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet. points = 2 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch. points = 1 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch. points = 1	3			
D 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions). Yes = 4 No = 0	0			
D 1.3. <u>Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants</u> (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes): Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 95% of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > ½ of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > $\frac{1}{10}$ of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants < $\frac{1}{10}$ of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants < $\frac{1}{10}$ of area D 1.3. <u>Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants</u> (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes): Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants < $\frac{1}{10}$ of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants < $\frac{1}{10}$ of area Points = 0	5			
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation:         This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.         Area seasonally ponded is > ½ total area of wetland         Area seasonally ponded is > ½ total area of wetland         Area seasonally ponded is > ¼ total area of wetland         Area seasonally ponded is < ¼ total area of wetland	0			
Total for D 1     Add the points in the boxes above	8			

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___12-16 = H_X_6-11 = M___0-5 = L

Record the rating on the first page

D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?				
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0			
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	1			
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0	0			
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? Source Yes = 1 No = 0				
Total for D 2Add the points in the boxes above	1			

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 or 4 = H X 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?		
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	0	
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1	
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality ( <i>answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which the unit is found</i> )? Yes = 2 No = 0		
Total for D 3Add the points in the boxes above	0	
Rating of Value       If score is:       2-4 = H_X_1 = M_0 = L       Record the rating on the first page		

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS				
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradation				
D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?				
D 4.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> : Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) points = 4 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outletpoints = 2 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch points = 1 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0	4			
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part. Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outlet points = 7 Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 5 Marks are at least 0.5 ft to < 2 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 3 The wetland is a "headwater" wetland points = 1 Marks of ponding less than 0.5 ft (6 in) points = 0	0			
D 4.3. Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed: Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the wetland to the area of the wetland unit itself. The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit points = 5 The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit points = 3 The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit points = 0 Entire wetland is in the Flats class points = 5	0			
Total for D 4     Add the points in the boxes above	4			
<b>Rating of Site Potential</b> If score is: $12-16 = H_6-11 = M_X_0-5 = L$ Record the rating on the	first page			
D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?				
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0			
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes = $1 \text{ No} = 0$	1			
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? Yes = 1 No = 0	1			
Total for D 5Add the points in the boxes above	2			
Rating of Landscape Potential       If score is:3 = HX 1 or 2 = M0 = L       Record the rating on the J	first page			
D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?				
<ul> <li>D 6.1. <u>The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems</u>. <i>Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points</i>. <u>Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met</u>. The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds):</li> <li>Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of unit. points = 2</li> <li>Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient. points = 1</li> <li>Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin. points = 1</li> <li>The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the water stored by the wotland capnet reach areas that flood. <i>Explain why</i></li> </ul>	0			
There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland				
points = 0				
D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? Yes = 2 No = 0	0			
Total for D 6Add the points in the boxes above	0			
Rating of Value If score is: $2-4 = H$ $1 = M$ $X_0 = L$ Record the rating on the	first page			

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat	
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?	
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.        Aquatic bed       4 structures or more: points = 4        Berregent       3 structures: points = 2        Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover)       2 structures: points = 1        X Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover)       1 structure: points = 0         If the unit has a Forested class, check if:      X The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover)         that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon       1	1
that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon	
H 1.2. Hydroperiods         Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods).        Permanently flooded or inundated       4 or more types present: points = 3        Seasonally flooded or inundated       3 types present: points = 2        Occasionally flooded or inundated       2 types present: points = 1        X_Saturated only       1 type present: points = 0        Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland       2 points = 0	0
H 1.3. Richness of plant species         Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² .         Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle         If you counted: > 19 species       points = 2         5 - 19 species       points = 1         < 5 species	1
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. <i>If you</i> <i>have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.</i> None = 0 points All three diagrams in this row are <b>HIGH</b> = 3points	0

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
X Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) <b>and/or</b> overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	
Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree	1
slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	
At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated ( <i>structures for egg-laying by amphibians</i> )	
Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants ( <i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i> )	
Total for H 1Add the points in the boxes above	3

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___15-18 = H____7-14 = M__X_0-6 = L

