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## Executive Summary

1. The proposed Oak Village Apartments will include the development of an apartment facility, located on several parcels to the northeast of Old Pacific Highway and west of Green Mountain Road in Woodland, Washington. Specifically, the facility will include the construction of eight, three-story apartment buildings (consisting of 186 dwelling units), a clubhouse/office building, a main access onto the proposed Burris Lane alignment, and an emergency access onto Green Mountain Road.
2. The trip generation calculations show that the proposed project is projected to generate 62 morning peak hour trips, 80 evening peak hour trips, and 1,002 average weekday trips.
3. No significant trends or crash patterns were identified at any of the study intersections that were indicative of safety concerns. Accordingly, no safety mitigation is recommended per the crash data analysis.
4. Adequate sight distances are or can be made available at all proposed/potential site access locations to ensure safe operation along Old Pacific Highway and Green Mountain Road, provided the following mitigation are implemented:

- At the potential Burris Lane location approximately 350 feet southeast of Belmont Loop, the minorstreet approach will need to intersect Old Pacific Highway at approximately the same elevation.
- At the proposed emergency access location along Green Mountain Road, any obstructing on-site foliage along the west side of the roadway will need to be removed to allow at least 390 feet of sight distances to the north and south.

No other sight distance related mitigation is necessary or recommended.
5. Left-turn lane warrants are projected to be met at the following study intersections:

- Burris Lane at Old Pacific Highway (regardless of alignment location): Southeast-bound left-turn lane warranted under 2023 buildout conditions.
- Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway: Southeast-bound left-turn lane warranted under 2021 existing conditions.

Based on the queuing analysis and correspondence with City of Woodland staff, at a minimum the southbound left-turn turn lanes will need to provide sufficient queue storage to accommodate the projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues at the Burris Lane intersection with Old Pacific Highway (regardless of location) and Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway.
6. Due to insufficient main and side street traffic volumes, traffic signal warrants are not projected to be met at any of the applicable study intersections under any of the analysis scenarios.
7. All study intersections are currently operating acceptably per City of Woodland standards and are projected to continue operating acceptably through the 2023 site buildout year.
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## Project Description

## Introduction

The proposed Oak Village Apartments will include the development of an apartment facility, located on several parcels to the northeast of Old Pacific Highway and west of Green Mountain Road in Woodland, Washington. Specifically, the facility will include the construction of eight, three-story apartment buildings (consisting of 186 dwelling units), a clubhouse/office building, a main access onto the proposed Burris Lane alignment, and an emergency access onto Green Mountain Road.

Based on correspondence with City of Woodland staff, the report conducts safety and capacity/level of service analyses at the following intersections during the evening peak hour:

1. Interstate 5 (I-5) Southbound Ramps at Dike Access Road;
2. I-5 Northbound Ramps at Dike Access Road;
3. Belmont Loop/Burris Lane at Old Pacific Highway (potential site access location);
4. Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway;
5. E Scott Avenue at Old Pacific Highway;
6. E Scott Avenue at Lewis River Road; and
7. Burris Lane at Green Mountain Road (site access intersection).

The purpose of this study is to determine whether the transportation system within the vicinity of the site is capable of safely and efficiently supporting the existing and proposed uses, and to determine any mitigation that may be necessary to do so. Detailed information on traffic counts, trip generation calculations, safety analyses, and level of service calculations is included in the appendix to this report.

## Location Description

The project site is located northeast of Old Pacific Highway and west of Green Mountain Road in Woodland, Washington. The subject site is located within a developing area of the City, with a mix of small commercial, industrial, religious, and recreational land uses, as well as undeveloped land, surrounding the site in all directions. The site consists of several parcels (lots $508630100,508620100,508610100$, and potentially portions of 508650100 and 508580100 to the north and south of lot 508610100), which encompass an approximate total of $\pm 9.83$ acres. The proposed apartment facility will be predominately developed on parcel 508630100 , while the remaining lots may accommodate the future roadway alignment of Burris Lane.

The proposed Burris Lane alignment will provide public/general access to the site at two locations: along Green Mountain Road to the east of the site and Old Pacific Highway to the southwest. The specific location of the Burris Lane intersection with Old Pacific Highway is still under consideration, whereby two access analysis scenarios were studied: one scenario where Burris Lane would align with Belmont Loop (north segment) and another scenario where Burris Lane will intersect Old Pacific Highway approximately 350 feet to the southeast.

Figure 1 presents an aerial image of the nearby vicinity with the project site outlined in yellow.


Figure 1: Aerial Photo of Site Vicinity (Image from Google Earth)

## Vicinity Streets

The proposed development is expected to impact seven roadways near the site. Table 1 provides a description of each of the vicinity roadways.

Table 1: Vicinity Roadway Descriptions

| Street Name | Jurisdiction | Functional Classification | Speed (MPH) | On-Street <br> Parking |  <br> Sidewalks | Bicycle Lanes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dike Access Road | WSDOT/City of Woodland | Minor Arterial | 35 | Not Permitted | Partial Both Sides | None |
| Belmont Loop | City of Woodland | Local Street | 25 | Permitted Both Sides | Partial Both Sides | None |
| Old Pacific Highway | City of Woodland | Minor Arterial | 35 | Not Permitted | Partial Both Sides | Partial Both Sides |

Table Notes: Functional classification based on WSDOT Functional Classification Map.
Statutory speed based on Washington State Code Section RCW 46.61.400.

Table 1: Vicinity Roadway Descriptions (Continued)

| Street Name | Jurisdiction | Functional <br> Classification | Speed (MPH) | On-Street <br> Parking |  <br> Sidewalks | Bicycle Lanes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Mountain <br> Road | City of <br> Woodland | Major Collector | 35 | Not Permitted | None | None |
| E Scott Avenue | City of <br> Woodland | Major Collector | 25 | Not Permitted | Partial Both <br> Sides | Partial Both <br> Sides |
| NE Goerig Street | City of <br> Woodland | Local Street | 25 | Not Permitted | None | None |
| Lewis River Road | WSDOT | Minor Arterial | 35 | Not Permitted | Partial Both <br> Sides | Partial Both <br> Sides |

Table Notes: Functional classification based on WSDOT Functional Classification Map. Statutory speed based on Washington State Code Section RCW 46.61.400.

## Study Intersections

Based on coordination with City of Woodland staff, six existing intersections were identified for analysis. A summarized description of these study intersections, under their existing lane configurations, is provided in Table 2.

Table 2: Study Intersection Descriptions

| Number | Intersection | Geometry | Traffic <br> Control | Phasing/Stopped Approaches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-5 SB Ramps at Dike <br> Access Road | Four-Legged | Roundabout | SB/EB/WB Yield-Controlled |
| 2 | I-5 NB Ramps at Dike Access Road | Four-Legged | Roundabout | NB/EB/WB Yield-Controlled |
| 3 | Belmont Loop at Old Pacific Hwy (Potential Access Location) | Three-Legged | StopControlled | EB Stop-Controlled Approach |
| 4 | Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway | Three-Legged | StopControlled | WB Stop-Controlled Approach |
| 5 | E Scott Avenue at Old <br> Pacific Highway | Four-Legged | StopControlled | All-Way Stop-Controlled |
| 6 | E Scott Avenue at Lewis River Road | Three-Legged | Roundabout | NB/SB/EB Yield-Controlled |

A vicinity map showing the project site, vicinity streets, and study intersection configurations is shown in Figure 2.


## Site Trips

## Trip Generation

The proposed development will include the construction of eight, three-story apartment buildings consisting of 186 dwelling units. To estimate the number of trips that will be generated by the proposed use, trip equations from the Trip Generation Manual' were used. Specifically, data from land use code 221, Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise), was used to estimate site trip generation based on the number of dwelling units.

The trip generation calculations show that the proposed project is projected to generate 63 morning peak hour trips, 80 evening peak hour trips, and 1,012 average weekday trips. The trip generation estimates are summarized in Table 3. Detailed trip generation calculations are in the technical appendix to this report.