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?	
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit).	
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat+ [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]=	%
If total accessible habitat is:	_
$> 1/_{3}$ (33.3%) of 1km Polygon points =	3 0
20-33% of 1 km Polygon points =	2
10-19% of 1 km Polygon points =	1
< 10% of 1 km Polygon points =	0
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.	
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat+ [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]=_%	
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points =	3 0
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points =	2
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points =	1
Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points =	0
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If	
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2	2) -2
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points =	0
Total for H 2Add the points in the boxes above	e -2
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:4-6 = H1-3 = MX_<1 = L Record the rating	on the first page

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?	
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose only the highest score	
that applies to the wetland being rated.	
Site meets ANY of the following criteria: points = 2	
<ul> <li>It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page)</li> </ul>	
— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists)	
<ul> <li>It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species</li> </ul>	1
<ul> <li>It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources</li> </ul>	
— It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a	
Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan	
Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m points = 1	
Site does not meet any of the criteria above points = 0	
Rating of Value If score is:       2 = H X 1 = M 0 = L       Record the rating of Value If score is:	n the first page

### **WDFW Priority Habitats**

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf</u> or access the list from here: <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/</u>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: *NOTE: This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.* 

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 see web link above*).
- **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 see web link above*).
- **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

**Note:** All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015

# **RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington**

 Name of wetland (or ID #):
 Wetland D
 Date of site visit: 12/6/23

 Rated by
 Craig Tumer
 Trained by Ecology?
 Yes
 No Date of training

HGM Class used for rating <u>Depressional</u> Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y X N

**NOTE**: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined). Source of base aerial photo/map _____

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY _____ (based on functions ____ or special characteristics ____)

### 1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

Category I – Total score = 23 - 27

Category II – Total score = 20 - 22

**Category III** – Total score = 16 - 19

**X** Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality		Hydrologic			Habita				
					Circle t	the ap	propr	riate ra	tings	
Site Potential	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	
Landscape Potential	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	Н	Μ	L	
Value	н	Μ	L	н	М	L	Н	Μ	L	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings		6			5			4		15

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

9 = H,H,H 8 = H,H,M 7 = H,H,L 7 = H,M,M 6 = H,M,L 6 = M,M,M 5 = H,L,L 5 = M,M,L 4 = M,L,L

3 = L,L,L

### 2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY		
Estuarine	I II		
Wetland of High Conservation Value	I		
Bog	I		
Mature Forest	I		
Old Growth Forest	I		
Coastal Lagoon	Ι	II	
Interdunal	I II III IV		
None of the above			

# Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

### **Depressional Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	6C
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	n/a
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	n/a
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	7
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	n/a
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	8
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	9
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	n/a

### **Riverine Wetlands**

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

### Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

### Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of <b>dense, rigid</b> trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants (can be added to figure above)	S 4.1	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015

## HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?

**NO** – go to 2 **YES** – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts perthousand)?

**NO - Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)** *If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for Riverine wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an* **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

NO – go to 3 YES – The wetland class is Flats *If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for Depressional wetlands.* 

Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?
 __The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size;
 __At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

<mark>NO</mark> – go to 4

o 4 YES – The wetland class is Lake Fringe (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - _____The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),
  - _____The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,

____The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

<mark>NO</mark> – go to 5

YES – The wetland class is Slope

**NOTE**: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
  - _____The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river,
  - ____The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

Wetland name or number <u>D</u>

**NO** – go to 6 **YES** – The wetland class is **Riverine NOTE**: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.* 

NO – go to 7

YES – The wetland class is Depressional

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO - go to 8

YES – The wetland class is Depressional

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

**NOTE**: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit	HGM class to
being rated	use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream	Depressional
within boundary of depression	
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other	Treat as
class of freshwater wetland	ESTUARINE

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality		
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?		
D 1.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> : Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet). points = 3 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet. points = 2	3	
Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 1         Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch. points = 1         D.1.2. The sail 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true errors (use NRCC definitions) Yes = 4 No = 0	0	
D 1.2. <u>Ine soil 2 in below the surface (or dull layer)</u> is true clay or true organic ( <i>use NRCS definitions</i> ). Yes = 4 No = 0         D 1.3. <u>Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants</u> (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes):         Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 95% of area       points = 5         Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > ½ of area       points = 3         Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > 1/ ₁₀ of area       points = 1         Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants < 1/ ₁₀ of area       points = 0	5	
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation:         This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.         Area seasonally ponded is > ½ total area of wetland         Area seasonally ponded is > ½ total area of wetland         Area seasonally ponded is < ½ total area of wetland	0	
Total for D 1Add the points in the boxes above	8	