Table 3: Trip Generation Summary

| Land Use | ITE | Size/Rate | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Total |  |  |
| Multifamily Housing <br> (Mid-Rise) | 221 | 186 dwelling <br> units | 16 | 47 | 63 | 49 | 31 | 80 | 1,012 |

## Trip Distribution

The directional distribution of site trips to/from the project site was estimated based on the locations of likely trip destinations, locations of major transportation facilities in the site vicinity, and existing travel patterns at the study intersections.

The following trip distribution is projected:

- Approximately 35 percent of site trips will travel to/from the south along l-5 (south of Dike Access Road);
- Approximately 25 percent of site trips will travel to/from the north along l-5 (north of Dike Access Road);
- Approximately 20 percent of site trips will travel to/from the south along Lewis River Road (south of N Goerig Street);
- Approximately 15 percent of site trips will travel to/from the west along Dike Access Road (west of I-5); and
- Approximately 5 percent of site trips will travel to/from the east along Lewis River Road (east/north of E Scott Avenue).

[^0]Based on the site plan and locations of proposed accesses, site trips are expected to utilize site accesses as follows:

- Approximately 80 percent of site trips will utilize the proposed Burris Lane access along Old Pacific Highway; and
- Approximately 20 percent of site trips will utilize the proposed Burris Lane access along Green Mountain Road.

The trip distribution and assignment for the site trips generated during the evening peak hour is shown in Figure 3.


## Traffic Volumes

## Existing Conditions

Due to the ongoing COVID-19 viral pandemic, traffic volumes around Washington have been depressed relative to normal conditions. A review of available traffic count data yielded traffic counts at the I-5 ramp intersections along Dike Access Road from Wednesday, October 9, 2019, between 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. Given these available counts, the following methodology for data collection and volume adjustment is suggested:

- The historical traffic counts from 2019 at the two l-5 ramp intersections along Dike Access Road were grown to reflect 2021 existing conditions by applying a two percent per year compounded growth rate over a two-year period.
- Since recent/historical traffic counts are not available at the other study intersections, current year 2021 evening peak hour counts were collected at the I-5 northbound ramps intersection at Dike Access Road as well as all other study intersections where evening peak hour count data was not available. These counts were collected on Thursday, March 4, 2021, between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM.
- The 2019 historical count data (grown to reflect 2021 conditions) and the recently collected 2021 counts at the I-5 northbound ramps intersection were compared, specifically traffic traveling to/from the east of the I-5 ramps intersection. Based on the difference in peak hour volumes, an adjustment factor of 1.3259 was calculated. This adjustment factor is intended to estimate normal traffic conditions without impacts from the COVID-19 virus (i.e. normal commuter patterns, businesses open, etc).
- The calculated adjustment factor was applied to the traffic counts at all study intersections where 2021 count data was collected (excluding the I-5 northbound ramp intersection where historical data is available and used for the remainder of this study).
Data was used from each intersection's respective morning and evening peak hours.
The specific location of the Burris Lane intersection with Old Pacific Highway is still under consideration, whereby two access analysis scenarios were studied: one scenario where Burris Lane would align with Belmont Loop (north segment) and another scenario where Burris Lane will intersect Old Pacific Highway approximately 350 feet to the southeast. For the purposes of analyzing these two access scenarios, traffic volumes were determined for both locations.

Figure 4 shows the existing traffic volumes at the study intersections during the evening peak hour.

Page 13 of 25

## Background Conditions

To provide analysis of the impact of the proposed development on the nearby transportation facilities, an estimate of future traffic volumes is required. In order to approximate the future year 2023 traffic volumes at the study intersections, a compounded growth rate of two percent per year for an assumed buildout condition of two years was applied to the measured 2021 existing traffic volumes.

In addition to the traffic growth described above, City of Woodland staff have provided data from the currently planned Woodland Creek Subdivision. The in-process development is currently not fully contributing trips to the transportation system but may potentially be by the assumed 2023 buildout year of the proposed development. Additional trips corresponding to the in-process development were added to the 2021 existing year traffic volumes in addition to the two years of traffic growth at each of the applicable study intersections. To maintain a conservative analysis of operation at the study intersections, the in-process development was assumed to be fully built-out by year 2023.

Figure 5 shows the projected year 2023 background traffic volumes at the study intersections during the evening peak hour. A figure depicting in-process trips are included in the appendix to this report.

## Buildout Conditions

Peak hour trips calculated to be generated by the proposed development, as described earlier within the Site Trips section, were added to the projected year 2023 background traffic volumes to obtain the expected 2023 site buildout volumes.

Figure 6 shows year 2023 buildout traffic volumes at the study intersections during the evening peak hour.




## Safety Analysis

## Crash History Review

Using data obtained from the Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Crash Data and Reporting Branch, a review of the most recent available five years of crash history (January 2016 to December 2020) at the study intersections was performed. The crash data was evaluated based on the number of crashes, the type of collisions, the severity of the collisions, and the resulting crash rate for the intersection. Crash rates provide the ability to compare safety risks at different intersections by accounting for both the number of crashes that have occurred during the study period and the number of vehicles that typically travel through the intersection. Crash rates were calculated using the common assumption that traffic counted during the evening peak hour represents approximately 10 percent of the annual average daily traffic (AADT) at the intersection. Crash rates in excess of 1.00 crashes per million entering vehicles (CMEV) may be indicative of design deficiencies and therefore require a need for further investigation and possible mitigation.

With regard to crash severity, WSDOT classifies crashes in the following categories:

- No Apparent Injury (NA);
- Possible Injury (P);
- Suspected Minor Injury (SM);
- Suspected Serious Injury (SS); and
- Fatality or Fatal Injury.

Table 4 provides a summary of crash types while Table 5 summarizes crash severities and rates for each of the study intersections. Detailed crash data is provided in the appendix to this report.

Table 4: Crash Type Summary

| Number | Intersection | Crash Type |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rear End | Turn/ Angle | Fixed Object | Side <br> swipe | Ped/ Bike | Other |  |
| 1 | I-5 SB Ramps at Dike Access Road | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 2 | I-5 NB Ramps at Dike Access Road | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 3 | Belmont Loop at Old Pacific Highway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | E Scott Avenue at Old Pacific Highway | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | E Scott Avenue at Lewis River Road | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |

Table 5: Crash Severity and Rate Summary

| Number | Intersection | Crash Severity |  |  |  |  |  | Total Crashes | AADT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crash } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NA | P | SM | SS | Fatal | Unknown |  |  |  |
| 1 | I-5 SB Ramps at Dike Access Road | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 15,510 | 0.25 |
| 2 | I-5 NB Ramps at Dike Access Road | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13,770 | 0.20 |
| 3 | Belmont Loop at Old Pacific Highway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,440 | 0.00 |
| 4 | Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9,250 | 0.06 |
| 5 | E Scott Avenue at Old Pacific Highway | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8,260 | 0.07 |
| 6 | E Scott Avenue at Lewis River Road | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18,020 | 0.12 |

Table Notes: BOLDED text indicates a crash rate in excess of 1.00 CMEV.

Based on the review of the available crash data, no significant trends or crash patterns were identified at any of the study intersections that were indicative of safety concerns. Accordingly, no safety mitigation is recommended per the crash data analysis.

## Sight Distance Evaluation

Intersection sight distance was examined for the proposed Burris Lane public/emergency access locations along Green Mountain Road and the two potential access locations along Old Pacific Highway, opposite of Belmont Loop (north segment) and at another location approximately 350 feet to the southeast. Sight distance was measured and evaluated in accordance with standards established in A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets ${ }^{2}$. According to AASHTO, the driver's eye is assumed to be 15 feet from the near edge of the nearest travel lane of the intersecting street and at a height of 3.5 feet above the minor-street approach pavement. The driver's eye-height along the major-street approach is assumed to be 3.5 feet above the cross-street pavement.

[^1]
## Proposed Access along Old Pacific Highway

Based on a posted speed of 35 mph , the minimum recommended intersection sight distance along Old Pacific Highway is 350 feet to the northwest and southeast (per City of Woodland Standard Drawing T-28 Intersection Sight Distance Requirements). At the location opposite of Belmont Loop (north) as well as the location approximately 350 feet to the southeast, sight distances were measured to be in excess of 400 feet to the northwest and southeast.

Note that at the location approximately 350 feet southeast of Belmont Loop (north), due to topography sight distances were measured along the edge of the roadway rather than at the standard 15 feet behind the travel lane. However, no vertical/horizontal obstructions were noted that would limit sight distances to less than 350 feet if measured at the standard 15 feet (provided the minor-street approach elevation/grade approximately matches the major-street elevation).

## Proposed Accesses along Green Mountain Road

Based on a posted speed of 35 mph , the minimum recommended intersection sight distance along Green Mountain Road is 350 feet to the north and south. At the proposed Burris Lane alignment location, sight distances were measured to be in excess of 400 feet to the north and south. Provided any obstructing on-site foliage along Green Mountain Road is removed, sight distances of 350 feet to the north and south of the emergency access location can be obtained.

## Analysis Summary

Based on the sight distance analysis, adequate sight distances are or can be made available at all proposed/potential site access locations to ensure safe operation along Old Pacific Highway and Green Mountain Road, provided the following mitigation are implemented:

- At the potential Burris Lane location approximately 350 feet southeast of Belmont Loop, the minorstreet approach will need to intersect Old Pacific Highway at approximately the same elevation/grade.
- At the proposed emergency access location along Green Mountain Road, any obstructing on-site foliage along the west side of the roadway will need to be removed to allow at least 350 feet of sight distances to the north and south.

No other sight distance related mitigation is necessary or recommended.

## Warrant Analysis

Left-turn lane and preliminary traffic signal were examined for the study intersections where such treatments would be applicable.

## Left-turn Lane Warrant

A left-turn refuge lane is primarily a safety consideration for the major-street, removing left-turning vehicles from the through traffic stream. The left-turn lane warrants used were developed from the National Cooperative Highway Research Project's (NCHRP) Report 457. Turn lane warrants were evaluated based on the number of advancing and opposing vehicles as well as the number of turning vehicles, the travel speed, and the number of through lanes.

Based on the analysis, left-turn lane warrants are projected to be met at the following study intersections:
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3. Burris Lane at Old Pacific Highway (regardless of alignment location): Southeast-bound left-turn lane warranted under 2023 buildout conditions.
4. Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway: Southeast-bound left-turn lane warranted under 2021 existing conditions.

No other turn lanes are projected to be warranted at the study intersections under any analysis scenario.

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant

Preliminary traffic signal warrants were examined for the unsignalized (non-roundabout) study intersections to determine whether the installation of a new traffic signal will be warranted at the intersections by the 2023 buildout year of the site. Due to insufficient main and side street traffic volumes, traffic signal warrants are not projected to be met at any of the applicable study intersections under any of the analysis scenarios.

## Operational Analysis

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

A capacity and delay analysis were conducted for each of the study intersections per the unsignalized intersection analysis methodologies in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)3. Intersections are generally evaluated based on the average control delay experienced by vehicles and are assigned a grade according to their operation. The level of service (LOS) of an intersection can range from LOS A, which indicates very little or no delay experienced by vehicles, to LOS F, which indicates a high degree of congestion and delay.

## Performance Standards

According to the City of Woodland's Transportation Infrastructure Strategic Plan, Appendix A.1, intersections along state highways, major/minor arterials, or within the City's Urban Growth Area are required to operate at LOS D.

## Delay \& Capacity Analysis

The operational and capacity analysis were conducted utilizing Trafficware's Synchro 10 software. Subsequently, methodologies detailed in the WSDOT Synchro \& SimTraffic Protocol - Aug 2018 were utilized when preparing these analysis models.

The LOS and delay results of the capacity analysis are shown in Table 6 for the evening peak hour. Specific to two-way stop-controlled intersections, the highest approach delay and LOS at the intersection was reported. For roundabout and all-way stop-controlled intersection the overall LOS and delay were reported. Detailed calculations as well as tables showing the relationship between delay and LOS are included in the appendix to this report.
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Table 6: Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary


Table Notes: BOLDED text indicates interseciton operation above jurisdictional standards.

Based on the results of the operational analysis, all study intersections are currently operating acceptably per City of Woodland standards and are projected to continue operating acceptably through the 2023 site buildout year. No operational mitigation is necessary or recommended at these intersections.

## Queuing Analysis

As determined in the Warrant Analysis section, dedicated southeast-bound left-turn lanes are warranted at the proposed Burris Lane intersection along Old Pacific Highway as well as at the intersection of Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway. According to City of Woodland staff, at a minimum the queue storage for each turn lane should be long enough to accommodate the projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues at each respective turn lane.

To determine the minimum turn lane storage length necessary to adequately serve projected left-turn queues at these intersections a queuing analysis was conducted. The queue lengths were projected based on the results of a Synchro/SimTraffic simulation, with the reported values representing the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue is a statistical measurement which indicates there is a 5 percent chance that the queue may exceed this length during the analysis period; however, given this is a probability, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length may theoretically never be met or observed in the field.

The projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths reported in the simulation are presented in Table 7 for the evening peak hour. It should be noted that the reported queue lengths were rounded up to the nearest five feet. Detailed queuing analysis worksheets are included in the technical appendix to this report.

Table 7: Intersection Queuing Analysis Summary


Based on the queuing analysis and correspondence with City of Woodland staff, at a minimum the southbound left-turn turn lanes will need to provide sufficient queue storage to accommodate the projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues at the Burris Lane intersection with Old Pacific Highway (regardless of location) and Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway.

## Conclusions

No significant trends or crash patterns were identified at any of the study intersections that were indicative of safety concerns. Accordingly, no safety mitigation is recommended per the crash data analysis.

Adequate sight distances are or can be made available at all proposed/potential site access locations to ensure safe operation along Old Pacific Highway and Green Mountain Road, provided the following mitigation are implemented:

- At the potential Burris Lane location approximately 350 feet southeast of Belmont Loop, the minorstreet approach will need to intersect Old Pacific Highway at approximately the same elevation.
- At the proposed emergency access location along Green Mountain Road, any obstructing on-site foliage along the west side of the roadway will need to be removed to allow at least 390 feet of sight distances to the north and south.

No other sight distance related mitigation is necessary or recommended.
Left-turn lane warrants are projected to be met at the following study intersections:

- Proposed Burris Lane at Old Pacific Highway (regardless of alignment location): Southeast-bound leftturn lane warranted under 2023 buildout conditions.
- Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway: Southeast-bound left-turn lane warranted under 2021 existing conditions.

Based on the queuing analysis and correspondence with City of Woodland staff, at a minimum the southbound left-turn turn lanes will need to provide sufficient queue storage to accommodate the projected $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues at the Burris Lane intersection with Old Pacific Highway (regardless of location) and Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway.

Due to insufficient main and side street traffic volumes, traffic signal warrants are not projected to be met at any of the applicable study intersections under any of the analysis scenarios.

All study intersections are currently operating acceptably per City of Woodland standards and are projected to continue operating acceptably through the 2023 site buildout year.