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___12-16 = H_X_6-11 = M___0-5 = L

Record the rating on the first page

D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? SourceYes = 1 No = 0	0
Total for D 2Add the points in the boxes above	1

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 or 4 = H X 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality ( <i>answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which the unit is found</i> )? Yes = 2 No = 0	
Total for D 3 Add the points in the boxes above	0
Rating of Value       If score is:       2-4 = H_X_1 = M_0 = L       Record the rating on the first page	

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS		
Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradati	on	
D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?		
D 4.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland</u> : Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) points = 4 Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outletpoints = 2 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch points = 1 Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0	4	
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part. Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outletpoints = 7Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet	0	
D 4.3. <u>Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed</u> : <i>Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the wetland to the area of the wetland unit itself.</i> The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit points = 5 The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit points = 3 The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit points = 0 Entire wetland is in the Flats class points = 5	0	
Total for D 4Add the points in the boxes above	4	
Rating of Site Potential If score is:       12-16 = H       6-11 = M       X       0-5 = L       Record the rating on the standard term of t	first page	
D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?		
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	0	
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes = 1 No = 0	1	
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? Yes = 1 No = 0	1	
Total for D 5Add the points in the boxes above	2	
Rating of Landscape Potential       If score is:3 = HX_1 or 2 = M0 = L       Record the rating on the provided on t	first page	
D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?		
<ul> <li>D 6.1. <u>The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems</u>. Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. <u>Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met</u>. The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds):</li> <li>Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of unit. points = 2</li> <li>Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient. points = 1</li> <li>Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin. points = 1</li> <li>The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the</li> </ul>	0	
water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. <i>Explain why</i> points = 0		
There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland. points = 0		
D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? Yes = 2 No = 0	0	
Total for D 6Add the points in the boxes above	0	
Rating of Value If score is:       2-4 = H       1 = M       X       0 = L       Record the rating on the provided	first page	

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.		
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat		
H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?		
H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.        Aquatic bed       4 structures or more: points = 4        Berregent       3 structures: points = 2        Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover)       2 structures: points = 1        X Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover)       1 structure: points = 0         If the unit has a Forested class, check if:      X The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover)         that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon       1	1	
that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon		
H 1.2. Hydroperiods         Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods).        Permanently flooded or inundated       4 or more types present: points = 3        Seasonally flooded or inundated       3 types present: points = 2        Occasionally flooded or inundated       2 types present: points = 1        X_Saturated only       1 type present: points = 0        Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland       2 points = 0	0	
H 1.3. Richness of plant species         Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² .         Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle         If you counted: > 19 species       points = 2         5 - 19 species       points = 1         < 5 species	1	
H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. <i>If you</i> <i>have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.</i> None = 0 points All three diagrams in this row are <b>HIGH</b> = 3points	0	

H 1.5. Special habitat features:	
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.	
X Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).	
Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland	
Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) <b>and/or</b> overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)	
Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree	1
slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed)	
At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated ( <i>structures for egg-laying by amphibians</i> )	
Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants ( <i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i> )	
Total for H 1Add the points in the boxes above	3

Rating of Site Potential If score is: ___15-18 = H____7-14 = M__X_0-6 = L

Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?	
H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit).	
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat+ [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]=%	
If total accessible habitat is:	
$> 1/_{3}$ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3	0
20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2	
10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1	
< 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.	
Calculate: % undisturbed habitat+ [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]=_%	
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3	0
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2	0
Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1	
Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If	
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (- 2)	-2
≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0	
Total for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above	-2
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:4-6 = H1-3 = MX< 1 = LRecord the rating on the second the seco	ne first page

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?		
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? <i>Choose only the h that applies to the wetland being rated.</i>	nighest score	
Site meets ANY of the following criteria:	points = 2	
<ul> <li>It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page)</li> </ul>		
— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or	federal lists)	
<ul> <li>It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species</li> </ul>		1
<ul> <li>It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resource</li> </ul>	urces	
<ul> <li>It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan,</li> </ul>	, ina	
Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan		
Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m	points = 1	
Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	
Rating of Value     If score is:     2 = H     X     1 = M     0 = L     Record	d the rating on	the first page
# **WDFW Priority Habitats**

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf</u> or access the list from here: <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/</u>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: *NOTE: This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.* 