## Appendix A

Site Plan


## Appendix B

Trip Generation Calculations

TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)<br>Land Use Code: 221<br>Setting/Location General Urban/Suburban<br>Variable: Dwelling Units<br>Variable Value: 186

## AM PEAK HOUR

Trip Equation: $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.98 \mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{X})-0.98$

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $26 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 16 | 47 | 63 |

## WEEKDAY

Trip Equation: $\quad \mathrm{T}=5.45(\mathrm{X})-1.75$

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 506 | 506 | 1,012 |

## PM PEAK HOUR

Trip Equation: $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.96 \mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{X})-0.63$

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $61 \%$ | $39 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 49 | 31 | 80 |

## SATURDAY

Trip Equation: $\mathrm{T}=3.04(\mathrm{X})+417.11$

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 491 | 491 | 982 |

## Appendix C

Traffic Counts
In-Process Development Trips


Comments:



Comments:


Comments:


Comments:


Comments:

Peak-Hour: 4:10 PM -- 5:10 PM
Peak 15-Min: 4:20 PM -- 4:35 PM


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5-Min Count } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { Beginning At } \end{aligned}$ | Lewis River Rd (Northbound) |  |  |  | Lewis River Rd (Southbound) |  |  |  | E Scott Ave (Eastbound) |  |  |  | E Scott Ave (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 4 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 9 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 |  |
| 4:05 PM | 4 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 10 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |  |
| 4:10 PM | 3 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 5 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |  |
| 4:20 PM | 3 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |  |
| 4:25 PM | 3 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 6 | 56 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |  |
| 4:35 PM | 4 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 |  |
| 4:40 PM | 3 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 4 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |  |
| 4:50 PM | 4 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |  |
| 4:55 PM | 5 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 1344 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1351 |
| 5:05 PM | 3 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 1359 |
| 5:10 PM | 7 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 1342 |
| 5:15 PM | 6 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 1338 |
| 5:20 PM | 6 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 1322 |
| 5:25 PM | 2 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 15 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 1317 |
| 5:30 PM | 4 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 1296 |
| 5:35 PM | 6 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 1294 |
| 5:40 PM | 4 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 1279 |
| 5:45 PM | 6 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 1273 |
| 5:50 PM | 4 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 1242 |
| 5:55 PM | 4 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 1242 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 48 | 660 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 332 | 128 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 08 |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 0 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 | 20 | 16 |  | 12 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 4 |
| Pedestrians |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Bicycles Scooters | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

Comments:


## Appendix D

## Crash History Data

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## STATE ROUTES

SR 005LX02272 (aka Dike Access Rd, mp 0.00-0.06) @ SB SR 5 ON/OFF-RAMPS
SR 005R102312 (mp 0.36-0.38) @ DIKE ACCESS RD
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code § 148 and 23 U.S. Code § 409 , safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or
planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into
evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or

| JURISDICTION | COUNTY | CITY | PRIMARY TRAFFICWAY | BLOCK NUMBER | INTERSECTING trafficway | DIST <br> FROM <br> REF <br> POINT | MI or FT | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMP } \\ \text { DIR } \\ \text { FROM } \\ \text { REF } \\ \text { POINT } \end{gathered}$ | REFERENCE POINT NAME | MILEPOST | A/B | SR ONLY <br> HISTORY / <br> SUSPENSE <br> IND | REPORT <br> NUMBER | DATE | TIME | MOST SEVERE INJURY TYPE | \# INJ | \# FAT | \# VEH | \# PEDS | \# BIKES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  | No | E620649 | 12/13/2016 | 17:15 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  | No | E636825 | 01/28/2017 | 06:46 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  | No | E758328 | 01/12/2018 | 05:56 | Possible Injury | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  | No | E874994 | 12/21/2018 | 12:55 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  | No | E909374 | 04/08/2019 | 11:26 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  | No | E920724 | 05/16/2019 | 08:01 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | $005 R 102312$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.36 |  | No | EA46657 | 07/12/2020 | 17:15 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## State routes

SR 005LX02272 (aka Dike Access Rd, mp 0.00-0.06) @ SB SR 5 ON/OFF-RAMPS
SR 005R102312 (mp 0.36-0.38) @ DIKE ACCESS RD
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code § 148 and 23 U.S. Code § 409 , safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying,
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to
discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any

| VEHICLE 1 TYPE | VEHICLE 2 TYPE | JUNCTION RELATIONSHIP | WEATHER | ROADWAY SURFACE CONDITION | LIGHTING <br> CONDITION | FIRST COLLISION TYPE / OBJECT STRUCK | VEHICLE 1 <br> ACTION | VEHICLE 2 ACTION | VEHICLE 1 COMPASS DIRECTION FROM | VEHICLE 1 COMPASS direction to | VEHICLE 2 <br> COMPASS <br> DIRECTION <br> FROM | VEHICLE 2 COMPASS DIRECTION TO | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | Passenger Car | Entering <br> Roundabout | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Dark-Street Lights On | From same direction both going straight both moving - rear-end | Going Straight Ahead | Slowing | East | West | West | East | Other Contributing Circ Not Listed |  |
| Passenger Car |  | Circulating <br> Roundabout | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Dark-Street Lights On | Street Light Pole or Base | Going Straight Ahead |  | West | East |  |  | Inattention | Exceeding Stated Speed Limit |
| Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | Passenger Car | Entering <br> Roundabout | Overcast | Wet | Dark-Street Lights On | Entering at angle | Making Right Turn | Going Straight Ahead | North | West | East | West | Did Not Grant RW to Vehicle |  |
| Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | Passenger Car | Entering Roundabout | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight | Entering at angle | Merging (Entering Traffic) | Going Straight Ahead | South | East | West | East | Did Not Grant RW to Vehicle |  |
| Truck - Double Trailer Combinations | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | Entering Roundabout | Raining | Wet | Daylight | Same direction -- both turning right -- both moving -- sideswipe | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Making Right } \\ \text { Turn } \end{array}$ | Making Right Turn | North | West | North | West | None |  |
| Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ |  | Circulating <br> Roundabout | Raining | Wet | Daylight | Vehicle overturned | Going Straight Ahead |  | West | East |  |  | Exceeding Reas. <br> Safe Speed |  |
| Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | Roundabout Related but not at Roundabout | Clear | Dry | Daylight | From same direction both going straight both moving sideswipe | Going Straight Ahead | Going Straight Ahead | North | South | North | South | Exceeding Reas. Safe Speed |  |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## STATE ROUTES

SR 005LX02272 (aka Dike Access Rd, mp 0.00-0.06) @ SB SR 5 ON/OFF-RAMPS

## SR $005 R 102312$ (mp 0.36-0.38) @ DIKE ACCESS RD

## 01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference

Under 23 U.S. Code 148 and 23 U.S. Code § 409 , safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying,
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to
discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any


OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND
STATE ROUTES
SR 005LX02272 (aka Dike Access Rd, mp 0.16-0.23) @ NB SR 5 ON/OFF-RAMPS
SR 005P102343 (mp 0.30-0.32) @ DIKE ACCESS RD
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code 148 and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned

| JURISDICTION | COUNTY | CITY | PRIMARY TRAFFICWAY | BLOCK NUMBER | INTERSECTING TRAFFICWAY | DIST <br> FROM REF POINT | MI or FT | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMP } \\ \text { DIR } \\ \text { FROM } \\ \text { REF } \\ \text { POINT } \end{gathered}$ | REFERENCE POINT NAME | MILEPOST | A/B | SR ONLY <br> HISTORY / <br> SUSPENSE <br> IND | REPORT <br> NUMBER | DATE | TIME | MOST SEVERE INJURY TYPE | \# INJ | \# FAT | \# VEH | \# PEDS | \# BIKES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.18 |  | No | E612837 | 11/24/2016 | 19:10 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.18 |  | No | E656061 | 03/27/2017 | 02:50 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.18 |  | No | EA05091 | 01/20/2020 | 14:15 | Suspected Minor Injury | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005LX02272 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.18 |  | No | EA08787 | 01/28/2020 | 16:10 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 005P102243 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  | No | E808272 | 06/08/2018 | 18:12 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## state routes

SR 005LX02272 (aka Dike Access Rd, mp 0.16-0.23) @ NB SR 5 ON/OFF-RAMPS
SR 005P102343 (mp 0.30-0.32) @ DIKE ACCESS RD
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code 148 and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying,
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any $\square$ occurrence at docation mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.

| VEHICLE 1 TYPE | VEHICLE 2 TYPE | JUNCTION RELATIONSHIP | WEATHER | ROADWAY SURFACE CONDITION | Lighting CONDITION | FIRST COLLISION TYPE / OBJECT STRUCK | VEHICLE 1 ACTION | VEHICLE 2 <br> ACTION | VEHICLE 1 <br> COMPASS <br> DIRECTION <br> FROM | VEHICLE 1 COMPASS DIRECTION TO | VEHICLE 2 <br> COMPASS <br> DIRECTION <br> FROM | VEHICLE 2 COMPASS DIRECTION TO | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ |  | Exiting <br> Roundabout | Raining | Wet | Dark-Street Lights On | Metal Sign Post | Going Straight Ahead |  | West | East |  |  | Other Contributing Circ Not Listed |  |
| Passenger Car |  | Circulating <br> Roundabout | Raining | Wet | Dark-Street Lights On | Metal Sign Post | Going Straight Ahead |  | West | East |  |  | Other Contributing Circ Not Listed |  |
| Passenger Car |  | At Intersection and Related | Overcast | Dry | Daylight | Traffic Island | Going Straight Ahead |  | South | East |  |  | Unknown Distraction |  |
| Passenger Car | Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | Entering Roundabout | Overcast | Dry | Daylight | Entering at angle | Making Right Turn | Stopped for Traffic | North | East | West | Vehicle Stopped | Follow Too Closely |  |
| Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | Passenger Car | Entering Roundabout | Raining | Wet | Daylight | From same direction both going straight one stopped - rear-end | Stopped for Traffic | Going Straight Ahead | Vehicle <br> Stopped | Vehicle <br> Stopped | South | North | None |  |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND STATE ROUTES
SR 005LX02272 (aka Dike Access Rd, mp 0.16-0.23) @ NB SR 5 ON/OFF-RAMPS

## SR 005P102343 (mp 0.30-0.32) @ DIKE ACCESS RD

## 01/01/2016 12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference

Under 23 U.S. Code 148 and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any

| MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 2) | FIRST IMPACT LOCATION (City, County \& Misc Trafficways 2010 forward) | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH - X 2010 FORWARD | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH - Y 2010 FORWARD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Increasing Other Location | 1066628.12 | 224976.15 |
|  |  |  |  | Decreasing Other Location | 1066621 | 224979.73 |
|  |  |  |  | Past Right Shoulder LX Increasing Milepost ( Prior to 2002 Impact Location Code was not lane specific) | 1066628.85 | 224977.6 |
|  | None |  |  | Lane 1 LX Increasing Milepost ( Prior to 2002 Impact Location Code was not lane specific) | 1066627.98 | 224977.39 |
|  | Follow Too Closely |  |  | Lane 1 Off Ramp Increasing Milepost Side of Mainline | 1066628.93 | 224977.63 |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND
CITY STREETS
BELMONT LP @ OLD PACIFIC HWY - No intersection related crashes
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code § 148 and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or

| JURISDICTION | COUNTY | CITY | PRIMARY TRAFFICWAY | BLOCK NUMBER | INTERSECTING TRAFFICWAY | DIST <br> FROM <br> REF <br> POINT | MI or FT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMP } \\ & \text { DIR } \\ & \text { FROM } \\ & \text { REF } \\ & \text { POINT } \end{aligned}$ | REFERENCE POINT NAME | MILEPOST | A/B | SR ONLY HISTORY / SUSPENSE IND | REPORT <br> NUMBER | DATE | TIME | MOST SEVERE INJURY TYPE | \# INJ | \# FAT | \# VEH | \# PEDS | \# BIKES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND
CITY STREETS
BELMONT LP @ OLD PACIFIC HWY - No intersection related crashes
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code § 148 and 23 U.S. Code § 409 , safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to ges arising from any

| VEHICLE 1 TYPE | VEHICLE 2 TYPE | JUNCTION RELATIONSHIP | WEATHER | ROADWAY SURFACE CONDITION | LIGHTING CONDITION | FIRST COLLISION TYPE / OBJECT STRUCK | VEHICLE 1 <br> ACTION | VEHICLE 2 <br> ACTION | VEHICLE 1 COMPASS DIRECTION FROM | VEHICLE 1 COMPASS direction to | VEHICLE 2 COMPASS DIRECTION FROM | VEHICLE 2 COMPASS DIRECTION TO | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND CITY STREETS
BELMONT LP @ OLD PACIFIC HWY - No intersection related crashes
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code § 148 and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any

| MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 2) | FIRST IMPACT LOCATION (City, County \& Misc Trafficways 2010 forward) | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH - X 2010 FORWARD | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH - Y 2010 FORWARD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## CITY STREETS

GREEN MTN RD @ OLD PACIFIC HWY
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code 148 and 23 U.S. Code § 409 , safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned

| JURISDICTION | COUNTY | CITY | PRIMARY TRAFFICWAY | block number | INTERSECTING TRAFFICWAY | DIST <br> FROM <br> REF <br> POINT | MI or FT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMP } \\ & \text { DIR } \\ & \text { FROM } \\ & \text { REF } \\ & \text { POINT } \end{aligned}$ | REFERENCE POINT NAME | MILEPOST | A/B | SR ONLY <br> HISTORY / <br> SUSPENSE <br> IND | REPORT <br> NUMBER | DATE | TIME | MOST SEVERE INJURY TYPE | \# INJ | \# FAT | \# VEH | \# PEDS | \# BIKES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Street | Cowlitz | Woodland | OLD PACIFIC HWY | 0 | GREEN MOUNTAIN RD |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | E717038 | 09/27/2017 | 07:50 | Suspected Minor Injury | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## CITY STREETS

GREEN MTN RD @ OLD PACIFIC HWY
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code § 148 and 23 U.S. Code \& 409, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying,
Under 23 U.S. Code 148 and 23 U.S. Code \& 409, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compled or collected for the purpose of identityving,
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to
discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any

| VEHICLE 1 TYPE | VEHICLE 2 TYPE | JUNCTION RELATIONSHIP | WEATHER | ROADWAY SURFACE CONDITION | LIGHTING CONDITION | FIRST COLLISION TYPE / OBJECT STRUCK | VEHICLE 1 <br> ACTION | VEHICLE 2 ACTION | VEHICLE 1 <br> COMPASS <br> DIRECTION <br> FROM | VEHICLE 1 COMPASS direction to | VEHICLE 2 <br> COMPASS <br> DIRECTION <br> FROM | VEHICLE 2 COMPASS direction to | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motorcycle |  | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight | Vehicle overturned | Going Straight Ahead |  | South | North |  |  | None |  |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND CITY STREETS
GREEN MTN RD @ OLD PACIFIC HWY
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code $\$ 148$ and 23 U.S. Code § 409, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying,
Under 23 U.S. Code \$ 148 and 23 U.S. Code \& 409, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to
discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any

| MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 2) | FIRST IMPACT LOCATION (City, County \& Misc Trafficways 2010 forward) | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH $\text { - X } 2010 \text { - }$ <br> FORWARD | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH - Y 2010 FORWARD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Lane of Primary Trafficway | 1068200.97 | 223469.26 |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## CITY STREETS

SCOTT AVE @ OLD PACIFIC HWY / GOERIG ST
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code $\$ 148$ and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned

| JURISDICTION | COUNTY | CITY | PRIMARY TRAFFICWAY | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { BLOCK } \\ \text { NUMBER } \end{gathered}\right.$ | INTERSECTING TRAFFICWAY | DIST <br> FROM <br> REF <br> POINT | MI or FT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMP } \\ & \text { DIR } \\ & \text { FROM } \\ & \text { REF } \\ & \text { POINT } \end{aligned}$ | REFERENCE POINT NAME | MILEPOST | A/B | SR ONLY <br> HISTORY / <br> SUSPENSE <br> IND | REPORT NUMBER | DATE | TIME | most Severe INJURY TYPE | \# INJ | \# FAT | \# VEH | \# PEDS | \# BIKES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Street | Cowlitz | Woodland | E SCOTT AVE | 9900 | OLD PACIFIC HWY |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | E964779 | 09/25/2019 | 14:44 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## CITY STREETS