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth west of Cascade crest</u> Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. <u>Mature forests</u> Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 see web link above*).
- **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 see web link above*).
- **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

**Note:** All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update Rating Form – Effective January 1, 2015



Site Plan Alternatives



# SITE DATA

TOTAL SITE AREA WETLANDS ROSE WAY RIGHT OF WAY (ROW) DEVELOPABLE SITE AREA PROPOSED BUILDING AREA TOTAL BUILDING COVERAGE ± 3,027,140 SF (69.49 AC) ± 144,135 SF (3.31 AC) ±53,091 SF (1.22 AC) ± 2,829,914 SF (64.97 AC) 811,200 SF 28.67%

# **BUILDING DATA**

	BUILDING AREA	AUTO PARKING	DOCK DOORS	TRAILER PARKING
BLDG A	811,200 SF	372	192	438
TOTAL	811,200 SF	372	192	438

# SITE PLAN - OPTION 1 July 17, 2023 | Mackenzie | 2220334.00

M.



# SITE DATA

TOTAL SITE AREA WETLANDS DEVELOPABLE SITE AREA PROPOSED BUILDING AREA TOTAL BUILDING COVERAGE ± 3,027,140 SF (69.49 AC) ± 144,135 SF (3.31 AC) ± 2,883,005 SF (66.18 AC) 879,420 SF 30.50 %

Μ.

# **BUILDING DATA**

	BUILDING AREA	AUTO PARKING	DOCK DOORS	TRAILER PARKING
BLDG A	606,620 SF	381 (.63/1,000)	144	148
BLDG B	272,800 SF	162 (.59/1,000)	46	99
TOTAL	879,420 SF	543 (.62/1,000)	190	258

SITE PLAN - OPTION 2 August 23, 2023 | Mackenzie | 2220334.00



# Figure 3



•	Site Boundary
-	Parcel Boundary

LEGEND:

Proposed Lot Boundary

- Wetland Boundary
- Wetland Buffer
- 15' Building Setback
- Agricultural Ditch w/ Flow Direction
- Oak Tree
- Oak Dripline
- 1' Contours
- Wetland Impact (0.02 ac./756 sq. ft.)
- Buffer Impact (0.24 ac./10,392 sq. ft.) Oak Dripline Impact
- (0.01 ac./558 sq. ft.)
- Mitigation Areas (8.27 ac./360,307 sq. ft.) Contraction Contraction
  - **Brush Pile**
  - Bird Box
  - Bat Box

# **APPENDIX D**

WDFW Functional Assessment for Individual Oregon White Oak Trees

Supplemental Table 2: Functional Assessment for Individual Oregon White Oak Trees

Metric	Present?	Multiplier	Section Score	
Size of Oak Trees				
>76cm (30 in) dbh	1	6		6
50-76 cm (24 – 30 in) dbh		5		
30 – 50 cm (12 - 24 in) dbh		3		
<30 cm (12 in) dbh		1		
Condition of Crown				
Well-formed/dominant	1	3		3
Suppressed/stunted		2		
Seedling/Sapling		1		
Wildlife Value				
Acorn production	1	2	2	6
Leaves available for wildlife browsing	1	1	1	
Presence of cavities	0	2	0	
Presence of dead branches	1	1	1	
Presence of galls or fungi	1	1	1	
Presence of heart rot or carpenter ants	0	1	0	
Proximity to other Oregon white oaks (<0.5 km)	1	1	1	
	<u> </u>	-	<b>Total Score</b>	15
* High Function = Score > 10; Medium Function = Score of 6 – 10; Low Function = Score 4-6, Minimal Functional = <4				

## Oak 1: Total Area of Canopy (square feet) = 6,369

## Oak 6: Total Area of Canopy (square feet) = 2,780

Metric	Present?	Multiplier	Section	Score
Size of Oak Trees				
>76cm (30 in) dbh		6		5
50-76 cm (24 – 30 in) dbh	1	5		
30 – 50 cm (12 - 24 in) dbh		3		
<30 cm (12 in) dbh		1		
Condition of Crown				
Well-formed/dominant		3		2
Suppressed/stunted	1	2		
Seedling/Sapling		1		
Wildlife Value				
Acorn production	1	2	2	5
Leaves available for wildlife browsing	1	1	1	
Presence of cavities	0	2	0	
Presence of dead branches	1	1	1	
Presence of galls or fungi	0	1	0	
Presence of heart rot or carpenter ants	0	1	0	
Proximity to other Oregon white oaks (<0.5 km)	1	1	1	
	·		<b>Total Score</b>	12
* High Function = Score > 10; Medium Function = Score of 6 – 10; Low Function = Score 4-6, Minimal Functional = <4				