SCOTT AVE @ OLD PACIFIC HWY / GOERIG ST
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code $\$ 148$ and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying,
Under 23 U.S. Code 148 and 23 U.S. Code \& 409, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compled or collected for the purpose of identityving,
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to
discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any

| VEHICLE 1 TYPE | VEHICLE 2 TYPE | JUNCTION RELATIONSHIP | WEATHER | ROADWAY SURFACE CONDITION | lighting <br> CONDITION | FIRST COLLISION TYPE / OBJECT STRUCK | VEHICLE 1 ACTION | VEHICLE 2 <br> ACTION | VEHICLE 1 <br> COMPASS <br> DIRECTION <br> FROM | VEHICLE 1 <br> COMPASS DIRECTION TO | VEHICLE 2 <br> COMPASS <br> DIRECTION <br> FROM | VEHICLE 2 COMPASS DIRECTION TO | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | Passenger Car | At Intersection and Related | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight | From same direction both going straight one stopped - rear-end | Going Straight Ahead | Stopped at Signal or Stop Sign | West | East | Vehicle Stopped | Vehicle Stopped | Other Contributing Circ Not Listed |  |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND CITY STREETS
SCOTT AVE @ OLD PACIFIC HWY / GOERIG ST
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code \$ 148 and 23 U.S. Code § 409, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying,
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any

| MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 2) | FIRST IMPACT LOCATION (City, County \& Misc Trafficways 2010 forward) | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH $\text { - X } 2010 \text { - }$ <br> FORWARD | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH - Y 2010 FORWARD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None |  |  | Lane of Primary Trafficway | 1069293.94 | 221287.39 |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## CITY STREETS

SCOTT AVE @ LEWIS RIVER RD
STATE ROUTES
SR 503 (aka Lewis River Rd, mp 53.51-53.55) @ SCOTT AVE
01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference
Under 23 U.S. Code $\$ 148$ and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or
planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into
evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.

| JURISDICTION | COUNTY | CITY | PRIMARY TRAFFICWAY | BLOCK NUMBER | INTERSECTING TRAFFICWAY | DIST <br> FROM <br> REF <br> POINT | MI or FT | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMP } \\ \text { DIR } \\ \text { FROM } \\ \text { REF } \\ \text { POINT } \end{gathered}$ | REFERENCE POINT NAME | MILEPOST | A/B | SR ONLY <br> HISTORY / <br> SUSPENSE <br> IND | REPORT <br> NUMBER | DATE | TIME | MOST SEVERE INJURY TYPE | \# INJ | \# FAT | \# VEH | \# PEDS | \# BIKES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.53 |  | No | E564878 | 07/18/2016 | 20:20 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.53 |  | No | E643111 | 02/11/2017 | 02:56 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.53 |  | No | E688109 | 07/04/2017 | 15:32 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| State Route | Cowlitz | Woodland | 503 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.55 |  | No | E884702 | 01/20/2019 | 14:06 | No Apparent Injury | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND

## CITY STREETS

SCOTT AVE @ LEWIS RIVER RD

## ATE ROUTES

SR 503 (aka Lewis River Rd, mp 53.51-53.55) @ SCOTT AVE

## 01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference

Under 23 U.S. Code $\$ 148$ and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying,
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to
discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.

| VEHICLE 1 TYPE | VEHICLE 2 TYPE | Junction RELATIONSHIP | WEATHER | ROADWAY SURFACE CONDITION | Lighting CONDITION | FIRST COLLISION TYPE / OBJECT STRUCK | VEHICLE 1 ACTION | VEHICLE 2 ACTION | VEHICLE 1 COMPASS DIRECTION FROM | VEHICLE 1 COMPASS DIRECTION TO | VEHICLE 2 <br> COMPASS <br> DIRECTION FROM | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { VEHICLE } 2 \\ \text { COMPASS } \\ \text { DIRECTION TO } \end{array}$ | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ |  | Circulating Roundabout | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight | Metal Sign Post | Going Straight Ahead |  | Northeast | Southwest |  |  | Other Contributing Circ Not Listed |  |
| Passenger Car |  | Circulating <br> Roundabout | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Dark-Street Lights On | Metal Sign Post | Making Left Turn |  | East | Southwest |  |  | Under Influence of Alcohol |  |
| Passenger Car |  | Circulating Roundabout | Clear or Partly Cloudy | Dry | Daylight | Metal Sign Post | Going Straight Ahead |  | East | South |  |  | Inattention |  |
| Passenger Car |  | Circulating Roundabout | Overcast | Wet | Daylight | Traffic Island | Making Left Turn |  | East | Southwest |  |  | Inattention |  |

OFFICER REPORTED CRASHES THAT OCCURRED at OR in the vicinity of MULTIPLE INTERSECTIONS IN THE CITY OF WOODLAND CITY STREETS
SCOTT AVE @ LEWIS RIVER RD
STATE ROUTES
SR 503 (aka Lewis River Rd, mp 53.51-53.55) @ SCOTT AVE

## 01/01/2016-12/31/2020 See 2nd tab below for road information \& interchange drawing for reference

Under 23 U.S. Code $\$ 148$ and 23 U.S. Code $\$ 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying,
evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to
discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any

| MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 1) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 1 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 2 (UNIT 2) | MV DRIVER CONTRIBUTING CIRCUMSTANCE 3 (UNIT 2) | FIRST IMPACT LOCATION (City, County \& Misc Trafficways 2010 forward) | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH $\text { - X } 2010 \text { - }$ <br> FORWARD | WA STATE PLANE SOUTH - Y 2010FORWARD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Past Right Shoulder Increasing Milepost | 1070248.62 | 220946.79 |
|  |  |  |  | Past Right Shoulder Increasing Milepost | 1070258.3 | 220965.62 |
|  |  |  |  | Past Right Shoulder Increasing Milepost | 1070253.42 | 220951.1 |
|  |  |  |  | Increasing Other Location | 1070231.81 | 220878.81 |



# Appendix E 

Left-turn Lane Warrant Analysis
Preliminary Signal Warrant Analysis

## Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

Project: Oak Village Apartments
Intersection: 3a. Belmont Loop/Burris Lane at Old Pacific Highway
Date: 6/16/2021
Scenario: 2023 Buildout Conditions - PM Peak Hour (SB)

## 2-lane roadway (English)

INPUT

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, $\mathrm{mph}:$ | 35 |
| Percent of left-turns in advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), \%:$ | $5 \%$ |
| Advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, veh/h: | 670 |
| Opposing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$, veh $/ \mathrm{h}:$ | 225 |

OUTPUT

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Limiting advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, veh/h: | 628 |

Guidance for determining the need for a major-road left-turn bay:
Left-turn treatment warranted.


CALIBRATION CONSTANTS

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average time for making left-turn, s: | 3.0 |
| Critical headway, s: | 5.0 |
| Average time for left-turn vehicle to clear the advancing lane, s: | 1.9 |

## Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

Project: Oak Village Apartments
Intersection: 3a. Burris Lane at Old Pacific Highway
Date: 6/16/2021
Scenario: 2023 Buildout Conditions - PM Peak Hour (SB)

## 2-lane roadway (English)

INPUT

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, $\mathrm{mph}:$ | 35 |
| Percent of left-turns in advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), \%:$ | $6 \%$ |
| Advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, veh/h: | 624 |
| Opposing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$, veh $/ \mathrm{h}:$ | 251 |

OUTPUT

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Limiting advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, veh/h: | 590 |

Guidance for determining the need for a major-road left-turn bay:
Left-turn treatment warranted.


## CALIBRATION CONSTANTS

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average time for making left-turn, s: | 3.0 |
| Critical headway, s: | 5.0 |
| Average time for left-turn vehicle to clear the advancing lane, s: | 1.9 |

## Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

Project: Oak Village Apartments
Intersection: 4. Green Mountain Road at Old Pacific Highway
Date: 6/16/2021
Scenario: 2021 Existing Conditions - PM Peak Hour (SB)

## 2-lane roadway (English)

INPUT

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, $\mathrm{mph}:$ | 35 |
| Percent of left-turns in advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), \%:$ | $19 \%$ |
| Advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, veh/h: | 587 |
| Opposing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$, veh $/ \mathrm{h}:$ | 220 |

OUTPUT

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Limiting advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, veh/h: | 360 |

Guidance for determining the need for a major-road left-turn bay:
Left-turn treatment warranted.


CALIBRATION CONSTANTS

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average time for making left-turn, s: | 3.0 |
| Critical headway, s: | 5.0 |
| Average time for left-turn vehicle to clear the advancing lane, s: | 1.9 |

## Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

Project: Oak Village Apartments
Intersection: 7. Belmont Road at Green Mountain Road
Date: 6/16/2021
Scenario: 2023 Buildout Conditions - PM Peak Hour (NB)

## 2-Iane roadway (English)

INPUT

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, $\mathrm{mph}:$ | 50 |
| Percent of left-turns in advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), \%:$ | $5 \%$ |
| Advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, veh/h: | 187 |
| Opposing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, veh/h: | 123 |

OUTPUT

| Variable | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Limiting advancing volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, veh/h: | 578 |

Guidance for determining the need for a major-road left-turn bay: Left-turn treatment NOT warranted.


## CALIBRATION CONSTANTS

| Variable | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average time for making left-turn, s: | 3.0 |
| Critical headway, s: | 5.0 |
| Average time for left-turn vehicle to clear the advancing lane, s: | 1.9 |

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis



Note: Minor street right-turning traffic volumes reduced by $25 \%$.

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis



Note: Minor street right-turning traffic volumes reduced by $25 \%$.

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis



Note: Minor street right-turning traffic volumes reduced by $25 \%$.

## Appendix F

Level of Service Descriptions
Capacity Reports
Queuing Reports

## LEVEL OF SERVICE

Level of service is used to describe the quality of traffic flow. Levels of service A to C are considered good, and rural roads are usually designed for level of service C . Urban streets and signalized intersections are typically designed for level of service D . Level of service E is considered to be the limit of acceptable delay. For unsignalized intersections, level of service E is generally considered acceptable. Here is a more complete description of levels of service:

Level of service A: Very low delay at intersections, with all traffic signal cycles clearing and no vehicles waiting through more than one signal cycle. On highways, low volume and high speeds, with speeds not restricted by other vehicles.

Level of service B: Operating speeds beginning to be affected by other traffic; short traffic delays at intersections. Higher average intersection delay than for level of service A resulting from more vehicles stopping.

Level of service C: Operating speeds and maneuverability closely controlled by other traffic; higher delays at intersections than for level of service B due to a significant number of vehicles stopping. Not all signal cycles clear the waiting vehicles. This is the recommended design standard for rural highways.

Level of service D: Tolerable operating speeds; long traffic delays occur at intersections. The influence of congestion is noticeable. At traffic signals many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopping declines. The number of signal cycle failures, for which vehicles must wait through more than one signal cycle, are noticeable. This is typically the design level for urban signalized intersections.

Level of service E: Restricted speeds, very long traffic delays at traffic signals, and traffic volumes near capacity. Flow is unstable so that any interruption, no matter how minor, will cause queues to form and service to deteriorate to level of service F. Traffic signal cycle failures are frequent occurrences. For unsignalized intersections, level of service E or better is generally considered acceptable.

Level of service F: Extreme delays, resulting in long queues which may interfere with other traffic movements. There may be stoppages of long duration, and speeds may drop to zero. There may be frequent signal cycle failures. Level of service F will typically result when vehicle arrival rates are greater than capacity. It is considered unacceptable by most drivers.

LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LEVEL <br> OF <br> SERVICE | CONTROL DELAY <br> PER VEHICLE <br> (Seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10$ |
| B | $10-20$ |
| C | $20-35$ |
| D | $35-55$ |
| E | $55-80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA
FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LEVEL <br> OF <br> SERVICE | CONTROL DELAY <br> PER VEHICLE <br> (Seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10$ |
| B | $10-15$ |
| C | $15-25$ |
| D | $25-35$ |
| E | $35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 28.9 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 944 | 533 | 0 | 285 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 982 | 559 | 0 | 296 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 323 | 0 | 859 | 559 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 532 | 859 | 446 | 0 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 47.4 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 9.6 |
| Approach LOS | E | A | - | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | TR | LT | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | TR | LT | LTR |
| RT Channelized | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Lane Util | 2.609 | 2.609 |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 589 | 296 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 982 | 1380 | 780 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 993 | 0.953 | 0.962 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.961 | 533 | 285 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 944 | 1315 | 751 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 954 | 0.405 | 0.379 |
| V/C Ratio | 6.6 | 9.6 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 47.4 | A | A |
| LOS | E | 2 | 2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh13.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | SB |  |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 831 | 381 | 351 | 0 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 856 | 396 | 369 | 0 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 0 | 568 | 856 | 560 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 560 | 657 | 0 | 404 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 10.1 | 12.4 | 20.7 | 0.0 |
| Approach LOS | B | B | C | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LT | TR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LT | TR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 1.000 |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.609 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.976 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 369 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 856 | 396 | 576 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1380 | 773 | 0.951 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.971 | 0.962 | 351 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 831 | 381 | 548 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1340 | 744 | 0.640 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.620 | 0.512 | 20.7 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 10.1 | 12.4 | C |
| LOS | B | B | 5 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |  | 1 | 4 | I | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 522 | 74 | 25 | 213 | 80 | 30 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 522 | 74 | 25 | 213 | 80 | 30 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 80 | - | 100 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 567 | 80 | 27 | 232 | 87 | 33 |



HCM 6th TWSC
4: Old Pacific Highway \& Green Mountain Road

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 72 | 164 | 56 | 114 | 473 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 72 | 164 | 56 | 114 | 473 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Mvmt Flow | 51 | 80 | 182 | 62 | 127 | 526 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 993 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 213 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 780 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.47 | 6.27 | - | - | 4.17 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.47 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.47 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.563 | 3.363 | - | - | 2.263 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 266 | 815 | - | - | 1293 | - |
| Stage 1 | 811 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 443 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 229 | 815 | - | - | 1293 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 229 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 811 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 381 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 17.9 |  | 0 |  | 1.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 408 | 1293 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.321 | 0.098 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 17.9 | 8.1 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.4 | 0.3 | - |

HCM 6th AWSC
5: Old Pacific Highway \& E Scott Avenue

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 20.4 |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 24 | 17 | 4 | 44 | 168 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 306 | 152 | 60 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 24 | 17 | 4 | 44 | 168 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 306 | 152 | 60 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 15 | 15 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Mumt Flow | 51 | 27 | 19 | 4 | 49 | 187 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 340 | 169 | 67 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.4 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 26 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $60 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $20 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 5 | 87 | 216 | 518 |
| LT Vol | 3 | 46 | 4 | 306 |
| Through Vol | 1 | 24 | 44 | 152 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 17 | 168 | 60 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 6 | 97 | 240 | 576 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.009 | 0.164 | 0.354 | 0.811 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.732 | 6.125 | 5.307 | 5.071 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 623 | 585 | 677 | 719 |
| Service Time | 3.779 | 4.174 | 3.349 | 3.071 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.166 | 0.355 | 0.801 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.8 | 10.4 | 11.3 | 26 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | B | B | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 8.5 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh21.4 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |
| Approach | EB | NB |  |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 331 | 889 | 638 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 354 | 916 | 669 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 492 | 327 | 72 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 249 | 519 | 1171 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 10.1 | 34.7 | 8.7 |
| Approach LOS | B | D | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LR | LR | LR |
| Assumed Moves | LR | LR | LR |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 1.000 |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.609 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.976 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 669 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 354 | 916 | 1282 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 835 | 989 | 0.954 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.935 | 0.971 | 638 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 331 | 889 | 1222 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 781 | 959 | 0.522 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.424 | 0.927 | 8.7 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 10.1 | 34.7 | A |
| LOS | B | D | 3 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 28.4 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 936 | 527 | 0 | 290 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 974 | 553 | 0 | 301 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 327 | 0 | 861 | 553 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 527 | 861 | 440 | 0 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 46.5 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 9.6 |
| Approach LOS | E | A | - | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | TR | LT | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | TR | LT | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 974 | 553 | 301 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 989 | 1380 | 785 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.961 | 0.953 | 0.963 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 936 | 527 | 290 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 950 | 1315 | 756 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.985 | 0.401 | 0.384 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 46.5 | 6.6 | 9.6 |
| LOS | E | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 18 | 2 | 2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh13. |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 844 | 380 | 352 | 0 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 869 | 395 | 370 | 0 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 0 | 569 | 869 | 561 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 561 | 670 | 0 | 403 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 10.3 | 12.4 | 21.5 | 0.0 |
| Approach LOS | B | B | C | - |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LT | TR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LT | TR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 869 | 395 | 370 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1380 | 772 | 569 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.971 | 0.962 | 0.951 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 844 | 380 | 352 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1340 | 743 | 541 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.630 | 0.511 | 0.651 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 10.3 | 12.4 | 21.5 |
| LOS | B | B | C |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 5 | 3 | 5 |