# **APPENDIX E**

Columbia River Wetland Mitigation Bank Functional Analysis

## **COLUMBIA RIVER WETLAND MITIGATION BANK FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS**

## WETLAND FUNCTIONS PROVIDED AT COLUMBIA RIVER WETLAND MITIGATION BANK

The following is excerpted from the CRMB Mitigation Banking Instrument (MBI):

The CRWMB's excavation and earth-moving was completed in September 2011 and plant installation was completed in spring 2013, with the broad ecological goals of:

- Creating additional wetland area by excavating a portion of the site.
- Creating and enhancing a variety of habitat types (forested, scrub-shrub, emergent, aquatic bed wetlands) interspersed throughout the site.
- Controlling onsite invasive species such as reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), tansy ragwort (*Senecio jacobea*), and Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*).
- Creating and enhancing wildlife habitat structure and function of the site.

It is anticipated that within 50 to 80 years, the site will be a cottonwood forest typical of historic conditions within the Columbia River floodplain. Open rolling grassy areas interspersed with open water will decrease on site as a result of the proposed Bank site design, which is anticipated to restore the site to a riparian forested floodplain wetland over time. The existing grazed fields will gradually convert to a forested scrub-shrub wetland complex, interspersed with the existing emergent and aquatic bed habitats on site (Clark County Mitigation Partners, LLC and ELS 2009).

#### **ANTICIPATED FUNCTIONAL LIFT**

#### WATER QUALITY

As a result of implementing the Bank, the vegetative roughness on the site has increased over existing conditions. Functions related to water quality, such as sediment removal, nutrient removal, and removing toxins and organics have increased post construction, both because of increased vegetated species roughness and structure, and because of the additional vegetated wetland area added are flooded and remain aerobic. These types of areas are thought to contribute to the removal of toxic organic compounds (Hruby *et al.* 1999).

#### WATER QUANTITY

Excavating to create 27.10 acres of new wetland area has increased floodwater storage available onsite and contributes to reducing peak flows within the immediate vicinity of the Bank. The Bank site is a part of the Vancouver Lake Lowlands and is in a landscape position to receive and retain floodwaters from the Vancouver Lake sub-basin and the Lake River sub-basin before the waters draw down and exit to Vancouver Lake, Lake River, and the Columbia River; As Vancouver Lake fills, it backwaters into the Bank site. Sediments in floodwaters may settle out and be absorbed by emergent vegetation onsite. The Bank also provides additional peak flow storage, but since the floodwaters entering the site are backwater from Vancouver Lake, as well as hyporheic flow from the Columbia River, the Bank site is not likely to decrease downstream erosion.

The Bank site's hydrology is directly correlated to Columbia River flows. As such, it contributes to, and is directly affected by maintaining seasonal flow associated with the Columbia River. Excavation to create new wetland area increases the site's capacity to store surface water, provides additional baseflow support, and contributes to groundwater recharge.

#### ΗΑΒΙΤΑΤ

In general, because of the increase in vegetative structure and function, and the increase in habitat interspersion, functions associated with general habitat have increased onsite as a result of Bank establishment.

Overall general habitat suitability at the Bank site has increased as a result of Bank establishment, which results in more wetlands onsite, a much higher degree of wetland structure, habitat interspersion, and native species richness, and higher function than pre-construction conditions. Implementing the Bank has removed existing stressors on wetland function – the grazing cattle were removed, and the site is managed much more intensively to remove existing invasive species and to favor native plant establishment.

#### **BUFFERS**

The buffer surrounding the mitigation bank varies from 100 to 150 feet at the east and south boundaries, which consists of active floodplain forest and scrub area interspersed with the existing wetland. The west and north boundaries of the Bank site are adjacent to Vancouver Lake Wildlife area, which provides a buffer of forested and scrub-shrub active floodplain to the site, allowing protection from intensive human activity and development.