HCM 6th TWSC
4: Old Pacific Highway \& Green Mountain Road

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 48 | 75 | 171 | 58 | 119 | 506 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 48 | 75 | 171 | 58 | 119 | 506 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Mvmt Flow | 52 | 82 | 186 | 63 | 129 | 550 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1026 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 218 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 808 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.47 | 6.27 | - | - | 4.17 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.47 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.47 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.563 | 3.363 | - | - | 2.263 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 254 | 809 | - | - | 1288 | - |
| Stage 1 | 807 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 430 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 217 | 809 | - | - | 1288 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 217 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 807 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 368 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 18.9 |  | 0 |  | 1.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 392 | 1288 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.341 | 0.1 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 18.9 | 8.1 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.5 | 0.3 | - |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh $\quad 23.3$ |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 48 | 25 | 18 | 4 | 54 | 175 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 332 | 158 | 62 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 48 | 25 | 18 | 4 | 54 | 175 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 332 | 158 | 62 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 15 | 15 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Mumt Flow | 52 | 27 | 20 | 4 | 59 | 190 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 361 | 172 | 67 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.6 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 30.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $60 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $20 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Sign Control | 5 | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 5 | 91 | 233 | 552 |
| LT Vol | 3 | 48 | 4 | 332 |
| Through Vol | 1 | 25 | 54 | 158 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 18 | 175 | 62 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 5 | 99 | 253 | 600 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.009 | 0.172 | 0.381 | 0.852 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.855 | 6.245 | 5.417 | 5.114 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 610 | 574 | 664 | 708 |
| Service Time | 3.907 | 4.292 | 3.458 | 3.14 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | 0.172 | 0.381 | 0.847 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9 | 10.6 | 11.8 | 30.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | B | B | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 9.8 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh31.6 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |
| Approach | EB | NB |  |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 348 | 958 | 688 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 373 | 987 | 722 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 534 | 346 | 73 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 261 | 561 | 1260 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 11.3 | 54.9 | 9.5 |
| Approach LOS | B | F | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LR | LR | LR |
| Assumed Moves | LR | LR | LR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 373 | 987 | 722 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 800 | 970 | 1281 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.933 | 0.971 | 0.953 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 348 | 958 | 688 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 747 | 941 | 1220 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.466 | 1.018 | 0.564 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 11.3 | 54.9 | 9.5 |
| LOS | B | F | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 2 | 20 | 4 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 33.1 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 943 | 544 | 0 | 303 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 981 | 571 | 0 | 315 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 353 | 0 | 882 | 571 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 533 | 882 | 452 | 0 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 55.6 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 10.2 |
| Approach LOS | F | A | - | B |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | TR | LT | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | TR | LT | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 2.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 4.976 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 571 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 981 | 1380 | 315 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 963 | 0.952 | 771 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.961 | 544 | 0.962 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 943 | 1314 | 303 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 925 | 0.414 | 741 |
| V/C Ratio | 6.7 | 0.409 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 1.019 | 55.6 | A |
| LOS | 2 | 10.2 |  |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 20 | 2 | B |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh14.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  | WB | SB |
| Approach | EB | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 407 | 371 | 0 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 864 | 423 | 390 | 0 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 890 | 569 | 890 | 412 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 0 | 711 | 0 | 1 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 580 | 0 | 0 | 1.000 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 13.3 | 24.7 | - |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 10.7 | B | C |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LT | TR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LT | TR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 1.000 |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.609 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.976 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 390 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 890 | 423 | 557 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1380 | 772 | 0.951 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.971 | 0.963 | 371 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 864 | 407 | 529 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1340 | 743 | 0.701 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.645 | 0.548 | 24.7 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 10.7 | 13.3 | C |
| LOS | B | B | 5 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 36 | 557 | 77 | 26 | 222 | 3 | 83 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 24 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 36 | 557 | 77 | 26 | 222 | 3 | 83 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 24 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | . | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 39 | 605 | 84 | 28 | 241 | 3 | 90 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 26 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 54 | 75 | 174 | 68 | 119 | 507 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 54 | 75 | 174 | 68 | 119 | 507 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Mvmt Flow | 59 | 82 | 189 | 74 | 129 | 551 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1035 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 226 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 809 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.47 | 6.27 | - | - | 4.17 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.47 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.47 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.563 | 3.363 | - | - | 2.263 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 251 | 801 | - | - | 1273 | - |
| Stage 1 | 800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 430 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 214 | 801 | - | - | 1273 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 214 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 367 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 20.3 |  | 0 |  | 1.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 373 | 1273 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.376 | 0.102 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 20.3 | 8.1 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.7 | 0.3 | - |

HCM 6th AWSC
5: Old Pacific Highway \& E Scott Avenue

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 24.8 |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 48 | 25 | 18 | 4 | 54 | 188 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 333 | 164 | 62 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 48 | 25 | 18 | 4 | 54 | 188 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 333 | 164 | 62 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 15 | 15 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Mvmt Flow | 52 | 27 | 20 | 4 | 59 | 204 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 362 | 178 | 67 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.7 |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 32.8 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $60 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $20 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 5 | 91 | 246 | 559 |
| LT Vol | 3 | 48 | 4 | 333 |
| Through Vol | 1 | 25 | 54 | 164 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 18 | 188 | 62 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 5 | 99 | 267 | 608 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.009 | 0.173 | 0.404 | 0.871 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.93 | 6.306 | 5.444 | 5.158 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 601 | 568 | 660 | 705 |
| Service Time | 3.986 | 4.361 | 3.489 | 3.183 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | 0.174 | 0.405 | 0.862 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9 | 10.7 | 12.2 | 32.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | B | B | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 0.6 | 2 | 10.5 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh33.3 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  | SW |
| Approach | EB | 1 | 1 |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 968 | 691 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 349 | 997 | 83 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 374 | 347 | 1261 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 534 | 561 | 2 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 274 | 0 | 1.000 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 2 | 1.000 | 9.7 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 58.1 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 11.3 | F |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LR | LR | LR |
| Assumed Moves | LR | LR | LR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 374 | 997 | 725 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 800 | 969 | 1268 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.933 | 0.971 | 0.953 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 349 | 968 | 691 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 747 | 940 | 1208 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.467 | 1.029 | 0.572 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 11.3 | 58.1 | 9.7 |
| LOS | B | F | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 3 | 21 | 4 |





| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 273 | 0 | - | 0 | 989 | 272 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 272 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 717 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.16 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.254 | - | - |  | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1267 | - | - | - | 274 | 767 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 774 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 484 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1267 | - | - | - | 261 | 767 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 261 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 737 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 484 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | SE |  |  |  | SW |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.5 |  | 0 |  | 10.3 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NWT NWR |  | $R$ SEL | SETSWLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1267 | - | 712 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.031 | - | 0.038 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 7.9 | 0 | 10.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |

Intersection: 3: Belmont Loop/Burris Lane \& Old Pacific Highway

| Movement | SE | SE | NW | NE | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | L | TR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 42 | 13 | 50 | 89 | 67 | 44 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 0 | 14 | 40 | 22 | 18 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 30 | 7 | 42 | 74 | 57 | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 601 |  |  | 591 | 416 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 500 |  | 80 | 100 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 500 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Old Pacific Highway \& Green Mountain Road

| Movement | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | TR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 89 | 8 | 64 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 40 | 0 | 22 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 70 | 4 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1597 | 2369 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 103: Old Pacific Highway \& Burris Lane

| Movement | SE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 | 44 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 27 | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 599 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 500 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |
| Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 0 |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation Manual, 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2017.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 6th Edition, 2011.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2016.