# **APPENDIX F**

Arbor Science Tree Care Tree Management Recommendations



# **ARBOR SCIENCE TREE CARE**

SPECIALIZING IN SUSTAINABLE TREE CARE SOLUTIONS

arborsciencetreecare.com 360.521.0249

WA# ARBORST838DT OR CCB# 216351

September 13, 2023

Trammell Crow Portland Development Inc. 1300 SW Fifth Ave, Suite 3350 Portland, OR 97201

345 North Pekin Rd Woodland WA, 98674 Cowlitz County Parcels : 507350104, 507350102, and 507350103 69.5 acres

Re: Oak tree protection plan and conservation guidelines.

#### **Outline:**

There are 10 Oregon white oak trees (quercus garryana) on this development site that are outlined for protection and retention.

The majority of these trees will see very little disruption to their critical root zone with the currently proposed site plan. There is one oak tree that may see subtle impact to its critical root zone due to proximity and placement of buildings and site improvements. Tree specific protection guidelines will be detailed. (See tree specific protection guidelines section G below.) Said tree is located in the SE corner of this site and is detailed on the provided site survey map as oak tree #1.

Standardized Tree, Vegetation and Soil Protection During Construction:

### **During construction:**

Prior to initiating construction or tree removal on the site, soils, vegetated areas and individual trees to be preserved shall be protected from potentially damaging activities pursuant to the following standards.

**A. Placing Materials Near Trees.** No person may conduct any activity within the protected area of any tree designated to remain, including, but not limited to:

- parking equipment or driving over with equipment,
- placing solvents,
- storing building material and soil deposits,
- dumping concrete washout.

1. During construction, no person shall attach any object to any tree designated for protection.

**B. Protective Barrier.** Before development, land clearing, filling or any land alteration for which a tree removal permit or protection plan is required, the developer:

1. Shall erect and maintain readily visible protective tree fencing along the outer edge and completely surrounding the protected area of all protected trees or groups of trees that are to remain undisturbed. Fences shall be constructed of chain link and at least four feet high, unless other type of fencing is authorized by the planning official.

2.Shall prohibit excavation or compaction of earth or other potentially damaging activities within the barriers.

3. Shall maintain the protective barriers in place until the planning official authorizes their removal or a final certificate of occupancy is issued, whichever occurs first

4. Shall ensure that any landscaping done in the protected zone subsequent to the removal of the barriers shall be accomplished with light machinery or hand labor. No turf or lawn areas are to be installed within protected area.

5. In addition to the above, the planning official may require the following:

• Cover with mulch to a depth of at least six (6) inches or with plywood or similar material the areas adjoining the critical root zone of a tree in order to protect roots from damage caused by heavy equipment.

- Minimize root damage by excavating a two (2) foot deep trench, at edge of critical root zone, to cleanly sever the roots of trees to be retained.
- Have corrective pruning performed on protected trees in order to avoid damage from machinery or building activity.
- Maintain trees throughout construction period by watering and fertilizing if recommended by Arborist.

## C. Grade:

1. The grade shall not be elevated or reduced within the critical root zone of trees to be preserved without the planning official's authorization. The planning official may allow coverage of up to one half of the area of the tree's critical root zone with light soils (no clay) to the minimum depth necessary to carry out grading or landscaping plans, if it will not imperil the survival of the tree. Aeration devices may be required to ensure the tree's survival.

2. If the grade adjacent to a preserved tree is raised such that it could slough or erode into the tree's critical root zone, it shall be permanently stabilized to prevent suffocation of the roots.

3. The developer shall not install an impervious surface within the critical root zone of any tree to be retained without the authorization of the planning official. The planning official may require specific construction methods and/or use of aeration devices to ensure the tree's survival and to minimize the potential for root induced damage to the impervious surface.

4. To the greatest extent practical, utility trenches shall be located outside of the critical root zone of trees to be retained. The planning official may require that utilities be tunneled under the roots of trees to be retained if the planning official determines that trenching would significantly reduce the chances of the tree's survival.

5. Trees and other vegetation to be retained shall be protected from erosion and sedimentation. Clearing operations shall be conducted so as to expose the smallest practical area of soil to erosion for the least possible time. To control erosion, shrubs, ground cover and stumps shall be maintained on the individual lots, where feasible. Where not feasible appropriate erosion control practices shall be implemented pursuant to best management practices within industry standards.

**D. Directional felling.** Directional felling of trees shall be used to avoid damage to trees designated for retention and shall be conducted so as to expose the smallest practical area of soil to erosion for the least possible time. To control erosion, shrubs, ground cover and stumps shall be retained where feasible. Where not feasible, appropriate erosion control practices shall be implemented pursuant to industry standards.

## E. Landscape plantings and removal of competitive trees or vegetation with in the critical root zone.

1. Care must be taken to avoid root damage and soil compression during the removal of competitive or invasive vegetation with in the critical root zone. Removal of vegetation and small shrubs should be completed with hand tools or light machinery. If light machinery is to be used (ie mini excavator or low ground psi machine) the machine should be placed outside the critical area if possible. Plants should be pulled out by means of cable choker or strap around stem not dug out.

2. For larger tree and plant removal see above section D. directional felling. It is suggested that stumps be cut flush with grade and left in place. If the removal of stumps is necessary only the immediate surface of stump to no > 2" below grade should be ground. Care should be take to not grind outside the immediate stump circumference area. Stump grinding mulch can be left in place.

3. New landscape plantings can be placed as part of the landscape design. Installed plantings should be small native under story plants or grasses with light watering requirements . Smaller sized installations that do not require a large planting hole is recommended. Tree plantings are not suggested within the critical root zone.

4. Post planting mulch and irrigation around the entire root zone and new planting is highly suggested for trees with in the developed areas. (Specifically tree #1)

**F. Additional requirements.** The planning official or Arborist may require additional tree, vegetation and soil protection measures which are consistent with accepted best management practices.

## Tree Specific Protection Guidelines for Oak Tree #1:

## G. Observations and Assessment:

1. Oregon White Oak

- DBH 47" (diameter at breast height)
- Crown coverage area of approximately 6300 square feet.
- Full healthy crown with past witness of branch failure likely due to weather events.
- Predominate growth habit and list towards the SW, S and SE.
- Witness of minimal listing.
- Well drained sandy loam soils

2. This well aged specimen exhibits a highly phototropic (growth toward the predominate sunlight) habit toward the S, SE, SW. Coupled with this habit it appears that this tree has seen stem listing with slight root system upheaval. This event likely happened many years back during an inclement weather or loading event. There is little concern from this issue as the tree has since corrected itself and seen very little root loss. It also appears that some reactive pruning has taken place to presumably reduce load potential and gain clearance for agricultural activities around the tree.

## H. Tree Specific Arborist Recommendations:

1. The recommendations that follow should be completed prior to any soil movement, grading, construction or development related activities.

- Suppression of invasive and heavy vegetation within the critical root zone (area below the canopy out to drip line). Removal of vegetation shall be completed with light equipment and or hand tools.
- Place a 2-3" layer of mulch consisting of an organic media such as arborist wood chips or bark mulch. To prevent soil compression mulch with soil or sand should not be used. Do not use landscape fabrics or geo-textile fabrics to cover area.
- Install protective fencing and prohibit any equipment access or storage to area.
- Basic clearance for construction traffic can be completed at this time. All care shall be completed by an ISA Certified Arborist
- 2. Post development recommendations
  - Retain a complete mulch volume below tree as part of the landscape design. Do not plant grass.
  - Incorporate turf grass irrigation into tree specific watering system.
  - Crown clean tree for dead declining or broken branches to maintain health.
  - Light distal reduction on loaded side of tree to reduce load potential and reduce future failure potential. Care to be carried out by an ISA Certified Arborist.

## **Arborist Recommendation Summary:**

The current site plan places site improvements and development outside this tree's (tree #1) drip line and the assumed critical root zone. This site has been in agricultural use for numerous years and has seen annual plowing outside this specimens drip line. This activity has undoubtedly reduced the ability for this tree to develop critical root mass beyond the drip line within the plow zone. This cultural habit would likely promote an abundance of absorbing and critical root mass closer to the tree within the drip line. This concentration of close proximity root masses should benefit this trees ability to acclimate to its new environment post development.

The remaining trees on this site will see very little if any disturbance with this site plan.

White oak trees as a whole have strong ability for adaptation and can still thrive in newly developed urban settings. With proper planning and awareness before, during and after development as well as following protection guidelines these tree will continue to be and asset for years to come.

Prepared by Brandon Cheney ISA Certified Arborist #PN -7163A Tree Risk Assessment Qualified